



**Annual Report  
January – December 2014**

## 2014 Annual Report Dedication

The cover montage, assembled by current SNRE Ph.D. student Nichole Bishop, depicts the sign in front of our building, surrounded by images of just some of the species and habitats that have been focal research areas for the Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Unit.

This year's Annual Report is dedicated to the Florida Unit itself: not to the building, vehicles, boats, equipment and infrastructure that support our activities, but to the driving ideals of excellence in scientific research, providing top level graduate training, and meeting the needs of partners with robust and relevant research. These ideals have been promulgated for three and a half decades through a succession of talented Federal scientists in the Unit Leader and Assistant Unit Leader positions, outstanding graduate students, the strong engagement of numerous agency partners and collaborators, and dedicated support staff.

As the Unit enters our 36<sup>th</sup> year we face transitions in personnel, but not in our basic way of operating. With these changes we will continue to build on past work and relationships, enhance the capacity and agility of our research programs, and be even more responsive to regional resource concerns. This report is dedicated to celebrating our accomplishments, our exciting future, and YOU- our partners, students and collaborators who give meaning to the word "Cooperative" in our name.

COOPERATING AGENCIES:

FLORIDA FISH AND WILDLIFE CONSERVATION COMMISSION

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA

U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	5
MISSION STATEMENT.....	6
COORDINATING COMMITTEE MEMBERS, UNIT SCIENTISTS AND UNIT PERSONNEL.....	7
COOPERATORS.....	8
RESEARCH PERSONNEL, STUDENTS, TECHNICIANS.....	9

### **2014 PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

MODELING THE TRADEOFFS WITHIN FOOD, FEAR & THERMALSCAPES TO EXPLAIN HABITAT USE BY MAMMALIAN HERBIVORES .....	13
TESTING NATURAL RESOUCE APPLICATIONS USING A SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM .....	16
REMOTE SENSING OF NESTING SEA TURTLE TRACKS: DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF COMPUTER VISION ALGORITHMS .....	20
SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS FOR WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT AND HABITAT ASSESSMENT .....	21
OPTIMAL MANAGEMENT OF MIGRATORY BIRD HABITAT AND HARVEST.....	22
OPTIMAL CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR INVASIVE EXOTICS IN SOUTH FLORIDA .....	24
MONITORING VEGETATION CHANGE AND WILDLIFE USE OF ACTIVE MARSH IMPROVEMENT SITES .....	25
TO ADVANCE, TEST AND QUANTIFY UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM CAPABILITIES FOR USGS .....	25
USING AN UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS PAYLOAD TO EVALUATE FINE-SCALE REMOTE SENSING DATA FOR EMERGENT AND SLOUGH AUTOMATED VEGETATION MAPPING.....	28
LOW-ALTITUDE IMAGERY TO ASSESS VEGETATION DENSITY, VEGETATION HEALTH, AND WILDLIFE USE OF THE STORMWATER TREATMENT AREAS.....	28
DEMOGRAPHIC STUDY OF NESTING LOGGERHEAD TURTLES ON THE ST. JOSEPH PENINSULA, FL.....	29
SEA TURTLE AND ESCARPMENT MONITORING.....	30
LOGGERHEAD NEST CONTENT COLLECTION TO DETERMINE IMPACTS FROM THE DEEPWATER HORIZON SPILL.....	32
EFFECTS OF COASTAL DYNAMICS AND CLIMATE ON LOGGERHEAD TURTLE NEST SUCCESS AND MANAGEMENT.....	32
DORIS DUKE CHARITABLE FOUNDATION NATIONAL EDUCATIONAL PARTNERSHIP FOR CONSERVATION.....	33
DEMOGRAPHIC, MOVEMENT, AND HABITAT OF THE ENDANGERED SNAIL KITE IN RESPONSE TO OPERATIONAL PLANS IN WATER CONSERVATION 3A.....	35
LINKING SNAIL KITE FORAGING ACTIVITY, HABITAT QUALITY, AND CRITICAL DEMOGRAPHIC PARAMETERS TO GUIDE EFFECTIVE CONSERVATION EFFORTS IN SOUTHERN EVERGLADES.....	38
AMERICAN ALLIGATOR DISTRIBUTION, SIZE, HOLE OCCUPANCY AND AMERICAN CROCODILE JUVENILE GROWTH AND SURVIVAL.....	42
RESOURCE USE BY FLORIDA MANATEES IN THE NORTHERN GULF OF MEXICO.....	47
RESOLVING UNCERTAINTY IN NATURAL MORTALITY AND MOVEMENT RATES OF GULF OF MEXICO STURGEON.....	48
CLIMATE RESPONSE AND FIRE HISTORY OF SLASH PINE ON BLACKBEARD ISLAND AND WASSAW NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, SAVANNAH COASTAL REFUGES COMPLEX.....	49
TRANSLOCATION OF MARSH RABBITS TO EVERGLADES NATIONAL PARK.....	52
CHANGES IN MAMMAL COMMUNITIES ACROSS THE GREATER EVERGLADES ECOSYSTEM.....	54
EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING THROUGH WILDLIFE RESEARCH AND MANAGEMENT OF INVASIVE REPTILES.....	56
BURMESE PYTHONS IN THE GREATER EVERGLADES: MOVEMENT, HABITAT USE, IMPACTS AND CONTROL TOOLS.....	52
ASSESSING JUVENILE FISH RESPONSES TO WATER RELEASES FROM GLEN CANYON DAM.....	59
GENOMIC ANALYSIS OF PERIPHERAL BLOOD CELLS FROM STURGEON EXPOSED TO OIL AND-RELATED CHEMICALS.....	60
GENOMIC ANALYSIS OF TISSUES FROM STURGEON EXPOSED TO OIL AND OIL RELATED CHEMICALS.....	62
COMPLETED PROJECTS .....	64
2014 PUBLICATIONS .....	81
2014 PRESENTATIONS .....	82






## FLORIDA COOPERATIVE FISH AND WILDLIFE RESEARCH UNIT INTRODUCTION

**The Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit** was established in 1979 as one of the first combined units. The purpose of the Florida Unit is to provide for active cooperation in the advancement, organization, and conduct of scholarly research and training in the field of fish and wildlife sciences, principally through graduate education and research at the University of Florida. The Florida Unit has the mission to study wetland ecosystems within the state. Florida is a low relief, sub-tropical peninsula that is ecologically fragile. Though abundant, Florida's water resources are under increasing pressure from a burgeoning human population. Domestic, recreational, and development needs threaten Florida's water / wetland resources. In following its program directive, the Florida Unit has developed a research program that addresses management issues with approaches spanning species to ecosystem perspectives. Specifically, this Unit conducts detailed investigations of aquatic-terrestrial ecosystem interfaces and their component fish and wildlife resources.

Between 1979 and 2014, over 300 projects totaling more than \$50 million were funded through the Unit. These projects covered a wide variety of fish, wildlife, and ecosystem subjects and have involved over 50 line, affiliate, and adjunct faculty members as principal and co-principal investigators. Unit staff have their own research projects which accounted for about 1/3 of the total effort. Projects associated with the Unit have resulted in over 400 publications, 125 technical reports, 100 theses and dissertations, and 175 presentations. Cooperation has been the Florida Unit's strength. As a Cooperative Research Unit of the U.S. Geological Survey, it serves as a bridge among the principal cooperators, such as the University of Florida, the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC), the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and the community of state and federal conservation agencies and non-governmental organizations. Evidence of this role is the Unit's funding which has included contributions from FFWCC, 12 BRD research labs and centers, 12 offices within the USFWS Southeast Region, the University of Florida, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Navy, U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Air Force, U.S. National Park Service, Environmental Protection Agency, St. Johns River Water Management District, South Florida Water Management District, U.S. AID, World Wildlife Fund, The Nature Conservancy, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, BRD, Florida Wildlife Federation, National Audubon Society, Florida Alligator Farmers' Association, American Alligator Farmers' Association, Florida Fur Trappers' Association, and other private contributions. Many Unit projects involve multiple investigators from several agencies. This cooperative interaction stimulates continuing involvement of funding sources, provides for student contacts with potential employers and agency perspectives, and directs transfer and application of research results.

## RESEARCH MISSION STATEMENT



2014 Photo Contest Winner, Andrew Bacher, FL CRU – “Kowabunga”

***“The mission of the Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit is to conduct detailed investigations of wetlands and their component fish and wildlife resources, emphasizing the linkages with both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. This charge will include research at a range of levels including populations, community, and ecosystems, and will emphasize the interaction of biological populations with features of their habitat, both natural and those impacted by human activities.”***

## UNIT COORDINATING COMMITTEE

- Jack Payne-** Vice President for Agriculture and Natural Resources, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.
- Nick Wiley-** Executive Director, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Tallahassee, Florida.
- Kevin Whalen-** Supervisor, Cooperative Research Units, U.S. Geological Survey, Roundhill, Virginia.
- Cynthia Dohner-** Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Southeast Region, Atlanta, Georgia.
- Steven Williams-** President, Wildlife Management Institute, Gardners, Pennsylvania.
- David Viker-** Regional Refuge Chief, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Southeast Region, Atlanta, Georgia

## BIOGRAPHICAL PROFILES OF UNIT SCIENTISTS

**H. Franklin Percival** – Unit Leader, Courtesy Associate Professor, Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation and College of Natural Resources and the Environment at the University of Florida. His research interests lie in wetland wildlife, and he has conducted long term collaborative projects on various aspects of alligator and migratory bird biology. He has teamed with geomaticists and aeronautical engineers to develop an unmanned aerial vehicle for assessment of wildlife populations and habitats. He has a special interest in natural resources administration, especially multidisciplinary, collaborative, and interagency research programs.

**Raymond R. Carthy** – Assistant Unit Leader, Courtesy Assistant Professor, Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation and College of Natural Resources and the Environment at the University of Florida. His research centers on ecology of endangered species. His research interests involve reproductive ecology and physiology of coastal and wetland herpetofauna, with current focus on marine and freshwater turtles. He is also involved in research on threatened upland species and in conservation management oriented studies.

## COOPERATIVE UNIT PERSONNEL

**M. Gay Hale, BA-** Administrative Assistant, Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, University of Florida. Responsible for administrative details of \$3.75M annual research program as well as supervision of staff; student activities, personnel, budgets, research work orders, contracts and grants within University, fiscal reports, travel, purchasing, payables, vehicles (State/Federal), website, and other related functions.

**Hannah Taylor-** Office Assistant, Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit. She is primarily responsible for purchasing card processes, property management, and federal vehicle reporting. She also oversees safety training records and manages the Unit website through the Digital Measures system in addition to occasional field technician duties.

**Fiona Hogan-** Student Assistant, Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit. She is primarily responsible for purchasing card processes within the University financial system, and the tracking and recording of spent funds on all grants and state funds. She also maintains the database and helps with general office procedures.



## **COOPERATORS**

### **University of Florida**

Amr Abh-Elraham	Robert Ahrens	Michael S. Allen	Karen A. Bjorndal
Alan B. Bolten	Rena Borkhataria	Lyn Branch	Matthew J. Cohen
Robert M. Cubert	Nancy Denslow	Bon A. Dewitt	Catherine Eastman
Robert Fletcher	Peter. C. Frederick	Bill Guiliano	John Hayes
Eric Hellgren	Aaron Higer	Mark Hostetler	Peter G. Ifju
Elliot R. Jacobson	Susan Jacobson	Steven Johnson	Michael Kane
Paul A. Klein	Leda Kobziar	Martha Monroe	Frank Mazzotti
Robert McCleery	Debbie Miller	Madan Oli	Todd Osborne
Elizabeth Pienar	William (Bill) Pine	Carrie Reinhart-Adams	Christina Romagosa
Carlos H. Romero	J. Perran Ross	Maria Sgambati	Coleman Sheehy III
Scott E. Smith	Marilyn G. Spalding	Taylor Stein	Benjamin Wilkinson

### **Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission**

Joe Benedict	Joan Berish	Arnold Brunell	Janell Brush
Larry Campbell	Cameron Carter	Patrick Delaney	Terry Doonan
Harry J. Dutton	Jim Estes	Rebecca Hayman	Lindsay Hord
Richard Kiltie	Julien Martin	Henry Norris	Tim O'Meara
Stephen W. Rockwood	Scott Sanders	Lawson Snyder	Rio Throm
Zach Welch	Nick Wiley	Blair Witherington	Allan R. Woodward

### **U.S. Geological Survey**

Beverly Arnold	G. Ronnie Best	Jaime A. Collazo	Paul Conrads
Michael Conroy	Donald L. DeAngelis	Robert M. Dorazio	Susan Finger
Kristen Hart	Tara Y. Henrichon	James Hines	Fred Johnson
William L. Kendall	Meg Lamont	Catherine Langtimm	Lynn W. Lefebvre
Cynthia S. Loftin	Elizabeth Martin	Kelly McDonald	Clinton Moore
James D. Nichols	Kenneth G. Rice	Michael Runge	John Sauer
J. Michael Scott	Daniel Slone	Pamela Telis	Kenneth Williams

### **U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service**

Daniel Barrand	Laura Brandt	Billy Brooks	Pam Darty
Andrew Gude	Stan Howarter	Chuck Hunter	Michael Jennings
John Kasbohm	Mike Legare	Shannon Ludwig	Fred Martin
Lorna Patrick	John Robinetter	Heath Rauschenberger	Sandra Sneckenberger
Paul Souza	Heather Tipton	Paul Tritaik	Russell Webb
Kathy Whaley	Larry Woodward		

### **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

Kristin A. Farmer	Michael T. Hensch	John K. Kilpatrick	Jon S. Lane
Jon M. Morton	Gina Ralph	Glenn G. Rhett	David J. Robar
Adam N. Tarplee	Damon A. Wolfe	Victor L. Wilhelm	

### **St. Johns Water Management District**

Roxanne Conrow	Mike Coveney
Steven Miller	James Peterson

### **University of Central Florida**

Dean Bagley	Llewellyn M. Erhart
Ross Hinkle	Marshall Tappen
Betsy von Holle	John Weishampel

### **Boise State University**

Jennifer Foorbey

### **Washington State University**

Lisa Shipley

### **U.S. Air Force**

Bruce Hagedorn

### **University of Idaho**

Janet Rachlow

### **University of West Florida**

Phillip C. Darby

### **U.S. Parks Service**

Bob Miller

### **Idaho Fish and Game**

Pete Zagar

### **National Park Service**

Leonard Pearlstine

### **South Florida Water Management District**

Christa Zweig

### **Innovative Health Applications LLC**

Eric D. Stolen

David Breininger

**Environmental Project**

Ritchie Moretti

Sue A. Shaf

**Others**

Tommy C. Hines

Russell Hall

Lovett E. Williams

**Research Personnel 2014**

(Names in red are supervised by Percival and/or Carthy)

**Post-Doctoral Associates:****Dan Gwinn, PhD**

Supervisor: Mike Allen

Research: Climate change impacts on Florida freshwater fisheries

**Alvina Mehinto, PhD**

Supervisor: Nancy Denslow

Research: Genomic Analysis of Peripheral Blood Cells from Sturgeon Exposed to Oil and Oil-Related Chemicals

**Jennifer Seavey, PhD**

Supervisor: Robert Fletcher and Bill Pine

Research: Climate change, sea-level rise, and biodiversity

**Brian E. Reichert, PhD**

Supervisor: Robert Fletcher

Research: Snail kite monitoring of population demographics; exploring senescence and other aspects of survival.

**Research Associates:****Mike Cherkiss, MS**

Position: Wildlife Biologist/ Crocodile and Python Project Manager

Research: American alligator and crocodile monitoring and assessment program, (MAP). IFAS, Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center

**Brian Jeffrey, MS**

Position: Wildlife Biologist/Alligator Project Manager

Research: Endangered snail kites

**Brail Stephens, MS**

Position: Wildlife Biologist/Supervisor

Research: Sea Turtle &amp; Escarpment Monitoring, Loggerhead Nest Content Collection, Marine Debris

**Graduate Students:****Abraham Balmori**

Degree: MS, Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Graduation Date: August 2014

Research: Airframe development and improvement

Advisor: Peter Ifju

**Nichole Bishop**

Degree: PhD, Interdisciplinary Ecology

Graduation Date : December 2019

Research: Nutritional ecology of sea turtles

Advisor: Ray Carthy

**Matthew Burgess**

Degree: PhD, Wildlife Ecology and Conservation

Graduation Date: December 2015

Research: Collection of Digital Serial Imagery in Support of Aquatic Invasive Species Program and CERP

Advisor: H. Franklin Percival

**Chris E. Cattau**

Degree: PhD, Wildlife Ecology and Conservation

Graduation Date: December 2014

Research: Foraging ecology and energetics of snail kites

Advisor: Wiley Kitchens

---

**Daniel Evans**

Degree: PhD, Wildlife Ecology and Conservation

Graduation Date: December 2019

Research: Elucidation of sea turtle developmental, foraging and reproductive migrations using satellite telemetry

Advisor: Ray Carthy

---

**Nia Haynes**

Degree: PhD, Wildlife Ecology and Conservation

Graduation Date: August 2016

Research: Effects of Coastal Dynamics and Climate on Loggerhead Turtle Nest Success and Management

Advisor: Susan Jacobson

---

**Candice Lavelle**

Degree: PhD, Veterinary Medical Sciences

Graduation Date: December 2014

Research: Genomic Analysis of Peripheral Blood Cells from Sturgeon Exposed to Oil and Oil-Related Chemicals

Advisor: Nancy Denslow

---

**Jame McCray**

Degree: PhD, Wildlife Ecology and Conservation

Graduation Date: August 2015

Research: Wildlife legislation and management in Florida: Sea turtles, a test case for creating effective policy

Advisor: Susan Jacobson and Ray Carthy

---

**Jessica McKenzie**

Degree: M.S., Wildlife Ecology and Conservation

Graduation Date: May 2015

Research: Human Dimensions of Sea Turtle Conservation

Advisor: Ray Carthy and Holly Ober

---

**Ellen Robertson**

Degree: PhD, Wildlife Ecology and Conservation

Graduation Date: December 2016

Research: Endangered snail kites and interactions with apple snail prey species.

Advisor: Robert Fletcher

---

**Adia Sovie**

Degree: MS, Wildlife Ecology and Conservation

Graduation Date: August 2015

Research: Translocation of Marsh Rabbits to Everglades National Park

Advisor: Robert McCleery

---

**Brian Smith**

Degree: MS, Wildlife Ecology and Conservation

Graduation Date: December 2016

Research: Mammal declines and invasive pythons in the Everglades

Advisor: Christina Romagosa

---

**Tyler Ward**

Degree: PhD, Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Graduation Date: May 2016

Research: UAS payload construction and data processing of digital imagery

Advisor: Peter Ifju

---

**Travis Whitley**

Degree: PhD, Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Graduation Date: May 2016

Research: UAS Autopilot development

Advisor: Peter Ifju

---

**Rebecca Wilcox**

---

Degree: MS, Wildlife Ecology and Conservation

Graduation Date: August 2015

Research: Linking Snail Kite Foraging Activity, Habitat Quality, and Critical Demographic Parameters to Guide Effective Conservation Efforts in the southern Everglades

Advisor: Robert Fletcher

---

**Yun Ye**

Degree: PhD, School of Forest Resources and Conservation, Geomatics

Graduation Date: May 2016

Research: Computer recognition algorithms for UAS imagery

Advisor: Scot Smith

---

**Technicians:**

Thomas Bacher  
Jeffrey Beauchamp  
Laura Brandt  
Andrew Bro  
Michelle Budney  
Sarah Burton  
James Camp  
Daniel Cavanaugh  
Rafael Crespo  
Elizabeth Dancer  
Ryan Deibler  
Mathew Denton  
Lauren Diaz  
Sarah Dudek  
Seth Farris  
Kirk Gastric  
Caitlin Hackett  
Emma Hanslowe  
Whitney Haskell  
Forest Hayes  
Rodney Hunt  
Michelle McEachern  
Andrew Marx  
Ed Metzger  
Andre Revell  
Charlotte Robinson  
Michael Rochford  
Adam Rosenblat  
David Seay  
Danielle Sims  
Michiko Squires  
Austin Waag  
Bradford Westrich  
Sara Williams

**Doris Duke Interns:**

Alex Cronin  
Nadia Kemal  
Jaclyn Selden  
Adreenah Wynn  
Xue "Jackie" Zhang



## Current Projects Cooperative Research





***Modeling the tradeoffs within Food, Fear & Thermal Scapes to explain  
habitat use by mammalian herbivores***

**Principal Investigator:** H. Franklin Percival

**University of Idaho Principal Investigator:** Janet Rachlow

**Funding Agency:** NSF/University of Idaho

**Expected Completion:** 12/31/2015 (UF PJ#00108818)


**Graduate Students:** M. Burgess

The University of Idaho (UI), Boise State University (BSU), and Washington State University (WSU) have been working under a cooperative agreement with financial support from the United States Bureau of Land Management and the National Science Foundation to conduct research aimed at better understanding the habitat and ecology of the endangered pygmy rabbit (*Brachylagus idahoensis*). As part of this research, the group has collaborated with the University of Florida (UF) Unmanned Aircraft Systems Research Program to conduct low-altitude flights over sagebrush-steppe habitats using a small unoccupied aircraft to collect digital imagery to address several goals of the remote sensing portion of the research. One goal is to capture high-resolution digital images that can be used to measure the concealment of rabbits from predators which is provided by the sagebrush species. Another goal is to collect digital aerial photographs that may help indicate the quality of various sagebrush species as food resources for pygmy rabbits. As part of the effort to understand the animal's habitat needs, the work aims to create maps of habitat quality that will be matched with patterns of habitat use by the animals. The first mission took place during June 2013, and a second mission in January 2014. The flights within each mission will be used to compare vegetative concealment and diet quality between summer and winter seasons.

*Among the interesting potentials of UAS imagery of endangered pygmy rabbit habitat in Idaho is habitat analysis. Note in this mosaic of a small portion of the Cedar Gulch, ID study area that vegetation density appears to be measurably different on the higher elevations of the naturally occurring mounds and the abandoned railroad bed in the image. The UF SFRC Geomatics program and Idaho collaborators are working toward digital solutions to measuring extents and differences of sagebrush habitat as well as more precise 3D solutions to image interpretation.*

The summer mission conducted in June 2013 at two research study sites in Idaho resulted in a total of nine flights (five in the visible color spectrum, and four in the color-infrared spectrum). The four days of fieldwork yielded a total flight time of six hours and 19

minutes; just over 42 minutes per flight on average. During the nine flights, 8,534 total ~10 MP .jpg images were captured, occupying 42.5 GB of digital drive space. The imagery collected covered roughly 115 Ha of targeted



sagebrush-steppe habitat, and had a ground resolution of approximately 2.3-2.5 cm/pixel. The UFUASRP learned a tremendous amount of information about the Nova 2.1 aircraft performance during these flights as this was the first time the aircraft had been flown over an area with ground-level altitudes significantly higher than sea level. Flying at an effective altitude of 10,000 ft (considering actual altitude and record breaking high temperatures) was a challenge and we learned that minimally a longer propeller (17 as opposed to 15 inches) is required for the plane to operate satisfactorily. We will fly there again in summer 2014 when we can explore different lengths and pitches of propellers. In addition, the auto-land feature of the autopilot had been in use prior to last summer 2013 flights. It was deployed necessarily and successfully on each of the nine landings. The only possibility for landing was a rocky, two-rut road carved through sagebrush. Consistently landing a plane with a nine-foot wingspan on a 12 foot wide runway by remote control would not have been possible.





*The UF UAS team was at home in record high temperatures in June in Idaho. In January, Idaho collaborators equipped the Gators with gaiters and taught them the difference between a balaclava and baklava.*

The winter mission conducted January 2014 at three sites resulted in 12 flights (all visible color) and additional 11,379 images covering over 150 Ha of habitat and occupying 56.7 GB of digital hard drive space. Having improved auto-landing and operating at higher altitudes, there were other challenges. Extreme cold and its effects on batteries, other mechanical components, and Floridians were predictable. Idaho collaborators provided some resolutions in advance and the entire team improvised in the field to accommodate a successful mission. The new challenge of flying an additional study area having mountains on 3 sides required many hours of extra programming of the autopilot to accommodate tighter turns to both avoid hitting the mountains and achieve level, straight flight by the time the plane returned over the target area.


Fortunately, the software for post-processing aerial imagery has recently and dramatically improved. Visible spectrum imagery mosaics were of good quality, and since December 2013, the products are considerably better. As the software and computing power continue to improve over the next few months, the imagery mosaics produced should be even more remarkable. Future analyses of the imagery will include mosaicking the color-infrared spectrum imagery, and superimposing those mosaics on and off the visible-spectrum mosaics. Additionally, three-dimensional digital surface models constructed using two-dimensional imagery by the post-processing software will be utilized for comparisons to ground-based models constructed from terrestrial-based data that were simultaneously collected during the summer 2013 field season. Collaborators from Boise State

University, Washington State University, University of Idaho, and UF are all using the data in different manners. Plans are being made currently for the summer 2014 field mission.




Patches from sample strata over UAV data (2.5cm-resolution, left) and NAIP NDVI (1m-resolution, right).

For NAIP: possibility to scale classification of low patches (dark areas) and mounds (bright spots) to areas where we don't have UAV data.




Close-up of UAV imagery (left) and digital surface model (right), showing potential for classification of shrubs for food types and cover. [M.A. Burgess, UF]





Point cloud/digital surface model with TLS scans on top (whiter areas). [J. Lonneker, UI]



Ground control points (coverboards) used to help assess pygmy rabbit concealment by sagebrush, and to aid in post-processing the aerial imagery into mosaics. [M.A. Burgess, UF]

### ***Testing natural resource applications using a small unmanned aircraft system***

**Principal Investigator:** H. Franklin Percival

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 2/28/15 (RWO#284, UF PJ#00102993)

**Graduate Students:** M. Burgess

The need for cost-effective monitoring of wildlife populations and habitat is common to natural resource managers in state and federal agencies as well as NGOs. The University of Florida Unmanned Aircraft Systems Research Program (UFUASRP) was the first in the United States (US), and possibly the world, to custom design a small Unmanned Aircraft System (sUAS) explicitly for natural resources assessment and monitoring. After 12 years of development the UFUASRP is currently working with its fifth-generation of sUAS, the Nova 2.1. The Nova 2.1 has significant advantages in portability, ease of use, and mission flexibility when compared to larger Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) and differs from other sUAS in that the latter focus on intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance whereas the Nova 2.1 is a precision natural resources surveying tool. Of the remaining hurdles for deployment, the most important is testing applications of the tool with state of art statistical and analytical

techniques. In addition, FAA regulations limit the use of UAS in scope and scale as well as user requirements. We investigate practical tools to overcome some of these requirements.

## OBJECTIVES

- 1) Assess the potential advantages and limitations of the UF Nova 2.1 sUAS as a scientific tool to augment and assist in existing natural resource data collection and estimation efforts.

The evolution of the Nova 2.1 was spawned by rapid technological developments such as miniaturization of digital cameras, new frontiers in battery and materials technology, and the rapid development of high capacity memory components. While this has meant many teams are developing UAS worldwide, the UF effort has always been driven by the desire to solve specific ecological questions. Now that the Nova 2.1 is aeronautically and electronically stable, the next big frontiers are image postprocessing, machine learning, image recognition, novel statistical techniques, and application driven adaptations.

The Nova 2.1 is beginning to be deployed in a variety of real world applications. The repeated overlay capability has already provided a handy, quantitative solution to a long standing problem of estimating turnover in colonial nesting birds. Florida Coop Unit faculty and students have also teamed with the University of Idaho to evaluate fine scale characteristics of pygmy rabbit habitat, and estimate burrow density. In collaboration with Idaho Fish and Game, the UAS will be used to estimate Chinook salmon redd density in relation to habitat characteristics, and to estimate white pelican colony size. Computer scientists and ecologists and the University of Central Florida will be using UAS data to estimate nesting sea turtle population size and to differentiate beach tracks of loggerhead, green and leatherback turtles. CEMML (Colorado State University) is teaming with UF to assess waterbird distribution and abundance at the Patuxent Naval Air Station. The Mote Marine Laboratory in southwest FL will use UAS data to estimate abundance and size class distributions of assemblages of rays near Sarasota. The USGS Southeast Ecological Science Center and FL Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission are collaborating to provide novel statistical techniques for estimating abundance from UAS data.

UAS have huge potential as a tool to fill the gap between a biologist on the ground with a pair of binoculars, and satellite imagery. The examples above illustrate that UAS also have the ability to provide wildlife ecologists not just static images, but highly accurate and repeatable GIS products. This opens the door to investigations at a novel and extremely appropriate geographic scale for wildlife, and the ability to produce statistically robust results. When coupled with the ability to fly in remote areas dangerous for manned aircraft, and remove human safety from the picture, UAS could turn out to be as important to ecologists and managers as satellite imagery has been.

- 2) To test the photogrammetric parameters of the UF Nova 2.1 optical payload deployed on a Cessna® 172-model Skyhawk™.

A limitation of sUAS technology is its range and deployment in situations where sample points or targets of interest are widely separated. Examples are surveys of manatees (*Trichechus* sp.) at warm-water refugia or salmon (*Oncorhynchus* sp.) redd surveys in the Snake River, Idaho/Washington. The targets may be separated by scores of miles within a range of their 150 mile or greater extent and must be surveyed within a small temporal window. The sUAS might deliver very appropriate data but logistics obviate their use over such a large extent. The Nova 2.1 payload on a manned aircraft might deliver data that are far superior to ocular estimates of human observers, and



also eliminate the need for trained observers in the manned aircraft. The photogrammetric solutions might vary from that of the Nova 2.1 because of the difference in the high-precision of programmed flight plans of the sUAS versus that of a human-piloted aircraft. UF's aeronautical engineers produced drawings and constructed an aluminum box of aircraft standards to contain the Nova 2.1 payload. In 2011-12, we worked with a local FAA Designated Engineering Representative to secure a FAA Supplemental Type Certificate for attaching the box to a Cessna 172M owned by our collaborator, Avian Research And Conservation Institute (ARCI) . Subsequently we have gained approvals for attachments to a Bell 407 helicopter (courtesy of South Florida Water Management District) and a Bell 206 helicopter (courtesy of Hillcrest Aviation, Lewiston, ID, Idaho Power and Light C., Idaho Fish and Game).



*The UAS payload has been installed with FAA permissions in a Cessna 172 M (Avian Research and Conservation Institute), a Bell 206 helicopter (Hillcrest Aviation, Inc), and a Bell 407 helicopter (South Florida Water Management District).*



All of these attachments proved to be aeronautically and photogrammetrically unobtrusive. There was no interference with flight characteristics of the aircraft. Attachments provided a clear nadir view for the camera, there was no vibration to affect the imagery, and satellite reception was adequate for the GPS. The technique holds great promise especially when used for transects. Our current payload fires too slowly for the greater airspeed of all the aircraft tested plus resolution (10 mp) suffers above 200m for some applications. Our team currently is working on a new payload which promises to have smaller intervals between shutter openings and 18 mp images. More detailed analyses of imagery taken over a great blue heron colony (Cessna 172), salmon redds (Bell 206), Everglades habitat and wading birds (Bell 407) are currently being accomplished. We will have opportunities in 2014 to test all 3 aircraft with the new payload.



*Chinook salmon redds in the Snake River, ID are clearly visible in imagery taken from the Bell 407 attachment of the payload box to a cargo basket.*

- 3) To test the efficacy of deploying “day” pilots for the UAS ground crew. Among many FAA regulations for sUAS field deployment is the requirement for three individuals as a ground crew: a UAS pilot, a ground

station operator, and a qualified visual observer to constantly search for potential aircraft incursions. In addition the sUAS pilot must possess at least a current private pilot’s license and a Class II Medical clearance. Maintaining manned pilots on payroll is a complication in addition to considerable expense in most situations. We tested our ability to employ a pilot dually trained in flying remote control and manned aircraft on an as-needed daily basis. Our pilot is certified to pilot multi-engine and single engine aircraft and has a commercial pilot’s license. Those certifications are far in excess of the requirement. The pilot successfully gained competence in first computer remote control (RC) flight training, small off the shelf RC aircraft, and finally the Nova 2.1. His personal schedule is such that we have been very successful in scheduling his time to match our needs. As long as that requirement exists, we believe that an operational program can definitely benefit from such an arrangement. The pilot familiarity with FAA regulations also has been instrumental in more effectively gaining the Supplemental Type Certificate for the Cessna 172, submitting NOTAMS prior to flights, and submitting COA requests. The FAA has gained increasing confidence in our ability to work within their guidelines. We believe that our pilot’s IFR competence as well as the addition of a transponder to our aircraft will enable us to fly in some sites heretofore unavailable to us.

*Image from low level flight of the South Florida Wildlife Management’s Bell 407 equipped with the UAS payload mounted on the step. Features such as altitude, gps location, transect width, and alligator length, are measureable in the geo-referenced image.*





***Remote sensing of sea turtle tracks:  
development and application of computer vision algorithms***

***UF Principal Investigator:*** H. Franklin Percival

***UCF Principal Investigators:*** Betsy von Holle, Marshall Tappen, John Weishampel

***Funding Agency:*** SIRI/UCF

***Expected Completion:*** 4/30/14 (UF PJ#00110145)

***Graduate Students:*** M. Burgess

This project focuses on developing recognition technologies that can locate and estimate sea turtle nests in aerial imagery gathered from unmanned aircraft systems (UAS). Access to sufficient, reliable data on wildlife populations is a key factor limiting the development of novel models of animal populations and a better understanding of the dynamics of those populations. Without sufficient data, it is impossible to verify whether better models of animal populations are more accurate or just over-fitting the data. Because of the burden of enormous data sets, the potential of UAS cannot be realized without recognition algorithms that free conservationists from the burden of sifting through all of the imagery. While UAS are seeing increasing expectations in conservation, post-processing

tools such as recognition technologies have not progressed to the point where it is possible to reliably and automatically process the imagery. This project will drive the development of these recognition algorithms by focusing on the detection of sea turtle nesting sites from digital imagery. Teams of computer scientists and wildlife ecologists will gather the data necessary to train and test new recognition systems that can detect and classify sea turtle nests in aerial imagery. Ecologists will gather and annotate aerial images of sea-turtle nesting sites. These images will be used by computer vision researchers to create new recognition algorithms for



*Imagery from the payload in the BOS (Box on Step) on a Cessna 172M is being used to provide estimates of sea turtle crawls*

identifying nesting sites in aerial images, distinguishing nesting sites from abandoned sites, and distinguishing the nesting sites of different species of sea turtles. This research has the potential to revolutionize how conservation data are gathered and used. Robust recognition technologies will make it possible to use certain UAS to survey wildlife more frequently and over larger areas.

Although our hope was to deploy UAS over the dense turtle nesting beaches of the Archie Carr National Wildlife Refuge, we were unable to secure an FAA Certificate of Authority to fly the UAS. We

abbreviated the original total length of the survey area to accommodate the FAA issue of restricted airspaces on the north and south ends of the refuge. Managing humans on the beach was insufficient to ameliorate concerns of human activity on the beach. Finally, homes and condos near the beach were an additional concern. It is possible that we might soon or eventually be able to obtain a COA because of FAA's increased experience with our system. Nevertheless, we gained FAA approval to fit an aluminum box containing the UAS camera payload to a specific Cessna 172 fixed-wing manned aircraft. Previous tests indicated that it might be possible to obtain sufficient imagery to conduct this research.

We scheduled the aircraft vendor for collecting data over 3 days in July 2013. The aircraft was in Gainesville, the refuge was near Melbourne, and it was the wettest July in history. We got only a few hours of flights. We obtained enough data to prove the concept and University of Central Florida computer scientists are making progress with algorithm development. A manned aircraft has to fly higher and faster with much less control than does a sUAS with an autopilot. Nevertheless we were able to fly enough to get several mosaics of portions of the beach. Either we will ultimately be able to fly the UAS over that nest-rich refuge or the Cessna 172 method can be improved technologically and operationally. Aerospace engineers and geomaticists are working on a new payload with an 18 megapixel camera and associated hardware and software which will allow faster shutter speeds (thus more overlap for mosaicking) and higher resolution at similar altitudes. Having more favorable weather will allow more extensive and intensive coverage to provide more images for mosaicking.

### ***Small Unmanned Aerial Systems for Wildlife Management and Habitat Assessment***

***UF Principal Investigator:*** H. Franklin Percival

***Colorado State University Principal Investigator:*** Lee Barber

***Funding Agency:*** DOD/Legacy

***Expected Completion:*** 08/31/2014 (UF PJ#00104660)

***Graduate Students:*** M. Burgess

The collection of aerial imagery from small Unmanned Aircraft Systems (sUASs) flying at low altitude within strictly restricted airspace at the Patuxent River Naval Air Station (PAX NAS), Webster Field, and the Bloodsworth Island Naval Gunnery Range provides an installation-specific response to these challenges, demonstrating a "civilian" application of proven military technology using an approach that is applicable to all military installations with restricted airspace. The UF sUAS platform, Nova 2.1, has a payload which records low-altitude, high-resolution, precision-georeferenced aerial imagery. These data will be collected and analyzed to provide decision support to airfield and range operations. Objectives include mapping canopy height and vegetative cover for forested and scrub –shrub wetlands and to delineation of uplands that might exist within those wetlands at Webster Field; evaluating the effectiveness of an sUAS for estimating the number of nesting birds within the great blue heron (*Ardea herodias*) rookery on Bloodsworth Island at a specific point in time, evaluating the effectiveness of an sUAS for estimating winter populations of migratory waterfowl at a specific point in time at selected areas within or adjacent to Bloodsworth Island to inform Bird Aircraft Strike Hazard (BASH) assessments and predict environmental impacts of aviation operations, define specific survey methodologies to serve as a foundation for use of sUASs on other military installations to provide scientifically defensible, statistically valid wildlife population estimates and vegetation mapping as an alternative to less rigorous wildlife counts and rapid habitat assessments.

The PAX NAS, Webster Field and Bloodsworth Island are extremely busy military operations and also within the influence of the airspace managed for Washington, DC. Our sUAS and operation are smaller than to which PAX NAS is accustomed. The challenge so far has not been in effecting our mission in the field but to gain permission to fly in that airspace. That permission is forthcoming and we will collect necessary data in summer 2014 and winter 2015. The contract is currently being amended to accommodate the delays encountered. Military and civilian operations at PAX NAS and Webster Field have been most accommodating. We have an excellent collaborative relationship with the Center for Environmental Management of Military Lands, Colorado State University. The US FWS Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge has been gracious in providing logistical support for our flights at Bloodsworth Islands.

### ***Optimal Management of Migratory Bird Habitat and Harvest***

***Principal Investigator:*** H. Franklin Percival

***Funding Agency:*** USGS

***Expected Completion:*** 08/14/2016 (RWO#272, UF PJ#00096823)

Optimal management of wildlife habitats and harvests depends on the ability of a manager to take periodic actions, which are conditioned both on the current state of the resource and on anticipated future resource conditions. Optimal solutions to these “sequential-decision problems” can often be calculated, provided there are clearly articulated management objectives, a set of alternative management actions, one or more models of resource dynamics, and a resource-monitoring program. This approach has been applied successfully to the national management of mallard harvests and to the local management of habitat for the threatened Florida scrub-jay. Managers are considering modifications to both programs, however. In the case of scrub-jays, habitat-restoration activities have failed to produce optimal conditions for scrub-jays in some areas of Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge. Thus, there is a need to take advantage of recently acquired data concerning the dynamics of scrub habitat to develop more effective management strategies. In the case of mallards, it is the timing of decisions that may change. A draft Environmental Impact Statement suggests that there would be administrative benefits of shortening the timeframe of the regulatory process, such that hunting regulations would be issued each year prior to the availability of annual monitoring data. The potential impacts of this change on the mallard population and on allowable levels of harvest are largely unknown, however.

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

The objectives of this study focus on understanding the implications of resource models and decision timing on optimal management decisions and expected performance. Specifically, this study will:

- A) Modify the existing optimization algorithms to account for potential changes in the models used to inform scrub-jay and mallard management; and
- B) Evaluate the implications of those changes for managers, the resource, and resource users.

#### **PROGRESS:**

We have computed optimal management strategies for oak (*Quercus* spp.) scrub at Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge (MINWR). We found that managers would have to consider the option of cutting up to two potential territories (20 ha) of tall-mix scrub each year in each management unit in addition to the option of prescribed burning in order to keep scrub-jay abundance from declining. The optimal management strategy prescribes cutting when there is any tall-mix scrub, burning only when the unit is



dominated by optimal-closed scrub, and doing nothing when there is no tall-mix and a relatively homogenous mix of the other scrub types. Of particular concern in this study was the creation and maintenance of open scrub in areas with a legacy of fire suppression. Burning under ideal conditions in these areas can apparently create openings, but it did not appear to be particularly effective at setting back scrub height. Thus, linear, plowed openings that the refuge has created in some areas may be cause for concern if the openings act as fire lines, further impeding the spread of fire within a management unit. The program currently in place to monitor scrub habitat and the demographic responses of scrub-jays is seen as essential to the delivery and evaluation of future management efforts on the refuge.



With mallards our concern is with the adaptive management of harvests, subject to partial system observability. Partial observability often stems from sampling or measurement error in monitoring programs, but here we focus on the lack of monitoring information about system state at the time a decision must be made. Consequently, we must evaluate policy value by conditioning directly on the previous year's system state, regulatory decision, and model weights. Implementation of this

approach was conducted using the software MDPSolve (© Paul Fackler). The optimal policy is only marginally different from the one conditioning on current year's system state, perhaps owing to the resilience of the mallard population.

Two manuscripts describing the research for scrub-jays and mallards are being prepared.

#### **SUMMARY:**

Many problems in wildlife management can be described formally as Markov decision processes (MDPs). This study seeks to apply MDPs to the optimal management of mallard harvests and the conservation of scrub-jay habitat.

## ***Optimal Control Strategies for Invasive Exotics in South Florida***

**Principal Investigator:** H. Franklin Percival

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 08/14/2016 (RWO#273, UF PJ#00096829)

Within the constraints of their budgets, responsible agencies must routinely make tradeoffs inherent in controlling the spread of invasives; e.g., monitoring abundance in well-established areas vs. monitoring potential sites for colonization, eradicating large infestations vs. eradicating newly colonized sites, and monitoring populations vs. implementing control measures. There are also temporal tradeoffs that must be considered because decisions made now produce a legacy for the future (e.g., how long to wait before implementing controls). These tradeoffs can be investigated formally within the context of a decision theoretic framework, which can identify optimal actions based on management goals and constraints, available budgets and the demography of the invasive population. A key advantage of a decision-theoretic framework is the ability to make optimal decisions in the face of various sources and degrees of uncertainty, such as the rate at which an invasive will colonize new areas or the variable effectiveness of control measures. The product of this approach is a state-dependent management strategy that prescribes an optimal action for each time period for each possible state of the system. In this case, the state of the system would be characterized by extant knowledge of the spatial distribution and abundance of the target invasive. The state-dependent strategy can also be adaptive, as predicted and observed system responses are compared over time. The goal of this study is to apply decision science to the control of invasive species.


### **OBJECTIVES:**

The goal of this study is to apply decision science to the control of invasive species. Specifically, this study will:

- A) Develop a decision-making framework that has generic application for controlling invasives;
- B) Parameterize that framework for illustrative purposes using relevant information on one (or several related) invasive species in South Florida; and
- C) Derive an optimal control strategy for that (those) species and, if possible, evaluate its expected performance relative to control strategies being used or contemplated.

### **PROGRESS:**

The research is to be conducted principally by a postdoctoral associate, who was hired in January 2014 after several years of unproductive searching for a suitable candidate. Work to date has focused on the extension of reaction-diffusion models to account for the effect of control actions on the growth and spread of invasive species. The model is being used to help support development of control strategies for the Burmese pythons in the Everglades. In 2015, research will focus on



developing optimal control policies for multiple species and on exploring the value information to the efficacy of control actions. One manuscript has been submitted to *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* describing the new reaction-diffusion model.

**SUMMARY:**

With the number of established exotic species now numbering well into the hundreds in South Florida, the potential impact of invasives has emerged as a high-priority issue in planning the restoration and conservation of the Greater Everglades. The problem can be framed generally as a Markov decision process for which optimal solutions can be derived, even in the face of various sources and degrees of uncertainty.

***Monitoring vegetation change and wildlife use  
of Active Marsh Improvement sites***

**Principal Investigator:** H. Franklin Percival, Ray Carthy

**Funding Agency:** SFWMD

**Expected Completion:** 02/28/15 (UF PJ#00117049)

**Graduate Students:** M. Burgess

Ongoing active marsh improvement (AMI) projects in Water Conservation Area 2A have restored areas that were once monoculture cattail into open sloughs that are now well established foraging sites for wildlife. On-the-ground vegetation transects and wildlife counts have been collected, but to date, the absence of spatially explicit vegetation and wildlife data make it difficult to establish connections among habitat, wildlife foraging and populations. Spatial and temporal data collection of low-altitude, high-resolution imagery could be an important tool for both wildlife population estimation and fine-scale vegetation mapping. The objective is to obtain low-altitude, high resolution imagery data to assess effectiveness of AMI projects in WECA 2A. The UF UAS Program will provide and UAS and the crew to fly the AMI sites for three samples—two in Fall 2014 and one in January 2015. These data will be used to generate high-resolution maps and wildlife population estimates by District staff.. The UF UAS Program will provide coordination for the field missions and provide data electronically.

***To Advance, Test and Quantify Unmanned Aircraft System  
Capabilities for the US Geological Survey***

**Principal Investigator:** H. Franklin Percival, Ray Carthy

**Co-Principal Investigator(s):** Peter Ifju, Benjamin E. Wilkinson and Scot E. Smith

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 08/31/15 (RWO#290, UF PJ#00116529)

**Graduate Students:** M. Burgess

The University of Florida Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UF UAS) Group, consisting of faculty and students from the Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department, the Wildlife Ecology and Conservation Program, and the Geomatics Program propose to develop and field test an unmanned aircraft system specifically tailored to the requirements of the US Geological Survey UAS Program (USGS UAS). The goal of the USGS UAS is to support the integration of UAS technology into the process employed by USGS scientists to support informed decision making across the Department of the Interior. It has worked closely with other agencies and academia in support of common interests in this emerging technology. The Dept. of the Interior acquired Raven A fixed wing and T-Hawk rotor wing small UAS

systems which had been retired from the Department of Defense. USGS has acquired numerous Certificates of Authorization (COA) to conduct proof-of-concept projects in many parts of the United States. They also have trained several teams of scientists to conduct such missions addressing DOI objectives within geology, fish and wildlife biology, mining, and related natural resource disciplines. The USGS UAS desires to advance their technological capabilities beyond the Raven A and T Hawk.

The UF UAS has worked more than 14 years producing several sUAS models prior to its current Nova 2.1 (Figure 1), the only UAS developed specifically for natural resources applications. The small unmanned aircraft is completely battery powered, weighs 14 pounds fully loaded, and has a nine-foot wingspan. The aircraft is hand-launched and flown over the target study area autonomously by a qualified three-person crew: 1) a FAA-rated manned aircraft pilot; 2) an experienced ground control station operator; and 3) a qualified visual observer. Each crew member also has Class G medical certification. Each of the flight crew members has specific duties during a flight, and should the need arise; manual control of the aircraft can be instantly obtained to mitigate any unplanned situations. A plethora of failsafes are also incorporated into the autopilot system to safely recover the aircraft if necessary. The Nova 2.1 was specifically designed at the University of Florida as an affordable mapping-grade aerial imagery-collecting platform for ecological and natural resources-oriented studies. Once airborne, the Nova 2.1 can be programmed to fly any number of routes. For example, when mosaiced images of a study area are desired, the Nova 2.1 can fly parallel transects over a target study area to ensure complete ground coverage imagery for 60 minutes at a time. After an area has been thoroughly photographed, and the battery voltage gets below a specific threshold, the aircraft proceeds to a predetermined rally waypoint downwind, then begins a controlled descent spiral, and autonomously lands itself into the prevailing wind at a predetermined waypoint on the ground. The UAS also can be programmed to fly alternative routes to supply individual or smaller mosaics of repeated or random samples.

The FAA has established (and continues to roll out) a rigorous protocol for operating UAS in the National Airspace. For the size and weight class that corresponds to our UAS platforms the FAA requires that a Certificate of Authorization (COA) be established through the to fly autonomously. Only a handful of universities in the United States have been granted COAs by the FAA. The University of Florida has been granted over 14 COAs over the past two years. Airworthiness certification was issued to the Nova 2.1 by the US Army Redstone Arsenal. Although our airworthiness has expired, the Jacksonville District continues to operate under an airworthiness certificate on a commercially built UAS which is essentially the Nova 2.1. We also are granted permission to fly within the US Navy airspace of Webster Field and Bloodsworth Island Naval Gunnery Range this summer. The COAs under which the UF UAS operates permits low-altitude (<366 m above ground level) flight over isolated and unpopulated areas within one nautical mile radius of the ground control station. The prototype aircraft produced under this contract will be designed to operate within FAA guidelines in various environmental and geological features across the US.

The UF UAS has a track record of developing prototype and production aircraft for survey purposes. We developed the NOVA 2.1 which is still utilized by the Army Corps of Engineers to conduct vegetation and infrastructure studies. That aircraft went into production through a company called Altavian in Gainesville, FL. Patents from intellectual property developed in our lab are also licensed by Prioria Robotics who produces a small UAS called the Maverick. Other spin-off UAS companies include Innovative Automated Technologies and System Dynamics International, both Gainesville based companies.



Figure 1. The NOVA 2.1 can be hand launched and landed on land or water.

The USGS UAS goal is production of a prototype fixed-wing sUAS designed to be hand launched, amphibiously landed and carrying a payload suite consisting of a high resolution digital still camera, multispectral camera, and an infrared sensor. Such a payload in a sUAS is now not known to exist as a prototype and certainly a production model. The sensor package will be integrated with an on-board inertial measurement unit (IMU), computer and sync module to synchronize the acquisition of the sensors with the metadata from the IMU, thus allowing for accurate direct georeferencing of the sensor data. Data are to be stored on-board and downloaded between flights. Since all instruments are to be employed simultaneously on one aircraft and all must be accurately positioned with respect to the IMU, a sensor support structure will be designed and implemented.

The aircraft will then be designed to accommodate that support structure. This support structure will also serve to protect the instruments during hard landings. As a result of the form-factor for the instruments/support structure, our existing NOVA 2.1 will need extensive modification in order to accommodate the increased size and weight of the payload. The sensor package will be designed first, then the support structure, then the aircraft. It is anticipated from initial calculations that the overall weight will not vary significantly from that of the NOVA 2.1; therefore the wing and tail-plane will require some modifications. The fuselage will need significant modification to allow for installation of the instrument support structure.

Additionally, the aircraft will have the ability to land on water, fly for at least one hour between battery changes, and pack into a portable carrying case much like the NOVA 2.1. Provisions for short take-off-and-landing, by incorporating flaps and/or air-brakes, will allow for a broader range of operating environments. It will be designed to operate (from launch, through the entire flight plan, and then land) via autopilot. The autopilot that will be utilized is produced by Procerus (Kestrel 2.4 or newer) as well as the graphic user interface and ground station. The autopilot system has many built-in fail-safes for almost all anticipated incursions from manned aircraft into the operating airspace including RC pilot takeover, automatic rallying to a designated location or loitering in position. It also has lost com, low battery, low altitude and other built-in warnings.

It is anticipated that development of the first prototype will be accomplished before the end of the 2014 calendar year, while calibration of all instruments, testing and validation will be accomplished by May 2015. Field testing is proposed to be in the western US in a site or sites having significantly challenging geological features and an agricultural site near Atlanta, GA. Local tests and calibrations will be performed at our training/test facility at the Ordway-Swisher Biological Station (<http://ordway-swisher.ufl.edu/>) just 40 minutes from our campus lab. The UF UAS group also will provide training to USGS UAS on operation of the aircraft, autopilot and flight planning. Three workshops in Gainesville, FL with participants from USGS UAS and UF UAS are to be scheduled at the very beginning of the project to determine exact sensor models and specific direction of the project, in mid project after the initial construction of the sensor suite and aircraft, and at the end of the project to present the final prototype and findings. The final report shall consist of an operator's manual and FSP approved manuscripts describing the sensor suite and aircraft. Results of the field tests will be presented and a plan to collaboratively publish those results will be finalized at the last meeting.



***Using an Unmanned Aircraft systems payload  
to evaluate fine-scale remote sensing data for emergent  
and slough automated vegetation mapping***

***Principal Investigator:*** H. Franklin Percival, Ray Carthy

***Funding Agency:*** SFWMD

***Expected Completion:*** 09/01/14 (UF PJ#00116770)

***Graduate Students:*** M. Burgess

Previously, remote sensing analyses have been done at a fine-scale by hand or automatically at coarse scales. Improvements in technology have allowed us the ability to automatically classify fine-scale wetland communities with data from unmanned aircraft systems (Zweig et al. Accepted. Wetlands). Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) are currently limited in their applications because of issues associated with restricted flight areas, particularly around airports, but the equipment used to collect the aerial imagery (payload) is self-contained and has been mounted on the bottom of a South Florida Water Management District helicopter and is successful at acquiring imagery. We will use the UAS payload on the District helicopter to acquire data for emergent and slough vegetation mapping. This project will evaluate the ability to automatically classify fine-scale, spatially referenced remote sensing data from the UAV payload and to be able to reflect biomass and density of vegetation species and communities through the classification.

For this evaluation, the UF UAS Program will provide the payload which includes a 12-megapixel off-the-shelf digital SLR and a GPS-aided Inertial Navigation System that collect spatial position and pitch, roll, and yaw of the camera at the time the photo is taken to capture and geo-reference the data. The District will provide the helicopter and pilot to fly test transects. Transects within the Everglades will be selected to represent a diversity of vegetative species and communities and will be flown at 300 feet. Ground surveys will be conducted before and after flights to obtain biomass and density data to train the automatic classification program.

***Low-altitude imagery to assess vegetation density,  
vegetation health, and wildlife use of the  
stormwater treatment areas***

***Principal Investigator:*** Ray Carthy

***Funding Agency:*** SFWMD

***Expected Completion:*** 09/30/16(UF PJ#00118866)

***Graduate Students:*** M. Burgess

Vegetation is one of the primary mechanisms in Everglades Storm Water Treatment Areas (STA) phosphorus removal, either through physical and hydraulic resistance that helps with particulate settling, direct phosphorus uptake and eventual burial, or by providing a surface for periphyton and microbial colonization and activity. Previous studies suggest that the mode and scale of net phosphorus removal differ between emergent aquatic vegetation (EAV) and submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) cells, particularly by phosphorus species, as different forms tend to accumulate in different vegetation communities. Surveys conducted to date have been limited to high altitude aerial flights (at ~13,000 ft) and limited spot ground surveys for SAV relative density. While imagery acquired from these high altitude flights has been useful in estimating coverage of EAV in STA cells, it does not allow for assessment of SAV coverage, density, or condition. In addition, high altitude flights are limited to fully clear weather condition. More frequent and lower altitude aerial vegetation surveys are needed to make more meaningful assessment of the influence of vegetation type, species, coverage, density, condition, and tissue composition on short-term and long-term phosphorus removal and transformations at a fine-scale resolution. As a

project within Restoration Strategies, the focus is on the influence of spatial configuration of vegetation communities (community composition, coverage, density, health, stability, etc.) in flow-way P reduction performance and to better evaluate wildlife contributions/reductions of P in the STAs.

The University of Florida's Departments of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, Forest Resources and Conservation, and Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering (University) have successfully designed and have been utilizing a camera payload in unmanned aircraft (UAS). In both October 2013 and January 2014, the University's UAS team worked cooperatively with District scientists and pilots to test the same payload using the District's helicopter. Both tests were successful in testing the payload's ability to capture imagery for detailed plant species identification (including spatial coverage of SAV species, which was not previously possible) and wildlife surveys (waterfowl, wading birds, alligators, turtles, and pythons). Because of this, the District's scientists plan on using this technology for a five-year study on Evaluating Phosphorus Sources, Flux, and Transformation Processes in the Stormwater Treatment Areas. In addition, the same equipment can be made available for other studies or other assessments within the STAs or other areas.

The University will build and deliver two aerial imagery camera payloads for dedicated District use. Each payload will include: 18-megapixel, off-the-shelf digital SLR, one camera with infrared capability, a GPS-aided Inertial Navigation System that collects spatial position and pitch, roll, and yaw of the camera at the time the photo is taken to capture and geo-reference the data, a custom on-board computer to interface data, and an aluminum box to house the payload contents for proper mounting on the District helicopter. The University will test each payload unit and also provide technical support/repair over the next 2 years and periodic equipment updates to keep the payload current with UAS technology. The District already has a license for the software required to generate hydro-ecological data from the imagery.

The camera payload will allow the District to acquire high-resolution, spatial vegetation data for use in Restoration Strategies. These data will be used to determine how vegetation communities and spatial configuration affect phosphorus retention, and to provide spatial vegetation data for other sub-sections of this project. As an added benefit, this technology can and will be used in other projects critical to the District's mission: Active Marsh Improvement, Decomp Physical Model, invasive exotic management, STA monitoring, emergency management, and operations (levee/structure inspections).

### ***Demographic study of nesting loggerhead turtles on the St. Joseph Peninsula, FL***

***Principal Investigator:*** Ray Carthy

***Funding Agency:*** USGS

***Expected Completion:*** 12/31/14 (RWO#287, UF PJ#00115934)

***Technicians:*** Alexandria Abe, Elizabeth Agpalo, Piero Becker, Mary DiGiorgio, Kayla Goforth, Alexander Vidal

During the 2014 nesting season, 46 nests were documented on Eglin AFB property Cape San Blas (EAFB) and 141 nests were deposited on the St. Joseph Peninsula (SJP). Of all nests laid on Eglin, turtles were observed on 32 (70%) of those events and those 32 nests were deposited by 20 individuals. Of those 32 observed nesting events, 18 were deposited by apparent neophytes and 2 were deposited by remigrants. Of all nests laid on the SJP, turtles were observed on 115 (82%) of those events. Those 115 nesting events were deposited by 73 individuals. Of those 73 individuals, 62 (84%) were deposited by apparent neophytes and 11 (16%) were deposited by remigrants. The remigrants from both beaches were originally tagged in 2007, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 which resulted in remigration intervals of 7, 5, 4, 3 and 2 years respectively (mean 4.2 years). This mean observed remigration interval is comparable to the long-term (14-year) mean of 4.4 years reported by Lamont et al. 2014. Over the past three years (2011-2013) we have observed an increase in the proportion of remigrants at our study site. From 1998-2011, remigrants made up only 7% of the tagged turtles, whereas from 2011 to 2013 remigrants have made up approximately 30% of the tagged turtles. Although the proportion of remigrants observed in 2014 was higher than that reported by Lamont et al. (2014), it is lower than the average observed over the past 3 years. Continued

tagging at this site will help us better understand the true remigration rate of adult females in this subpopulation. In addition to our saturation tagging program, we analyzed and summarized our satellite tracking data gathered from 2010-2013. We published a manuscript (Hart et al. 2014) identifying and characterizing the foraging areas used by all turtles we have satellite tagged after nesting on beaches in Northwest Florida and Alabama. We identified 5 regions where turtles foraged: 1) West Florida (36%), 2) Northern Gulf of Mexico (32%), 3) Yucatan Peninsula, MX (18%), 4) Subtropical Northwest Atlantic (11%), and 5) Western Gulf of Mexico (2%). In addition to highlighting these regions as important foraging habitat for nesting females from this subpopulation, we showed that these areas overlap with areas of shrimp trawling and oil exploration.

### ***Sea Turtle & Escarpment Monitoring***

***Principal Investigator:*** Raymond Carthy

***Funding Agency:*** MRD Associates

***Expected Completion:*** 8/31/2014 (UF PJ#00104186)

The Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit (Coop Unit) at the University of Florida has been conducting sea turtle surveys along Cape San Blas at the southern tip of the St. Joseph Peninsula since 1994. Prior to these surveys, little was known about species, nesting densities, site fidelity and distribution of nesting in this region. Since we initiated our surveys, our data has helped determine that the group of loggerhead turtles nesting in Northwest Florida is genetically distinct from loggerheads nesting throughout the southeast and that the St. Joseph Peninsula supports the greatest nesting density of these unique turtles. Our



surveys involve nest marking, data collection, nest relocation, screening for predators when necessary, and hatching inventories. In 1998, the Coop Unit initiated a saturation tagging program that involves nightly surveys for nesting turtles. When a nesting female is encountered, she is tagged and morphometric data are collected. Tagging the turtle enables us to individually identify nesting females which helps estimate population size, site fidelity, and movement patterns. Since 1998, more than 500 turtles have been tagged. The Coop Unit will use the knowledge and many of the methods gained from conducting surveys for 18 seasons along Cape San Blas to survey nesting turtles on the adjacent 7.5 km along the St. Joseph Peninsula.

As sea levels rise, coastal habitat erodes and humans utilize various techniques to reduce erosion from damaging or destroying their homes and investments. Beach nourishment is rapidly becoming the primary method used to restore highly eroded beaches. However, effects of an ongoing nourishment project on nesting sea turtles are largely unknown. Data collected during this project will provide valuable information regarding effects of beach nourishment on abundance and distribution of sea turtle nests, nesting success, and hatching success.

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

Determine the effects of an active beach nourishment project on:

- 1) Nesting distribution

- 2) Nesting abundance
- 3) Nesting success, and
- 4) Hatching success of sea turtles nesting along the St. Joseph Peninsula

#### PROGRESS:

Interim reports have been submitted to the members of the funding agency and the Gulf County Board of Commissioners. The first nest observed along the St. Joseph Peninsula (SJP) in 2013 occurred on May 23. During the 2013 nesting season, 95 loggerhead nests and one green nest were deposited along SJP. The last nest of the season was observed on August 20.

No tropical systems affected SJP nesting this season which contributed to a successful hatching season. Success of all nests was 63%. Success of the one green turtle nest was 93%. The mean incubation rate of all loggerhead nests was 66 days; the green nest hatched after 67 days incubation.

	<i>C. caretta</i> (Loggerhead)	<i>C. mydas</i> (Green)
Total # of Nests	95	1
Total # of Non-Nesting Emergences (False Crawls)	57	0
Date of First Documented Nest	05/23/2013	07/31/2013
Date of Last Documented Nest	08/20/2013	07/31/2013
Total # of Nests Left in Place	95	1
Total # of Nests Relocated	0	0

	<i>C. caretta</i> (Loggerhead)	<i>C. mydas</i> (Green)
# of Nests Inventoried	79	1
Total # of Hatched Nests	63	1
Total # of Unhatched Nests	25	0
Total # of Hatchlings in Nest	69	0
Total # of Empty Shells	5042	131
Total # of Pipped Eggs	53	0
Total # of Whole Eggs	2741	10
Total # of Damaged Eggs	322	0
Average Hatching Success % (#emerged/#eggs laid)	63%	93%
Average Incubation Length (date laid to first emergence)	66 days	67 days

#### SUMMARY:

As sea levels rise and coastal erosion increases, beach nourishment is rapidly becoming the primary method used to restore this habitat and protect homes and investments. Data collected during this project will provide valuable information regarding effects of beach nourishment on abundance and distribution of sea turtle nests, nesting success, and hatching success



## ***Loggerhead Nest Content Collection to Determine Impacts from the Deepwater Horizon Spill***

**Principal Investigator:** Raymond Carthy

**Funding Agency:** NRDA/USGS

**Expected Completion:** 7/31/2014 (RWO#277, UF PJ#00100817)

**Graduate Students:** Jessica McKenzie

Potential impacts of oil and dispersants from the Deepwater Horizon/Mississippi Canyon 252 (MC 252) Oil Spill on Gulf coast loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) may range from mortality to sub lethal stress and chronic impairment, including potential deleterious effects on reproduction and recruitment. Response and cleanup efforts may also cause impacts to nesting turtles, their nests, and hatchlings. Sub lethal or latent effects, such as harm to the reproductive system, would not be detectable by physical examination. Nesting turtles and post-hatchlings may also be subject to continued exposure and adverse effects if oil, dispersant, and associated chemicals persist in the marine environment, including the marine food web. Indirect impacts from potential habitat degradation and loss of prey resources may reduce survival and reproduction. The purpose of this project was to assist in documenting associated impacts of the MC 252 spill to the adult, hatchling and egg life stages of loggerhead sea turtles.

### **OBJECTIVES:**

- 1) Determine habitat use of adult nesting female loggerheads through satellite telemetry.
- 2) Assess post-spill nesting activity by comparing numbers, density and distribution of nests to previously recorded levels.
- 3) Collect data on site fidelity, size, growth rates and fecundity using flipper tagging and pit tagging to supplement our long-term assessment of vital rates for this loggerhead subpopulation,
- 4) Assess sea turtle hatching success and hatchling production.
- 5) Train volunteers and interns in sea turtle survey and research activities, and examine efficacy of public conservation education and outreach techniques

### **PROGRESS:**

Samples were collected from nests deposited on the St. Joseph Peninsula and were then successfully transferred to the appropriate office along with all of the associated paperwork. Because of the ongoing court case associated with this oil spill, no details regarding samples or results of our work are provided to us. All details are confidential.

### **SUMMARY:**

Potential impacts of oil and dispersants from the Deepwater Horizon/Mississippi Canyon 252 (MC 252) Oil Spill on Gulf coast loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) may range from mortality to sub lethal stress and chronic impairment, including potential deleterious effects on reproduction and recruitment. This project has assisted ongoing efforts to document any impacts to loggerheads nesting in the northern Gulf of Mexico.

## ***Effects of Coastal Dynamics and Climate on Loggerhead Turtle Nest Success and Management***

**Principal Investigator:** Ray Carthy

**Co-Principal Investigator:** Susan Jacobson

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 8/31/2015 (RWO#285, UF PJ#00110535)

**Graduate Students:** Nia Haynes, Nichole Bishop

Sea turtle nesting beaches in the southeastern U.S. are vulnerable to a variety of anthropogenic, ecological and climatic stressors. Nesting success in these unique and diverse beach habitats is becoming increasingly dependent on management interventions. In response to coastal development, predation, high tidal fluctuations, erosion, and risk of inundation, actions may range from protected area designation down to nest relocation. The purpose of this project is to develop a better understanding of specific responses to nesting beach stressors, by both sea turtles and humans. This will be accomplished by surveying of sea turtle nesting and utilizing an array of monitoring techniques for the physical environment. A secondary objective of the project is to provide an educational training experience for the undergraduate interns involved in the Doris Duke Conservation Scholarship Program. The project will provide partial support for a Ph.D. student who will carry out the primary research, and will provide partial support for another Ph.D. student whose research focuses on barriers to diversity in the natural resources profession and mechanisms to overcome the barriers. The latter student will be involved in recruitment and training of the undergraduate interns that will assist in the field research, and will evaluate that process as well as the success of the internship program as a part of her dissertation research. The graduate students will identify a group of potential sub-projects that together converge into the larger project and then guide the interns in conducting the field activities related to subprojects. At the end of the season, the grad students will synthesize the results of these sub-projects into a cohesive whole. The graduate students will evaluate the effectiveness of the internship program as a model for future projects and will fully document the process, outcomes, and lessons learned from the program. At this point in time, the undergraduate interns have been recruited, and research sites on the east and west coasts of Florida have been selected.

### **Doris Duke Charitable Foundation National Educational Partnership for Conservation**

At the Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, funding received from the USGS Directorship was put into a new RWO to fund research on the effects of climate change on coastal ecosystems and T&E species, and to support the graduate student mentors for the five UF DDCSP interns. A Ph.D. student, Nia Haynes, was chosen to help with intern recruiting and a second Ph.D. student, Nichole Bishop, was chosen to conduct research and to mentor the undergraduate students employed through the DDCSP on their summer research experience. As a result of the recruiting efforts, 21 students (1 Asian, 3 black, 1 Hispanic, 8 multi-racial, and 8 Caucasian) applied to the program. Of these, 5 were accepted (1 Asian, 2 black, 2 multi-racial). This past summer they studied coastal ecosystems as they lived and worked at two University of Florida facilities: Seahorse Key Marine Laboratory on the west coast of Florida, where their hands-on lessons included ecology of tidal mud flats, oyster and clam bars, nesting shorebirds, herpetology and research on predator-prey relationships; and the Whitney Laboratory on the east coast where they will focused on beaches, barrier islands, effects of climate change, and participated in sea turtle research.



During their stay at the Whitney Laboratory, the interns selected and carried out individual projects based on their interests. Three of their projects were directly related to management issues of importance to the USFWS and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC), affecting threatened and endangered species (predation, anthropogenic impacts, and species ranges):

- Alec Cronin– Distribution and density of ghost crab burrows in proximity to sea turtle nests on driving and non-driving beaches.

- Jaclyn Selden– Monitoring of predation on sea turtle nests on preserve and non-preserve beaches using trail cameras.
- Jackie Zheng– Presence/absence of Amelia Island Beach Mouse on Archie Carr Beach in Marineland, FL.

One intern worked with UF faculty and graduate students on neurological research using a marine invertebrate model:

- Nadia Kemal– Neuron mapping in polychaetes.

The final intern, a graphic arts major with an interest in natural resources, worked on a human dimensions/ public education-oriented project:

- Adreenah Wynn– Creating signage for the Whitney Marine Lab Nature Walk.

Our primary graduate student mentor, Nichole Bishop, has worked with the students both through their summer research experience and during the academic year. Nichole came to us with an outstanding academic background and track record of commitment to diversity issues and the natural resource field. She holds a Master's of Education degree, and in 2013 completed a second Master's on the ecology and physiology of wasting disease in sea grasses. She was active since January 2014 in planning the summer research experience for the interns, and took part in their interview and selection process in February and March. The Directorship funding provided an



initial stipend and research support for this outstanding student, whose background in both education and the natural sciences are greatly benefitting the DDCSP. In addition helping them develop their individual projects, Nichole led the interns on an intensive group project aimed at establishing physical and ecological baselines for long-term effects of climate change on Florida beaches. The students gained valuable experience in setting permanent transects and analyzing beach characteristics at Marineland Beach, Crescent Beach, and Guana Tolomato Matanzas National Estuarine Reserve (beach profiles, sand grain size, sand gas exchange), and cataloging natural communities and biodiversity. This cohort of interns was able to observe short-term seasonal changes during their stay, and we hope

that future interns can continue to learn about the effects of climate change over broader time scales as they continue to assess the data from these benchmarks. The field skills and technique knowledge that the interns acquired during the summer will likely serve them well in their second year of the program and beyond graduation. Nichole is currently focusing on nutritional ecology of sea turtles as it relates to their recruitment, response to climate-mediated fluctuations in prey-base, selection of developmental areas, and treatment in rehabilitation facilities. She is anticipating involvement of the current and next cohorts of DDCSP interns in her research efforts.

The active role that the Directorship funding has allowed the Coop Unit faculty and students to play in the DDCSP has engendered a real synergy at the University level. The Chair of the Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, Dr. Eric Hellgren, is committed to the success and continuation of the Program. Three Wildlife faculty members, Dr. Susan Jacobson, Dr. Bill Pine, and Dr. Christina Romagosa, and Dr. Todd Osborne from Biogeochemistry have engaged as PI's and/or mentors. Beyond the University, the USFWS, FWC, and the Florida Wildlife Federation have expressed strong interest in hosting DDCSP interns and helping to further their training and careers.

***Demographic, Movement and Habitat of the  
Endangered Snail Kite in Response to Operational Plans  
in Water Conservation Area 3A***

**Principal Investigator:** Robert Fletcher

**Funding Agency:** U.S. ACOE (Jacksonville); USGS

**Expected Completion:** 3/31/2015 (UF  
PJ#00088028)

**Graduate Students:** Chris Cattau, Brian Reichert,  
Ellen Robertson, Rebecca Wilcox

**Personnel:** Brian Jeffery, Whitney Haskell, Thomas  
Bacher, Lauren Diaz, Ryan Diebler, Sarah Dudek,  
Bradford Westrich



This report concentrates on demographic data collected during 2013, but also incorporates data collected since 1992. Recent demographic results reveal that snail kite abundance has drastically declined since 1999, with the population essentially halving from 2000 to 2002 and again from 2006 to 2008. Each of these two periods of population decline coincided, in part, with a severe regional drought throughout the southern portion of the kites' range. The 2001 drought significantly, yet temporarily, affected adult survival, especially for kites within the Everglades region, and the nesting patterns and lack of recruitment that have been observed since that time give us special concern about the recovery of the snail kite population. A life table response experiment (LTRE) has shown that 80% of the reduction in the stochastic population growth rate is attributable to adult fertility (i.e., the product of (1) young fledged per adult and (2) juvenile survival). Preliminary results from a population viability analysis (PVA) conducted in 2010 predict a 95% probability of population extinction within 40 years. These results are especially concerning, as they indicate an increased risk of extinction when compared to results from a previous PVA conducted in 2006. Recent analyses also provide indications of an aging population with problems inherent to older individuals, including increased adult mortality rates and decreased probabilities of attempting to breed, both of which have been shown to be exacerbated during times of harsh environmental conditions.

Multiple factors may be limiting the reproductive ability of the kites and reducing the carrying capacity of several of the wetland units throughout the state, and the reasons for this severe decline in population viability are probably tied to both short-term natural disturbances (e.g., drought) and long-term habitat degradations (e.g., the conversion of wet prairies to sloughs in WCA3A). There has been a notable decline in snail kite production from two critical snail kite habitats, WCA3A and Lake Okeechobee. No young were fledged in WCA3A in 2001, 2005, 2007, 2008, or 2010. In 2012, only one successful nest, which fledged one young, was observed in WCA3A. In 2013, fecundity was increased in WCA3A as it contributed 13% of the overall observed nesting effort and 7% of the fledgling production. The decline in breeding activity and nest productivity observed in WCA3A over recent years may reflect deteriorating habitat quality, although data from 2013 are promising. Conditions suitable to snail kite reproduction in Okeechobee, on the other hand, may have improved over the past few years. In 2010, nesting was observed on Okeechobee for the first time since 2006. Then in both 2011 and 2012, Okeechobee was the third most productive wetland (in terms of kite reproduction) range-wide. In 2013, Okeechobee was the most productive wetland, in terms of overall kite production, with 24% of observed fledglings across all sites monitored arising from Lake Okeechobee.

The relatively low reproductive output from Okeechobee (1997-2010) and WCA3A (2001-present) left the kite population heavily concentrated in and dependent upon the Kissimmee River Valley in recent years, particularly Lake Toho, which accounted for 41% of all successful nests and 57% of all fledged young that were documented on a range-wide basis from 2005-2010. In 2012, Toho accounted for 25% and 24% of all successful nests and fledged young, respectively. In 2013, Toho was the second largest contributor to observed nesting effort and was third in



contributing to the number of observed fledglings. Two other notable changes occurred in 2013: we found a greater proportion of nests in Lake Kissimmee than in previous years, and we found a large number of nests in two Stormwater Treatment Areas (STA 1 and 5). For 2013, Lake Kissimmee ranked fourth in the number of observed fledglings and the STAs ranked third.

For 2013, we estimated the population size to be 1198 (with a 95% confidence interval of 1086-1309). This estimate suggests that population size did not substantially change from 2012 to 2013, although this estimate is higher than estimates from 2011 and 2010 (approximately 925 individuals were estimated in 2011 and 826 individuals in 2010). These estimates, along with the increased number of fledglings counted during the 2011, 2012, and 2013 breeding seasons are encouraging trends, yet it remains unclear whether such trends signify the beginning of a recovery phase. In this report we detail new findings related to snail kite demography and foraging, as well as a new assessment regarding the reliability of the monitoring effort. We also make specific recommendations that may help guide management decisions aimed at increasing kite population growth rate.

## **OBJECTIVES:**

Snail Kite survival depends on maintaining hydrologic conditions that support these specific vegetative communities and subsequent apple snail availability in at least a subset of critically-sized wetlands across the region each year (Bennetts et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2006). The historical range of the Snail Kite once covered over 4000 km<sup>2</sup> (2480 mi<sup>2</sup>) in Florida, including the panhandle region (Davis & Ogden, 1994; Sykes et al., 1995), but since the mid-1900s it has been restricted mainly to the watersheds of the Everglades, Lake Okeechobee, Loxahatchee Slough, the Kissimmee River Valley (KRV), and the Upper St. Johns River of the central and southern peninsula (Fig. 1). After several decades of landscape fragmentation and hydroscape alteration, the kite population is now confined to a fragmented network of freshwater wetlands that remain within its historical range, and the viability of the population rests entirely on the conditions and dynamics of these wetland fragments (Bennetts & Kitchens, 1997; Martin, 2007). The Snail Kite is unique in that it is the only avian species that occurs throughout the central and south Florida ecosystem and whose population in the U.S. is restricted to freshwater wetlands in this region. The dependence of the Snail Kite on these habitats makes it an excellent barometer of the success of the restoration efforts currently underway (Kitchens et al., 2002) (e.g. USFWS *Multi-Species Transition Strategy for Water Conservation Area 3A*, 2010).

Wetland habitats throughout central and southern Florida are constantly fluctuating in response to climatic or managerial influences, resulting in a mosaic of hydrologic regimes and vegetative communities. Snail Kites respond to these fluctuations demographically and through movements within the network of wetlands in central and southern Florida (Bennetts & Kitchens, 1997; Kitchens et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2006, 2007a, 2007b). In order to optimize conservation strategies for the complex system inhabited by the Snail Kite in Florida, it is essential to have a thorough understanding of the kite's ability to move among wetlands, their resistance and resilience to disturbance events (e.g., droughts), and the demographic effects that specific management actions and other habitat changes have on the kite population.

The objective of this research is to monitor the birds' response to environmental changes (anthropogenic and natural) focusing on the most critical demographic parameters: survival, reproduction, recruitment, and population growth rate. Because those demographic parameters are heavily influenced by the behavior of the birds (i.e. their ability to move and select suitable habitats), movement studies constitute the other major aspect of the research. There are 2 overarching objectives: 1) to evaluate the underlying mechanisms and processes driving the population dynamics of the kites; 2) to provide reliable estimates of demographic parameters and movement probabilities to upgrade management models to optimize management decisions.



### PROGRESS:

Mark-recapture models provide a powerful framework for estimating critical demographic (survival, population growth rate) and movement parameters. The recent advances in modeling allow for the combination of mark recapture and radio telemetry information, providing better estimates of survival and movement rates, and increasing power of statistical inferences (Williams et al 2002, Nasution et al. 2001).

By utilizing the long-term band-resight dataset, which began in 1976, we are able to identify senescence rates among the aging cohorts of the snail kite population. Senescence is defined as an increasing intrinsic rate of death, and is common among wild populations. Understanding

how severe environmental conditions (such as droughts) disproportionately impact the survival probabilities of older snail kites will help to refine vital rates that are critical to our monitoring efforts.

### Preliminary findings:

- Snail kites are more philopatric than previously anticipated.
- Preliminary aircraft radio surveys have also enabled us to obtain more precise survival estimates during dry wetland conditions.
- Our analyses of radiotelemetry, using multistate models, indicate that snail kite movements are not as extensive as previously thought especially between habitats that have been altered by fragmentation.
- Our study also highlights the importance of taking into consideration the fact that kites movement are both distance dependent and affected by fragmentation, when managing the hydrology of wetlands used by this species.
- Snail kites do experience increased rates of mortality in their oldest ages
- Breeding probabilities of birds in different age classes are differentially affected by drought.
- All young fledged and radioed in the Kissimmee Chain of Lakes (KCOL) in 2008, stayed in the KCOL through the entire year.

### SUMMARY:

The objective of this research is to monitor the birds' response to environmental changes (anthropogenic and natural) focusing on the most critical demographic parameters: survival, reproduction, recruitment, and population growth rate. Because those demographic parameters are heavily influenced by the behavior of the birds (i.e. their ability to move and select suitable habitats), movement studies constitute the other major aspect of the research. There are 2 overarching objectives: 1) to evaluate the underlying mechanisms and processes driving the population dynamics of the kites; 2) to provide reliable estimates of demographic parameters and movement probabilities to upgrade management models to optimize management decisions.



***Linking Snail Kite Foraging Activity, Habitat Quality,  
and Critical Demographic Parameters to Guide Effective Conservation Efforts in the Southern  
Everglades***

**Principal Investigator:** Robert Fletcher

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 06/07/2015 (RWO#269, UF PJ#00088726)

**Graduate Students:** Rebecca Wilcox, Chris Cattau

**Technicians:** Daniel Cavanaugh, Ryan Diebler


Recent demographic studies reveal alarming trends in the snail kite population in Florida. Kite numbers have drastically declined since 1999, with the population essentially halving from 2000 to 2002 and again from 2006 to 2008. Concurrent with the population decline is a corresponding decline in nesting attempts, nest success, and the number of young fledged. A number of factors have likely contributed to these observed declines, including short-term natural disturbances (e.g., drought) and long-term habitat degradations (e.g., the conversion of wet prairies to sloughs in WCA3A). In relation to maintaining the long-term stability of the snail kite population, WCA3A is commonly recognized as stronghold for kite reproduction. However, snail kite reproduction in WCA3A sharply decreased after 1998. Given that reproduction may be largely limiting snail kite population growth and recovery, it is critical to understand the factors affecting reproduction in WCA3A. Natural resource managers currently lack a fully integrative approach to managing hydrology and vegetative communities with respect to the apple snail and snail kite populations. This report presents the status of our progress on (1) the integrated data synthesis effort, linking existing snail kite and apple snail data, and (2) the targeted field research being conducted to fill critical information gaps in our understanding of the interactions between/among hydrology, vegetation, snails and kites.

**OBJECTIVES:**

The endangered snail kite (*Rostrhamus sociabilis*) is a wetland-dependent species feeding almost exclusively on a single species of aquatic snail, the Florida apple snail (*Pomacea paludosa*). The viability of the kite population is therefore dependent on the hydrologic conditions (both short-term and long-term) that (1) maintain sufficient abundances and densities of apple snails, and (2) provide suitable conditions for snail kite foraging and nesting, which include specific vegetative community compositions. Many wetlands comprising the range of the snail kite are no longer sustained by the natural processes under which they evolved (USFWS 1999, RECOVER 2005), and hence, are not necessarily characteristic of the historical ecosystems that once supported the kite population (Bennetts & Kitchens 1999, Martin et al. 2008). In addition, natural resource managers currently lack a fully integrative approach to managing hydrology and vegetative communities with respect to the apple snail and snail kite populations.

Given the critically endangered status of the snail kite and the dependence of the population growth rate on adult fertility (Martin et al. 2008), it is imperative that we improve our understanding of how hydrological conditions effect kite reproduction and recruitment. In relation to maintaining the long-term stability of the snail kite population, WCA3A is commonly recognized as one of the 'most critical' wetlands comprising the range of the kite in Florida (see Bennetts & Kitchens 1997, Mooij et al. 2002, Martin et al. 2006, 2008). However, snail kite reproduction in WCA3A sharply decreased after 1998 (Martin et al. 2008), and alarmingly, no kites were fledged there in 2001, 2005, 2007, or 2008. Furthermore, Bowling (2008) found that juvenile movement probabilities away (emigrating) from WCA3A were significantly higher for the few kites that did fledge there in recent years (i.e. 2003, 2004, 2006) compared to those that fledged there in the 1990s. The paucity of reproduction in and the high probability of juveniles emigrating from WCA3A are likely indicative of habitat degradation (Bowling 2008, Martin et al. 2008), which may stem, at least in part, from a shift in water management regimes (Zweig & Kitchens 2008).

Figure 1. Conceptual model of environmental and biological variables affecting key demographic parameters of the snail kite population.



Given the recent demographic trends in snail kite population, the need for a comprehensive conservation strategy is imperative; however, information gaps (Fig. 1) currently preclude our ability to simultaneously manage the hydrology in WCA3A with respect to vegetation, snails, and kites. While there have been significant efforts in filling critical information gaps regarding snail kite demography (e.g., Martin et al. 2008) and variation in apple snail density to water management issues (e.g., Darby et al. 2002, Karunaratne et al. 2006, Darby et al. 2008), there is surprisingly very little information relevant for management that directly links variation in apple snail density with the demography and behavior of snail kites (but see Bennetts et al. 2006). The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) have increasingly sought information



pertaining to the potential effects of specific hydrological management regimes with respect to the apple snail and snail kite populations, as well as the vegetative communities that support them.

## **PROGRESS:**

This study is complementary to the demographic study entitled “Continued Studies of the Demography, Movement, Population Growth and Extinction Parameters of the Snail Kite in Florida”. In order to address the aforementioned objectives, we are currently analyzing the integrated historic snail kite and apple snail data, along with pertinent data related to hydrology and vegetation, as we attempt to elucidate environmental and biological variables affecting key demographic parameters of the snail kite population. We are also conducting further field research on snail kite habitat use, foraging activity, survival and reproduction that is necessary to fill the critical information gaps identified in Figure 1.

### **Integrated Synthesis of Existing Data**

The Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit (Coop) has conducted range-wide monitoring of the snail kite population since 1992 and has a wealth of demographic and behavioral data. Dr. Darby from the University of West Florida has sampled snail densities at various sites throughout the range of the snail kite from 2002 to present; however, snail sampling is time/labor intensive and was often conducted on a limited scale to address specific research questions, thus the historic snail data is spatiotemporally sporadic, with only a few sites sampled during multiple consecutive years. Dr. Darby has provided us the complete datasets for all snail sites sampled in WCA3A from 2002-2010 (except 2008 in which no snail sampling occurred) so our current integrated synthesis analyses will focus on historic data from WCA3A.

We linked nesting data collected by the long-term snail kite monitoring program with 44 spatiotemporally-overlapping native snail density estimates collected in WCA3A from 2002–2010. We found evidence that key components of kite breeding biology—nest density and number of young fledged per successful nest—were positively related to snail density. While previous studies have shown that capture times for individual foraging kites begin to level off as snail densities exceed approximately 0.4 snails/m<sup>2</sup>, we found continued numerical responses in these reproductive parameters at higher snail densities. At occupied sites (i.e., snail sampling sites in which  $\geq 1$  snail kite nest was present within a 2-km radius during the primary sampling period: March–May) the average snail density was 0.45 snails/m<sup>2</sup> (SE = 0.12, n = 17), while at unoccupied sites it was 0.12 snails/m<sup>2</sup> (SE = 0.02, n = 27). Along the snail density gradient from 0.2 to 0.4 to 1.2 snails/m<sup>2</sup>, model predictions indicated that (1) the probability of kites nesting within 2 km of a snail sampling site increases from 0.48 to 0.69 to 0.90, (2) local nest abundance of occupied sites increases from 4 to 7 to 16 nests, and (3) the probability of a successful nesting attempt fledging more than one young increases from 0.02 to 0.07 to 0.43. We found no evidence of a snail density effect on nest survival.

### **Determining the survival, movement probabilities, foraging polygons, snail capture rates, capture vegetation and nesting home ranges of kites**

Foraging observations were conducted on breeding snail kites in WCA 3A throughout the 2011-2013 breeding seasons. During the observation period the length of time of each activity performed by the observed bird (perching, flying, foraging, sitting on nest, etc.) would be recorded to the nearest second. Additionally, spatial locations of perches, snail capture points, and attempted capture points were estimated using a rangefinder and digital compass. The dominant vegetation type at each was visually identified. Nests were revisited every 3-4 days, and observations were completed if the nest had not failed or fledged young. The spatial points were used to calculate 95% kernel polygons using ABODE in ArcGIS 9.3. These polygons and the associated foraging points were provided to Dr. Phil Darby, who then sampled them and determined a snail density for each polygon (2011-2012). Vegetation was sampled at various capture points from each observed nest, and snail shells underneath snail kite perches were collected and measured.


From February to May 2011, 21 nests were observed. Snail densities were estimated for four breeding snail kite home ranges.

From March to October 2012 observations were conducted on snail kites associated with 17 different nests. Snail densities were measured in WCA 3B.

From January to October 2013 observations were conducted on snail kites associated with over 50 different nests.

Additionally, vegetation sampling occurred at foraging points within each home range in 2011-2013. Analysis of 2011-2012 data shows that the dominant foraging community in both WCA3A and WCA3B was *E. cellulosa* (by more than twice the next community), followed by *B. caroliniana*, and *C. jamaicense/E. cellulosa* (Fig. 2). Using the landscape-scale data, we developed a multistate model to hindcast the availability of kite foraging habitat from 1996-2009 (Zweig and Kitchens *in press*), and in concert with the foraging data, we demonstrate that the most important kite foraging community (*E. cellulosa*) has been decreasing over time since approximately 2001.

Figure 2. Number of snail kite capture points within each of the five community clusters in WCA3A and WCA3B, 2011- 2012.



We will continue to examining the relationships between, foraging rates, home range area, vegetation communities, and snail densities.

#### SUMMARY:

Information gaps (identified in Figure 1) currently preclude our ability to simultaneously manage hydrology with respect to vegetation, snails and kites in WCA3A. Synthesizing and analyzing available overlapping datasets, as well as collecting additional targeted data, will help elucidate key components in this system's dynamics, which will aid management decisions for WCA3A and improve recovery planning efforts for the endangered snail kite.

***American Alligator Distribution, Size, and Hole Occupancy and  
American Crocodile Juvenile Growth and Survival***

***Principal Investigator:*** Frank Mazzotti

***Co-Principal Investigator(s):*** Kristen Hart, Laura Brandt, Michael Cherkiss

***Funding Agency:*** USGS

***Expected Completion:*** 3/31/15 (RWO#268, UF PJ#00089760 & 00090420)

***Graduate Students:*** Jeffrey Beauchamp

***Biological Technicians:*** Rafael Crespo, Michelle Curtis, Seth Farris, Sara Williams, Ed Metzger,  
Michael Rochford

The Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) of 2000 authorized the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) as a framework for modifications and operational changes to the Central and Southern Florida Project needed to restore the South Florida ecosystem. Provisions within WRDA 2000 provide for specific authorization for an adaptive assessment and monitoring program. A Monitoring and Assessment Plan (MAP) (RECOVER 2004, 2006) has been developed as the primary tool to assess the system-wide performance of the CERP by the REstoration, COordination and VERification (RECOVER) program. The MAP presents the monitoring and supporting research needed to measure the responses of the South Florida ecosystem to CERP implementation.


At all life stages, crocodilians integrate biological impacts of hydrologic conditions (Mazzotti and Brandt 1994, Mazzotti 1999, Mazzotti and Cherkiss 2003, Rice et al. 2005). Florida's two native species of crocodilians—the American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*) and the American crocodile (*Crocodylus acutus*)—are important indicators of health of the Everglades ecosystem because research has linked three key aspects of Everglades' ecology to them: (1) top predators such as crocodilians are directly dependent on prey density, especially aquatic and semi-aquatic organisms, and thus they provide a surrogate for status of many other species, (2) drier (nests) and wetter (trails and holes) conditions created by ecosystem engineers like alligators provide habitat for plants and animals that otherwise would not be able to survive. This increases diversity and productivity of Everglades marshes (Kushlan and Kushlan 1980, Palmer and Mazzotti 2004, Campbell and Mazzotti 2004) and, therefore, alligator monitoring can indicate overall health of the marsh (3) the distribution and abundance of crocodilians in estuaries is directly dependent on timing, amount, and location of freshwater flow (Dunson and Mazzotti 1989, Mazzotti and Dunson 1989); crocodiles and alligators exhibit an immediate response to changes in freshwater inputs into the estuaries.

RECOVER's conceptual ecological models (CEMs) for the Total System, Biscayne Bay, Southern Marl Prairies, Ridge and Slough, and Mangrove Estuarine ecosystems identify three major stressors to wetlands that are affecting populations of alligators and crocodiles: (1) water management practices (affecting hydrology); (2) agricultural and urban development (affecting habitat loss and hydrology); and (3) decreased freshwater flow to estuaries (affecting salinity regimes) (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 2004). Results of this proposed MAP project will increase certainty of CEM linkages hypothesizing population responses to the restoration of freshwater flow and salinity patterns in estuaries and the return of more natural hydro patterns in interior wetlands and alligator holes.

Restoration success or failure can be evaluated by comparing recent and future trends and status of crocodilian populations with historical population data and model predictions, as stated in the CERP hypotheses related to alligators and crocodiles (CERP MAP section 3.1.2.5 and 3.1.2.6, USACE 2004). Importantly, these data can be used in an analysis designed to distinguish between effects of CERP and those of non-CERP events such as hurricanes or droughts. The CERP and RECOVER MAP hypotheses and goals related to crocodilians are as follows:

## Alligators

- Restoration of hydropatterns (depth, duration, distribution, and flow) in Southern Marl Prairies/Rocky Glades will expand the distribution and abundance of reproducing alligators and active alligator holes and will restore the keystone role of alligator holes as refugia for aquatic fauna.
- Restoration of estuarine salinity regimes will expand distribution and abundance of reproducing alligators into oligohaline portions of estuaries.
- Restoration of hydropatterns in ridge and slough landscape will sustain current populations of alligators and improve body condition of alligators in ridge and slough landscape




## Crocodiles

- Restoration of freshwater flows and salinity regimes to estuaries will increase growth and survival of crocodiles.



- Restoration of location of freshwater flow will result in an increase in relative density of crocodiles in areas of restored flow, such as Taylor Slough/C-111 drainage.



Concerns about these indicators relate primarily to their respective roles as top predator, keystone species, and ecosystem engineer (American alligator), and top predator, flagship species, estuarine dwelling, and federally threatened species (American crocodile). Reproduction, growth, and survival of crocodilians are dependent on food availability—birds, mammals, fish, and macroinvertebrates, which in turn are dependent on hydrologic conditions. Loss of flow and relatively dry hydrologic conditions resulting from water management practices over the past several decades, and loss of habitat (due partly to reduced areas of inundation, increased dry downs, and increased salinization) in portions of the Everglades have adversely affected alligators and crocodiles (Mazzotti and Brandt 1994, Mazzotti and Cherkiss 2003, Rice et al. 2005, Mazzotti et al. 2009). Loss of habitat in Southern Marl Prairies and Rocky Glades and reduction in depth and period of inundation in remaining Everglades wetlands have reduced abundance of alligators and alligator holes in these habitats (Craighead 1968). Other areas are impacted by ponding and altered timing of increased water depths, resulting in nest flooding (Kushlan and Jacobsen 1990) and reduced body condition (Dalrymple 1996). Reduced prey availability throughout the system as a result of hydrologic alterations corresponds with lower growth, survival, and reproduction of alligators (Mazzotti and Brandt 1994).

Both alligators and crocodiles have been affected by loss of freshwater flow to estuaries. This loss of flow corresponds with a reduction in distribution and abundance of alligators (Craighead 1968). Although there are higher numbers of crocodiles in more places today than when the species was declared endangered, virtually all of the increase is due to crocodiles occupying and nesting in man-made habitats such as the Turkey Point Power Plant site and along the East Cape Canal (Mazzotti and Cherkiss 2003, Mazzotti et al. 2007). The mangrove back-country of northeastern Florida Bay has consistently been considered core habitat of the American crocodile in Florida (Kushlan and Mazzotti 1989, Mazzotti 1999, Mazzotti et al. 2007). Today this physically unaltered area suffers from diversion of fresh water (McIvor et al. 1994). This area also has the lowest rates of growth and survival of crocodiles anywhere in Florida (Mazzotti and Cherkiss 2003, Mazzotti et al. 2007).

Because of its unique geographic location and subtropical climate, the Greater Everglades is the only place in the world where both alligators and crocodiles occur. The most important factors affecting regional distribution and abundance of these crocodilians are loss of habitat, changing hydroperiod, altered water depth, and changing salinity (Mazzotti and Brandt 1994, Mazzotti 1999, Mazzotti and Cherkiss 2003, Rice et al. 2005, Mazzotti et al. 2007). Water management has changed the pattern of water levels in the southern Everglades, causing unnatural flooding events and mortality of alligator nests (Kushlan and Jacobsen 1990). Increasing drought frequency and depth of drying have reduced the suitability of Southern Marl Prairie and Rocky Glades habitats and occupancy of alligator holes by alligators. Increasing drought frequency and depth of drying have also increased the time required for fish and macroinvertebrate populations to recover to levels considered representative of the historical Everglades (Trexler et al. 2003). When drying events occur repeatedly at less than a 3- to 8-year interval, fish and macroinvertebrate populations are continually recovering from past droughts and may fail to reach densities sufficient to sustain large predators such as alligators (Loftus and Eklund 1994, Turner et al. 1999, Trexler et al. 2005). Diminished prey density is correlated with lower growth and reproductive rates for alligators in the Everglades compared to other parts of their range (Mazzotti and Brandt 1994). Repeated drying events may also wipe out entire age classes, as alligators are forced to congregate in remaining bodies of water where they may suffer from predation and cannibalism.

Changes in water salinity patterns also affect populations of crocodilians (Dunson and Mazzotti 1989, Mazzotti and Dunson 1989). Although American crocodiles are more tolerant of saltwater than alligators, both species prefer fresh to brackish water (Mazzotti 1983). The distribution of alligators in estuaries has been affected by intrusion of saltwater (Craighead 1968, Mazzotti and Brandt 1994). In northeastern Florida Bay occurrence of alligators corresponds with presence of fresh water (Mazzotti 1983). Regionally, lack of fresh water has been correlated with lower growth and survival of crocodiles (Moler 1992, Mazzotti and Cherkiss 2003, Mazzotti et al. 2007).

In a particularly encouraging finding, Mazzotti et al. (2007) reported that after Buttonwood and East Cape canals in Everglades National Park were plugged in the 1980s to reduce saltwater intrusion into interior areas of Whitewater Bay and Cape Sable, crocodiles responded positively by increasing local nesting effort and success. This clear result suggests that restoring historical salinity patterns in estuaries can have a positive effect on this indicator species and that long-term monitoring is effective at determining population-level responses. It also indicates that nesting phenology, effort, and success should be added to growth and survival as monitoring parameters.

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

- 1) Monitor changes in alligator populations resulting from restoration over short-term (body condition), medium-term (distribution, relative density, hole occupancy) and long-term (demography) temporal scales
- 2) Monitor changes in growth, survival, body condition, relative density, and nesting of crocodiles in response to CERP projects.

#### **PROGRESS:**

Alligator captures: A total of 78 (25 female, 52 male, and 1 unknown) alligators were captured in the spring at 5 areas (A.R.M. Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge, 3 areas in Everglades National Park, and 1 in Big Cypress National Preserve). Of those captured, 57 were new individuals and 21 were recaptured individuals. Captured alligators ranged from 129.8 cm to 318.0 cm total length. A total of 78 (41 female, 36 male, and 1 unknown) alligators were captured in the fall at these same areas. Of those captured, 53 were new individuals and 25 were recaptured individuals. Captured alligators ranged from 130.1 cm to 286.1 cm total length.

Alligator Surveys: Spring alligator surveys were conducted in 4 marsh and 1 estuary areas. Alligator encounter rates ranged from 0.0/km to 3.5/km in the marsh/estuary. Fall alligator surveys were conducted in 4 marsh and 1 estuary areas. Alligator encounter rates ranged from 0.1/km to 3.4/km.

Alligator Hole Occupancy: Not conducted in 2014.

Crocodile Surveys: Surveys performed from Biscayne Bay and Key Largo west along most of the accessible coastal and estuarine shoreline to Cape Sable in ENP between January and March 2014 resulted in 79 crocodile observations, 32 alligator observations and 69 indistinguishable eyeshines (Figure 2). 27 captures were made of crocodiles, with 13 recaptures and 14 new captures. Personnel at TP originally marked 2 animals and the University of Florida originally marked the remaining 11. The spotlight surveys to complete 2014 (October – December) are still underway and not included in the results presented here.

Crocodile Nesting: 119 confirmed nests were located for the 2014 nesting season during University of Florida surveys. 112 were within ENP, 4 were in Key Largo, 1 Islamorada, 1 in Matecumbe, and 1 in Plantation Key. In addition, 4 successful nests were located at the Crocodile Lake National Wildlife Refuge by refuge staff and 25 were located at the Turkey Point Power Plant Site by FPL staff. For nests recorded by the University of Florida and whose fate was known, 89% (107) were successful, 10% (12) were depredated or failed for unknown reasons. A total of 962 hatchlings were captured from nests within ENP.

#### **SUMMARY:**

Hydrology influences alligator encounter rates, body condition and crocodile juvenile growth and survival in the Everglades

## ***Resource use by the Florida manatee in the Northern Gulf of Mexico***

**Principal Investigator:** Robert Fletcher

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 8/31/16 (RWO#274, UF PJ#00096834)

**Graduate Students:** Catherine Haase

Florida manatees range along the Gulf of Mexico coast from Florida to Texas and migrate to peninsular Florida for the winter. Florida manatees inhabit the northern range of their species distribution, and are therefore frequently exposed to water temperatures below their thermal comfort zone. Manatees thermoregulate by inhabiting natural and artificial warm-water sites, such as thermal outflows from power plants. There is only one artificial warm-water site along the coast of southwestern Florida, so habitat use in this region is not well understood. Preliminary work with telemetry data suggest the occurrence of naturally forming inverted thermal haloclines (trapping of warm salt water underneath cool freshwater flows), which provide thermal refuge for manatees in this area. Understanding the distribution and resource use of manatees in this area will be valuable for managing manatee habitat in the onset of power plant closures and removal of artificial warm-water sites. We will use existing data on manatee habitat use and movement to better understand resource selection of this endangered species in this region.

### **OBJECTIVES:**

- A) Identify specific resources used by manatees, including descriptions of freshwater, forage, and warm water availability in the southwestern coast of Florida
- B) Using GPS telemetry, determine the extent of movements and seasonal site fidelity among identifiable manatees in these areas
- C) Identify and assess warm water sites that are available for over-wintering manatees. Particular attention will focus on the mechanisms and reliability of these sites.
- D) Compare habitat usage of the natural warm-water sites to artificial sites in the northern part of Florida.

### **PROGRESS:**

The Ph.D. student completed her first year and developed a preliminary proposal with proposed questions, objectives, and methodologies. Previously collected data has been organized and is ready to start preliminary analyses using movement models to meet the second objective. Permits for deploying water quality sensors in the Everglades have been submitted and waiting approval; once approved, water quality sensors will be deployed in 12 sites identified as potential thermal refuges for manatees to collect temperature, salinity, and tidal date for use in identifying thermal refuge characteristics.

### **SUMMARY:**

Understanding resource selection in terms of thermoregulatory responses of thermally sensitive species is critically important for appropriate management aimed at recovering endangered populations. This project aims on understanding thermoregulatory use of warm-water sites and how best to implement management for the continuation of these suitable sites.

## ***Resolving Uncertainties in Natural Mortality and Movement Rates of Gulf of Mexico Sturgeon***

**Principal Investigator:** Bill Pine

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 08/31/2015 (RWO#289)

**Graduate Students:** Merrill Rudd

The Gulf of Mexico sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus desotoi*) or Gulf sturgeon was federally listed in 1991 by NOAA Fisheries and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (56FR 49653). Though significant advances have been made in the synthesis of information for Gulf sturgeon, the 2009 Gulf Sturgeon Stock Assessment (Pine and Martell 2009) developed jointly for NOAA and USFWS identified large uncertainty in natural mortality rate estimates from life-history characteristics and traditional passive (PIT) tagging programs. This uncertainty propagates through the assessment and leads to divergent predicted population trajectories and current stock status

### **OBJECTIVES:**

To address uncertainty in natural mortality rates, in 2009 NOAA and USFWS launched a large-scale cooperative acoustic telemetry tagging program, with the goal of tagging 20 individual sturgeon in five core rivers across the Gulf of Mexico (GOM) with long-life (5-year) acoustic tags. A large network of acoustic receivers was deployed in rivers of key management interest throughout the GOM including critical habitat rivers Suwannee, Apalachicola, Choctawhatchee, Yellow, Escambia, Pascagoula, and Pearl rivers as well as the Ochlockonee and Blackwater rivers. River mouth receiver arrays monitor the movements of these tagged individuals into and out of their river habitats in order to improve estimates of exchange rates between management units and current estimates of natural mortality rates. This array has been tracking acoustically tagged Gulf sturgeon since fall 2010.

An earlier RWO (RWO 275) facilitated our development of robust analytical techniques to evaluate the information from the acoustic array and utilize the new information to update the stock assessment and recovery plan for this species. We used a multistate model for analysis due to its ability to marine and river-specific survival rates, transition probabilities, and detection probabilities (Schaub et al. 2004, Nichols and Kendall 1995). However, these methods have not yet been applied to the full 5-year telemetry dataset as those data were not available until 2014-2015. We will apply these methods to the full 5-year data set now available.



### **SUMMARY:**

We developed an approach to estimating natural mortality and movement patterns of Gulf sturgeon using a telemetry study of approximately two years. This information identifies higher mortality patterns in the western Gulf of Mexico compared to the eastern Gulf. These differences are of conservation concern

Fig.1 A Gulf of Mexico sturgeon being weighed as part of USFWS and NOAA sampling efforts on the Choctawhatchee River, Florida



***Climate Response and Fire History of Slash Pine on Blackbeard Island  
and Wassaw National Wildlife Refuge, Savannah Coastal  
Refuges Complex***

***Principal Investigator:*** Leda Kobziar

***Co-Principal Investigators:*** David Kaplan, Chuck Hayes and Rob Wood

***Funding Agency:*** USGS

***Expected Completion:*** 03/01/2014 (RWO#278, UF PJ#00101141)

***Graduate Students:*** Brenda Thomas, Kathryn King

***Biological Technicians:*** James Camp, Michelle Budny

The most extensive areas of maritime forest in the US are found along the Atlantic Coast of South Carolina and Georgia. Much of the original forest has fallen to development. Of the forest that is left, 65% is found in Georgia, and few ecological studies have been conducted in these forests due to their isolation. As a result, managers question what form management should take to conserve these forests, particularly regarding the use of prescribed fire and the appropriate management response to wildfire.

Anthropogenic disturbances further complicate management considerations. Lowering of groundwater levels by aquifer withdrawal for industry and residential use in the Savannah, GA area has impacted wetlands on Blackbeard Island and Wassaw NWRs. The effects of this drawdown on the island ecosystems are as yet unknown, and coupled with sea level rise, resulting changes in island hydrology may influence vegetation and fuels structure and quality. This project seeks to provide a foundation for present-day management of the islands in the context of historical fire regimes, and present and future changes in island hydrology and vegetation.

**Problem Statement:**

Predictions of sea-level rise suggest an increase of 39 cm along the coasts of South Carolina and Georgia and 58 cm at Blackbeard and Wassaw Islands National Wildlife Refuges (NWR) by 2100. Additionally, climate change may mean warmer temperatures as well as more severe droughts and floods, and even wildfire ignitions. Any salinity-induced changes in forest structure, composition, and productivity are likely to alter the behavior and effects of fire in these systems. Although presently many coastal forests are characterized by longer fire return intervals than their inland counterparts (e.g. 10-15 vs. 2-6 years in coastal slash pine vs. inland pine flatwoods), a number of possible mechanisms may significantly increase or decrease fire frequencies. First, sudden mortality of large areas, combined with vigorous regrowth of more salt-tolerant species, may compound fuel loads and increase fire risk. Regardless of whether fire then occurs, changes in water demand due to altered evapotranspiration and rainfall interception rates may produce feedbacks that further exacerbate salinity-induced forest mortality, risk of fire, or both. Alternative scenarios exist wherein fire reduces the vigor of vegetation growth, thus reducing demand on soil freshwater pools and, in effect, lowering salinity and the resulting stress on those communities. Further, fire may alter vegetation composition or successional trajectories to result in communities that display either more, or less, resistance to salinity increases in the soil. Finally, long periods without fire may cause ecosystem water use to increase, increasing local susceptibility to salt stress at the ecosystem scale. This project seeks to provide the historical fire data and present-day vegetation composition and structure, as well as hydrology data, to help inform management decision making and conservation of these unique forests.

**OBJECTIVES:**

- 1) Determine the historical fire regimes on Blackbeard Island and Wassaw NWRs, including fire return intervals and seasonality of fires prior to European settlement of the area.
- 2) Quantify the historical and present-day climate response of slash pine on Blackbeard Island and Wassaw NWRs.


- 3) Enumerate management recommendations in the context of historical fire regimes and climate response of slash pine, considering possible ecological impacts of climate change.
- 4) Establish baseline data for groundwater and salinity levels, and document the floristic composition of the plant community to determine impacts of altered hydrology associated with climate change/sea-level rise and anthropogenic activities.

#### PROGRESS:

Sampling for this project was completed during the spring and fall of 2013 when transportation to the islands was available. Research teams made three trips to the islands to finish collecting tree cores, sampling vegetation composition and coverage, and download weather station and water monitoring data from both Wassaw and Blackbeard islands. Final reports are provided in Appendices A (Hydrology Assessment), B (Vegetation Survey), and C (Fire History). The following describes project status for each of these topical areas:

**A) Hydrology.** Data from a network of monitoring wells installed in the spring and fall of 2012 continued to be collected over the course of 2013. These data are being used on Wassaw and Blackbeard Islands to establish baseline data for shallow groundwater and salinity levels in coordination with assessing vegetation communities (Fig. 1). As described previously, the wells were constructed of 1- and 2-inch PVC pipe, dug by hand using a bucket auger, and outfitted with Solinst “Edge” water level (pressure) loggers and Hobo U-24 electrical conductivity (EC) sensors. Additionally, one Solinst “Edge” barometric pressure logger was installed in a dry well on each island to correct for the effect of barometric pressure. A rain gauge was added to each island in late 2012 and monitored through 2013, so that at least one year’s worth of precipitation data could be added to help illuminate results.

#### Wassaw Equipment Summary



# Blackbeard Equipment Summary




Fig. 1. Hydrological monitoring equipment across vegetation communities (also sampled) monitored from Oct. 2012 to December 2013 on Wassaw and Blackbeard Islands.

**B) Vegetation.** From late 2012 to early 2013, a baseline vegetation survey was conducted on Blackbeard and Wassaw islands. The objectives of the survey were to 1) describe the current condition of vegetative communities as a baseline for comparison with future restoration efforts and/or natural changes, and 2) ground-truth the existing NatureServe plant community shapefile. Since Blackbeard Island has a greater diversity of habitats and Wassaw contains a subset of those habitats, Blackbeard was sampled more intensively in order to maximize efficiency. For every community type included in the sampling, our team established a permanent monitoring plot in which all species were identified and percent cover was estimated. After the sampling was completed, the team conducted a walk-through of the community, collecting and recording all species not found within the plot. During this walk-through, a qualitative description of the vegetation was recorded. Within each community type, plot locations were randomly generated using ArcGIS. At each plot location, GPS coordinates were recorded and a numbered tag was assigned to the plot. The tag is attached to a piece of rebar about a foot long, which was hammered into the ground until the top was flush with the ground surface. In the future, the plots can be located using GPS and a metal detector. The vegetation at each point was sampled using a nested plot system: a 1m-diameter circular plot (herbaceous understory vegetation) nested within a 2m-diameter circular plot (woody shrubs with dbh <10cm and palmettos). All plants within the plots were identified to species, and percentage cover for each plant species was estimated.

**C) Fire History.** To investigate the historical fire regime of a barrier island within Wassaw National Wildlife Refuge, GA, USA, we sampled and analyzed 55 partial cross-sections from fire-scarred slash (*Pinus elliottii*

Engelm.) and loblolly (*Pinus taeda* L.) pine trees. Scars in annual growth rings of the partial cross-sections recorded most fires documented by US Fish and Wildlife Service since active fire management and record keeping began in the 1980s. Although many cross-sections dated to the 1950s and even 1930s, no fire scars were found before 1980. Wildfires typically ignited during the growing season, while prescribed burns were conducted during the dormant season. The absence of scars before 1980 could indicate that: pre-1980 fires were low intensity and did not scar trees; sampling did not encounter trees that recorded these fires; or trees scarred by earlier fires were too decomposed to be interpretable. Our analysis of pine forests on the barrier island provides information about the recent fire regime of the island, but also raises questions about what methods can best capture historical fire regimes in the warm, humid climate of much of the southeastern US.

## **Summary:**

**A) Hydrology:** Patterns of salinity and water level appear to be related to composition of functional groups in surrounding vegetation. Daytime declines in water level due to evapotranspiration (ET) and nighttime increases in water levels continue to suggest a positive inflow of groundwater, either from shallow groundwater from the surrounding watershed, or resulting from upward flow from a deeper aquifer layer.

**B) Vegetation:** Disturbance due to altered hydrology and wild hogs appears to be resulting in moderate to severe invasion of the island's freshwater wetlands by a few ubiquitous weedy and pioneer species. As a result, the island's freshwater wetlands are generally much more homogeneous and of lower diversity than their USNVC community descriptions would suggest.

**C) Fire History:** The Weibull composite median frequency interval for all fires was 2.10 years. Although many cross-sections dated to the 1950s and even 1930s, no fire scars were found before 1980, when active fire management by the USFWS began. Wildfires typically ignited during the growing season, while prescribed burns were conducted and recorded during the dormant season. The absence of scars before 1980 could indicate that: pre-1980 fires were low intensity and did not scar trees; sampling did not encounter trees that recorded these fires; or trees scarred by earlier fires were too decomposed to be interpretable.

## ***Translocation of Marsh Rabbits to Everglades National Park***

**Principal Investigator:** Robert McCleery

**CO-Principal Investigator(s):** Dr. Kristen Hart, Dr. Robert Reed

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 03/31/2015 (RWO#281 & #282, UF PJ#00101991 & #00102799)


**Graduate Students:** Adia Sovie

**Biological Technicians:** Elizabeth Dancer, Charlotte Robinson, Austin Waag, Michelle McEachern

Declines in mammal sightings in south Florida's Everglades National Park (ENP) over the last 10 years appear to correspond with the increased observations of invasive Burmese pythons (*Python molurus bivittatus*). We assessed the influence of pythons and other environmental factors on the distribution of marsh rabbits by sampling 84 randomly located plots of suitable habitat across south Florida from February 2013- May 2013. The distribution of marsh rabbits was best explained by a model with one variable, the distance from the origin of python invasion, Flamingo, FL, used to measure the influence of pythons. From this model the probability of occurrence for marsh rabbits was  $\approx 0$  in the vicinity of Flamingo and increased to  $> 0.93$  150 km in any direction. In addition, we investigated the impact of pythons on marsh rabbit survival by translocating marsh rabbits to ENP. For comparisons we established two control populations in areas believed to be free of pythons. For the first control



population we captured, radio-tagged and released rabbits at the site of capture. For a second procedural control population, we captured, radio-tagged, and translocated rabbits to a “python-free” site. In total, we tracked 94 marsh rabbits from 14 September 2012 to 27 July 2013. We estimated and compared known-fate survival using Kaplan-Meier survival curves and causes of mortality using cumulative incidence risk among treatments and sexes. Additionally, we used extensive systematic pellet surveys to determine that the reintroduced marsh rabbits in ENP failed to establish a self-sustaining population, despite high overwinter survival and successful breeding. The procedural control showed constantly lower survival than the other sites. Rabbits in ENP also had a significantly different survival curves than the control site ( $p=0.03$ ) with ENP rabbits displaying higher rates of survival at the beginning of the study and lower rates of survival at the end of the study. Pythons were the dominant predator of our marsh rabbits in ENP, responsible for 77% ( $n=17$ ) of known mortalities. In contrast, in control sites mammals were the dominant predator of rabbits, responsible for at least 50% ( $n=23$ ) of mortalities. We only recorded 1 rabbit mortality from a mammal in ENP (5%). There was considerable seasonal variation in rates of survival of marsh rabbits when we consider only mortalities caused by pythons. Survival followed a distinct pattern with high weekly survival ( $S = 1.00$ ) during the initial 6 week period, lower weekly survival ( $S = 0.98$ , CI 0.96-0.99) during a 30 week period of water levels below 750 cm in major sloughs or average weekly temperature below 25 °C, and low weekly survival (0.76, CI 0.59-0.86) when rabbits had been on the site > 6 weeks and temperatures > 25 °C and water levels were > 750cm. One explanation for this pattern is that high water levels concentrate rabbits on small areas of higher elevation. This, in turn, concentrates rabbit runs, latrines and other environmental cues that may have attracted pythons during times of their greatest activity. Regardless of the mechanism for this pattern, our research provides strong experimental evidence that pythons are responsible for reductions of mammals in the Greater Everglades Ecosystem. The continued removal of mammals from the system coupled with the replacement of the mammalian predator community with pythons undoubtedly has had, and will continue to have, strong cascading effects on the Everglades system.



Adia Sovie, Masters Candidate UF radio collars a marsh rabbit  
Photo Credit – Elizabeth Dancer

#### OBJECTIVES:

- Evaluate the influence of environmental factors on the current distribution of marsh rabbits in south Florida.
- Reintroduce marsh rabbits to ENP and evaluate success of the population
- Quantify the impact of pythons on marsh rabbit survival in south Florida

#### PROGRESS:

Between March 20th and May 30th we conducted pellet counts at 84 random sites throughout the Greater Everglades ecosystem (GEE). We detected marsh rabbit fecal pellets at 31 of these sites. Detection probability was high ( $p = 0.84$ ), our best detection model was the null model  $\{\psi(\cdot)p(\cdot)\}$ , indicating no difference in detection between observers. Our best occupancy model included a variable considering the distance to the python introduction site. This model had substantial support over the

null model and all other models ( $> 30$  AIC,  $w_i = 1$ ) and the 95% CI of the parameter estimate for distance to Flamingo did not include 0 ( $\beta = 0.05 \pm 0.005$ ). Occupancy was

positively correlated with distance from Flamingo increasing from  $\psi(0)$  to  $> 0.93$  over 150 km.

We captured and radio-collared 94 rabbits between September 14, 2012 and July 27, 2013. We translocated 45 rabbits to treatment (ENP) and procedural control (LOX) sites and captured and released 49 rabbits at our control site (FAK). Between September 14th, 2012 and January 3, 2013 we released 10 males and 5 females at CPT, 6 males



and 9 females at ETS, and 4 females and 11 males at LOX.

In February 2013, we conducted pellet count surveys over 5 ha at the CPT (Coastal Prairie Trail) and ETS (Eastern Taylor Slough) release sites. We detected juvenile and adult pellets at both locations. Adult pellet density was higher at the ETS study site ( $x = 3.06$  per  $m^2$ ) than at the CPT study site ( $x = 0.77$  per  $m^2$ ;  $p = 0.01$ ) but we did not detect a significant difference between juvenile pellet densities ( $p = 0.41$ ) (Table 3, Figure 8). In December 2013, we conducted 18 months post release pellet count surveys on the 5 ha surveyed in February 2013 and an additional 10ha and did not detect marsh rabbit pellets at ETS or CPT

#### **SUMMARY:**

Our results provide strong empirical support for the hypothesis that pythons are the cause of mammal declines in ENP. Our models suggest that no rabbits exist in the core area of the python invasion (<60km from Flamingo) and yet in wetlands > 150 km from the epi-center of the python invasion, the probability of marsh rabbit occurrence approaches 100%.

Although the drastic changes in marsh rabbit occurrence with distance from Flamingo supports the hypothesis that pythons are responsible for mammal decline in south Florida, this relationship was correlative rather than causative. Nonetheless, our reintroduction experiment in the GEE more clearly establishes pythons as the causative agent of mammal declines. Pythons were by far the dominant predator of rabbits in ENP, accounting for 77% of overall mortalities and 89% of mortalities for which a predator could be identified. This was in stark contrast to our control sites where rabbits were not depredated by pythons, and mammalian predators accounted for 50% of all rabbit mortalities. Only one rabbit mortality in ENP could be attributed to mammals. These results were consistent with reports suggesting the python invasion has led to drastic reductions of mammalian carnivores in ENP (Dorcas et al. 2012).

### ***Changes in Mammal Communities across the Greater Everglades Ecosystem***

**Principal Investigator:** Robert McCleery

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 03/31/2015 (RWO#281 & #288, UF PJ#00115929)

**Graduate Students:** Adia Sovie, Wesley Boone

**Biological Technicians:** Andrew Bro, Andrew Marx

The decline of mammal populations in Everglades National Park (ENP) and southern portions of the Greater Everglades Ecosystem GEE over the last 20 years (Dorcas et al. 2012) is likely to have a profound influence on the ecology of the Everglades system. Most evidence suggests that mammal declines are being caused by an invasion of non-native pythons that originated around Flamingo in ENP. Loxahatchee Nation Wildlife Refuge (NWR), located in Palm Beach County, encompasses  $\approx 60,000$  ha of remnant wetlands in the northern portion of the GEE. The southern boundary of the refuge is approximately 150 km from Flamingo and no large constrictors have yet been detected there despite monitoring since 2009. As such, Loxahatchee may have mammal communities yet to be altered by pythons. Accordingly, there is an urgent need to rigorously quantify the mammal communities with Loxahatchee NWR and lands adjacent to the refuge on the southern boundary. The areas within and adjacent to Loxahatchee NWR provide one of the few remaining opportunities to understand that baseline mammal might have looked like prior to their recent declines. Our effort constitutes the only current and rigorous effort dedicated towards understanding where and how mammal communities are changing in Loxahatchee NWR and throughout the GEE.



#### **OBJECTIVES:**

- 1) Establish the baseline prevalence of mammals in areas within and adjacent to Loxahatchee NWR prior to the occurrence of pythons.
- 2) Establish a long-term small mammal monitoring protocol to determine the population dynamics of small mammals within Loxahatchee NWR.

#### **PROGRESS:**

From March - August 2014 we sampled mammal communities at 114 wetland sites throughout south Florida in the Greater everglades ecosystem. At each site we placed 2 floating bucket taps with digital cameras inside. This design has been highly effective at detecting mink and small mammals in the Big Bend region of Florida. To capture more terrestrial mammals, we will place 2 additional cameras mounted at 40 cm on trees or stakes on each plot. All cameras will be left at plots for 7 consecutive nights. We generated over 23,562 of pictures of 16 species of mammals (Table 1 and 2). Rice rats (*Oryzomys palustris*) were the most common species detected with bucket traps and white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) and raccoon (*Procyon lotor*) we the common mammals detected on mounted cameras. We had no detections of everglades mink during our surveys (*Neovison vison evergladensis*). Additionally, we completed our first session of small mammal trapping on 4 tree island Loxahatchee NWR. We trapped 4 for nights we captured 3 *peromyscus gossypinus* and 1 *Oryzomys palustris*. Our second trapping session will begin at the end of November 2014. These data will be compared to a companion study in Everglades National Park.

#### **SUMMARY:**

Mammal communities in Loxahatchee NWR differ considerably from most areas of the GEE to the south of the refuge. Preliminary data analysis suggests that there was a clear pattern of increasing mammal occurrence with increased distance from Flamingo and ENP. This pattern appeared to be particular strong with common species such as white-tailed deer, raccoon, opossum, bobcat and marsh rabbit and is consistent with previous work suggesting invasive pythons have greatly reduce mammal communities in the southern GEE.

## ***Experiential learning through wildlife research and management of invasive reptiles***

**Principal Investigator:** Christina Romagosa

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 08/31/2015 (RWO#292, UF  
PJ#00116791)

**Personnel:** Emma Hanslowe

University programs that offer an education in wildlife ecology, conservation, and/or management are crucial for the continued conservation and management of biological resources. Graduates from these types of programs most often go into the academic (teaching and/or research) or natural resource management agency sectors. Students entering this field must have a working knowledge of many topics, such as natural history, wildlife management, ecology, critical thinking, decision-making, effective communication, research design, as well as technological and field techniques. While some of these topics can be taught in the classroom, some are best learned by experiential learning. The most effective graduates from wildlife programs are those that can link across these concepts and understand how to connect research with management, regardless of whether they are on the research or the management side. Individual research projects and agency internships can provide insight on how to best perform and communicate research to inform management, as well as providing professional field experience.

Many students emerging from wildlife programs continue their work in the states within which they were trained; therefore, some educational focus on the wildlife resources and issues within the state is necessary. Currently, Florida has more nonnative plants and animals than any state other than Hawaii, which creates a unique set of needs for training of wildlife researchers and professionals. As nonnative species introductions increase across the United States, so will the need for wildlife ecologists that are trained to address this complex issue. The Wildlife Ecology and Conservation Department (WEC) at the University of Florida has several research projects, as well as specific undergraduate and graduate courses, that have been developed to expand the knowledge base on nonnative species. Currently, there is no formal internship program within WEC to give undergraduate and graduate students the professional field experience needed to be effective wildlife researchers and professionals. The various agencies, universities and nongovernmental organizations that are currently engaged in nonnative species research and management in Florida provide an experiential-learning opportunity for students to gain field experience, and learn about resource management, and the science to inform management.

The U.S. Geological Survey is working with several federal and Florida state agencies on invasive reptile research focusing on the biology, ecology, and development of control tools for species such as the Burmese python (*Python molurus*) and tegu lizard (*Tupinambis merianae*). These species represent a serious threat to native biodiversity, including many threatened and endangered species. Currently, there



Photo credit: Michelle McEachern. USGS. Intern Emma Hanslowe takes notes on an alligator nest within the vicinity of a radio-tagged *Tupinambis merianae*'s thermal refugia.

is limited information on the ecology of these species in Florida and the tools available for control are either lacking or need development. The problem of invasive reptiles will only increase over time, as more than 50 species have already established breeding populations within the state. The various projects related to these and other invasive reptile species largely depend on in-the-field work, and provides an opportunity for internships by which WEC students can participate in research and management on invasive species. The multi-agency, cross-cutting approach to these projects can help educate undergraduate and graduate students on how to bridge the research-management implementation gap, while giving them the invaluable field experience necessary to excel in their field.

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

- 1) Provide experiential learning opportunities with invasive reptiles to undergraduate and graduate students through a cooperative effort between UF and USGS.
- 2) Provide labor through internships and technicians to attain research goals for existing USGS invasive reptile research projects.
- 3) Increase research collaboration and research opportunities between USGS and UF researchers including PI Romagosa.

#### **PROGRESS:**

Our first intern, Emma Hanslowe, was hired this November 2014. We anticipate hiring at least 2 more in May 2015 for the summer season. Currently, PI Romagosa is co-teaching an invasive reptile and amphibian course at the University of Florida (undergraduate/graduate enrollment - WIS 4934/6934) in order to introduce the topic, assess interest, and recruit interns for this program. Continued funding for this project is anticipated each year from FY 2014-2018).

#### **SUMMARY:**

Many students today enter wildlife programs with less outdoor knowledge than their predecessors, which requires a more concerted effort by these programs to fill that void to make these students competitive for future employment in academic and agency positions. The various agencies, universities and nongovernmental organizations that are currently engaged in nonnative species research and management in Florida provide an experiential-learning opportunity for students to gain field experience, learn about resource management, and the science to inform management. The USGS and UF have entered in an agreement that provides internships for students and graduates to gain experience in the field of wildlife ecology and management.

### ***Burmese Pythons in the Greater Everglades: Movement, habitat use, impacts and control tools***

**Principal Investigator:** Christina Romagosa

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 08/31/2015 (RWO#291, UF PJ#00116762)

**Graduate Student:** Brian J. Smith

The establishment and spread of the nonindigenous Burmese Python (*Python molurus bivittatus*) is now a well-publicized issue facing land managers in Florida (Rodda et al. 2009). The Burmese python population is expanding steadily north and west from the core population in the southern Everglades. As a large ectothermic predator, this species poses a significant ecological risk to native wildlife (Snow et al. 2007a, Snow et al. 2007b, Dove et al. 2011), and has been implicated in the declines of mammals in this region (Dorcas et al. 2012). These large constrictors represent a management challenge as they are difficult to find due to their cryptic nature, and limited understanding of these species in the wild makes the design of a specific eradication program difficult (Reed and Rodda 2009).



While focused work has been conducted to determine the diet of the Burmese python, our understanding of daily activity, movements, home range, and general habitat-use is limited. Knowledge of seasonal movements, activity levels, and habitat preferences of invasive species, such as the Burmese python, can help inform management strategies. Given that the majority of current removal efforts involve road surveys for active pythons, generating the predictive power needed to determine when pythons are most likely to be active could significantly improve efforts to manage the invasive population. The USGS, in collaboration with University of Florida, performed preliminary work on python movements using VHF tags and GPS tags to document and characterize habitat use and movement patterns in Everglades National Park. This current project will expand on the use of GPS tags to understand Burmese python habitat use and daily activity.

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

- 1) Compare data collected by GPS tags to data collected by VHF tags. This objective serves to validate GPS technology as a useful method to collect daily python activity without affecting python behavior.
- 2) Identify the environmental factors that are most predictive of periods of activity in invasive Burmese pythons during in Everglades National Park
- 3) Analyze tracking and field data for specific resource-use patterns in Everglades National Park and along the invading front (Collier County)

#### **PROGRESS:**

A Master's student (Brian Smith) has begun his coursework toward the completion of his degree. Work to date on the project has been the development of Brian's thesis proposal. We anticipate that USGS cooperators will begin tracking pythons with GPS units in Feb 2015, and Brian will be participating in the daily fieldwork in May 2015.

#### **SUMMARY:**

Nonnative Burmese pythons have invaded Everglades National Park and have been implicated in causing severe, widespread declines in native mammals. Management of these giant constrictors has proven extremely difficult to implement and evaluate because of the species' intrinsically low detection probability ( $<0.01$ ). Currently, the primary method of removal is to catch pythons opportunistically as they actively cross roads. Until more effective management methods are created, understanding daily python activity and movements can help managers optimize road surveys in order to maximize python removal rates.





## ***Assessing fish responses to water releases from Glen Canyon dam***

**Principal Investigator:** Bill Pine

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 08/31/2015 (RWO#283, UF PJ#00102863)

**Graduate Student:** Kristen Pearson

The USGS-Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Unit facilitates, coordinates, and conducts a large number of research projects with numerous cooperators related to the biological, cultural, and physical resources of Grand Canyon. Currently RWO 282 as well as other work funded to the University of Florida by USGS-GCMRC ("Nearshore Ecology Project") has helped to provide additional information and analytical approaches to understanding juvenile fish population dynamics in Grand Canyon. A key need is to better integrate the historical and current data frames of research, particularly related research efforts in the Little Colorado and mainstem Colorado Rivers related to humpback chub movement and survival. This information is then used to inform management actions to improve humpback chub population viability such as experimental water releases from Glen Canyon Dam



to

### **OBJECTIVES:**

A key need is to better integrate the historical and current data frames of research, particularly related to humpback chub movement and survival between the Little Colorado and mainstem Colorado rivers. We are working to better integrate this knowledge through assisting GCMRC staff and cooperators with data analyses including assessing trends in juvenile humpback chub from long-term capture-recapture programs in the mainstem Colorado River and Little Colorado River for juvenile humpback chub.

### **PROGRESS:**

We published two papers in 2014 related to this project. One used telemetry information to evaluate daily movement patterns and habitat use of sub-adult humpback chub. This information was critical to evaluating assumptions of our capture-recapture models as well. The second paper used an innovative modeling approach to directly estimate juvenile humpback chub density in specific habitat types in Grand Canyon. This information is



important because experimental dam operations are often designed to create or maintain specific habitat types. Our density estimates are the first generated for juvenile humpback chub from managed and unmanaged habitat types. Our results found that, while backwater habitats (which are managed for) had high humpback chub density, the total area of backwater habitats was small such that the total population of juvenile humpback chub supported by backwater habitat types was small.

We also worked with USGS Colorado Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit staff in evaluating two manuscripts that focus on movement patterns of adult humpback chub in the Little Colorado River based on a recently developed

autonomous PIT tag array. These manuscripts will be submitted for publication in 2015.

#### **SUMMARY:**

Our work also demonstrates that some individuals are surviving, growing, and recruiting in the mainstem Colorado River, a habitat formerly considered a sink for juveniles. These results are significant and demonstrate how new field efforts can inform operations of one of the most important dams in the US as well as aid in managing endangered fish populations in regulated rivers worldwide.

### ***Genomic Analysis of Peripheral Blood Cells from Sturgeon Exposed to Oil and Oil-Related Chemicals***

**Principal Investigator:** Dr. Nancy Denslow

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 12/31/2015 (RWO#279, UF PJ#00103064)

This project is a small part of a larger project that is designed to supplement on-going field investigations of potential injury to adult Gulf sturgeon from the Deepwater Horizon (MC 252) Oil Spill. The main objective of the overall project is to develop a fish health assessment for gulf sturgeon. This will be done by first conducting a controlled, laboratory exposure of a surrogate sturgeon species to MC 252 oil for generation of positive-control blood samples. The exposure of these fish to overall PAHs will be quantified chemically to know the actual dose of exposure. The blood samples will be evaluated for DNA injury via flow cytometry and for immune dysfunction by measuring genomic responses. The specific portion of the project that will be performed at UF is to develop cDNA sequence information for immune dysfunction using next generation DNA sequencers and to use this information to create a microarray to quantify the immune gene expression dysfunction. Samples from laboratory exposed surrogate sturgeon species and oil exposed gulf sturgeon species will be evaluated by the microarray.

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

The specific objectives of the project at the University of Florida are to develop cDNA sequence information for liver, kidney and blood cells of the surrogate species Atlantic sturgeon and cDNA sequence from white blood cells of gulf sturgeon and then to use the sequence to create a microarray for evaluating oil exposure in the gulf sturgeon species. The following specific objectives were developed for the project:

SOW 1. Preparation of Gulf sturgeon sequencing data

- a. Prepare normalized cDNA library from Gulf sturgeon blood samples.
- b. High throughput sequencing of the normalized cDNA from Gulf sturgeon using the 454 and the illumina massively parallel DNA sequencers at ICBR.
- c. Assembly and probe design

SOW 2. Microarray analysis

SOW 3. Verification of expression by Q-PCR

SOW 4. Reporting of the Results

#### **PROGRESS:**

**Task 1: Obtain high quality RNA from Gulf Sturgeon and Atlantic Sturgeon blood and tissue samples.** We have completed this task. We developed a new method to extract total RNA from red blood cells, which are nucleated in fish, which gave excellent quality of total RNA. The extraction was performed twice and then we use a clean-up column, as the final step.

**Task 2: To obtain a normalized cDNA library of Gulf Sturgeon and Atlantic Sturgeon.** We have completed this task. Staff at ICBR performed this part of the project. We obtained over 8,000 high quality sequences with very good annotation for the Atlantic and Gulf sturgeon.

**Task 3: To annotate the Gulf Sturgeon and Atlantic Sturgeon gene sequences.** We have completed this task. Dr. Fahong Yu at the ICBR was able to assemble the high throughput sequencing reads and annotate both the gulf sturgeon and atlantic sturgeon transcriptomes.

**Task 4: To design and use a microarray for Gulf/Atlantic Sturgeon.** We have completed this task. Dr. Fahong Yu re-designed a second “immuno” microarray for shovelnose sturgeon for the laboratory exposures matching as much as possible with the microarray for gulf sturgeon and Atlantic sturgeon. These microarrays are enriched for the immune system.

We have completed microarray analysis for the white blood cells and red blood cells from the laboratory exposure and the microarrays for the field exposures. For the laboratory study, more genes were altered after 7 days of exposure than after 28 days of exposure, suggesting some adaptation to dealing with the oil for the longer term exposure experiment.

#### **WBCs:**

**7 day time point:** We found 2468 genes with expression level changes at  $p < 0.05$ . Of these, 460 genes were significant with a FDR of 0.2. Applying a 1.2 fold change cutoff to those 460 genes resulted in 207 genes. In the absence of FDR, gene ontology analysis of biological processes found 69 processes to be significantly changed by exposure including inflammatory response (go:0006954;), response to hypoxia (go:0001666;) and negative regulation of DNA repair (go:0045738), among others. Seven of these biological processes, including DNA recombination (go:0006310) and integrin-mediated signaling pathway (go:0007229), were found to be significant even after an FDR at 0.2 was applied.

**30 day time point:** We found 1743 genes with expression level changes at  $p < 0.05$ . In the absence of FDR, gene ontology analysis of biological processes found 43 processes to be significantly changed by exposure including DNA recombination (go:0006310), response to hypoxia (go:0001666), double-strand break repair via homologous recombination (go:0000724) and double-strand break repair via nonhomologous end joining (go:0006303), among others. One of these biological processes, meiosis (go:0007126), was found to be significant even after an FDR at 0.2 was applied.

#### **RBCs:**

**7 day time point:** We found 1472 genes with expression level changes at  $p < 0.05$ . Of these, 11 genes were significant with a FDR of 0.2. Applying a 1.2 fold change cutoff to those 11 genes resulted in 6 genes. In the absence of FDR, gene ontology analysis of biological processes found 22 processes to be significantly changed by exposure including response to xenobiotic stimulus (go:0009410), chromosome segregation (go:0007059), B cell activation (go:0042113), and T cell receptor signaling pathway (go:0050852), among others.

**30 day time point:** We found 1795 genes with expression level changes at  $p < 0.05$ . Of these, 348 genes were significant with a FDR of 0.2. Applying a 1.2 fold change cutoff to those 348 genes resulted in 68 genes. In the absence of FDR, gene ontology analysis of biological processes found 52 processes to be significantly changed by exposure including negative regulation of DNA repair (go:0045738), DNA recombination (go:0006310), response to wounding (go:0009611).

Gulf Sturgeon Field Exposure:

White Blood Cells:

East Site: We found 1027 genes with expression level changes at  $p < 0.05$  when samples from Spring 2012 were compared to Fall 2011. However none of the genes passed the 20% FDR correction.

West Site: We found 1273 genes with expression level changes at  $p < 0.05$  when samples from Spring 2012 were compared to Fall 2011. Of these 1273 genes, 24 passed the 20% FDR correction.

We are still in the process of evaluating which processes have been changed in the field samples.

**Task 5:** Verification of expression by QPCR. We are in the process of completing this task. We have chosen 5 genes to verify by Q-PCR. We are in the process of designing the primers and should be able to complete this task soon.

**Task 6:** Reporting of results. We have been in close communication with the laboratory of Dr. Don Tillitt. We shared the sequences (8,000 contigs for each, Atlantic Sturgeon and Gulf Sturgeon) with the Tillitt lab and finalizing our report on the microarray analysis. We plan to complete the Q-PCR assays in the near future and will start writing manuscripts to publish this work

#### SUMMARY:




Figure 1. Volcano plots showing changes in gene expression in white blood cells of shovelnose sturgeon exposed to oil for (A) 7 days and (B) 30 days. The volcano plot is the negative log of the p value on the Y-axis and log (2) of the gene expression on the x axis. The higher the number on the Y-axis the lower the p-value. Negative numbers on the x axis are genes that are down regulated and positive numbers are for genes that are up regulated. The dotted line shows the p-value for significance. The colored dots are the genes that are significantly different (p value <0.05)

Initial studies by

collaborators suggest that there is immune dysfunction in fish that were exposed to the oil from the Deep Water Horizon spill into the Gulf of Mexico. The microarray data also point to immune dysfunction as well as cellular injury, damage to DNA and oxidative stress. The Gulf Sturgeon are endangered and immune dysfunction is likely to result in major health issues for these sturgeons, possibly impacting them at the population level.

### **Genomic analysis of tissues from sturgeon exposed to oil and oil-related chemicals**

**Principal Investigator:** Dr. Nancy Denslow

**Funding Agency:** USGS

**Expected Completion:** 12/31/2015 (RWO#286, UF PJ#00110588)

This project is a small part of a larger project that is designed to supplement on-going field investigations of potential injury to adult Gulf sturgeon from the Deepwater Horizon (MC 252) Oil Spill. The main objectives of the overall project are to develop a fish health assessment for gulf sturgeon. This will be done by first conducting a controlled, laboratory exposure of a surrogate sturgeon species to MC 252 oil for generation of positive-control blood samples. The exposure of these fish to overall PAHs will be quantified chemically to know the actual dose of exposure. The blood samples will be evaluated for DNA injury via flow cytometry and for immune dysfunction by

measuring genomic responses. The specific portion of the project that will be performed at UF is to develop cDNA sequence information for immune dysfunction using next generation DNA sequencers and to use this information to create a microarray to quantify the immune gene expression dysfunction. Samples from laboratory exposed surrogate sturgeon species and oil exposed gulf sturgeon species will be evaluated by microarray.

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

- SOW 1: Microarray analysis of sturgeon liver samples from fish exposed to oil compared to control fish
- SOW 2: Verification of expression by Q-PCR
- SOW 3: Pathway analysis of genes that are altered by oil exposures.

#### **PROGRESS:**

We have made substantial progress on this project.

SOW 1: Microarray analysis of sturgeon liver samples from fish exposed to oil compared to control fish  
Completed

We prepared high quality total RNA and have performed the microarray analysis. We got excellent results that match the results seen for the white blood cells. The 7-day exposure seemed to cause more changes in gene expression than the 30-day exposure, suggesting that the fish acclimate somewhat to sub-chronic exposure to oil.

**7 day time point:** We found 1970 genes with expression level changes at  $p < 0.05$ . Of these, 706 genes were significant with a FDR of 0.2. Applying a 1.2 fold change cutoff to those 706 genes resulted in 230 genes. Using FDR uncorrected data, gene ontology analysis of biological processes found 36 processes to be significantly changed by exposure including double strand break repair via homologous DNA recombination (go: 0000724), T cell receptor signaling pathway (go:0050852), and nuclear mRNA splicing, via spliceosome (go:0000398), among others. One of the biological processes, cell redox homeostasis, was found to be significant even after an FDR of 0.2 was applied.

**30 day time point:** We found 1311 genes with expression level changes at  $p < 0.05$ . Of these, 10 genes were significant with a FDR of 0.2. Applying a 1.2 fold change cutoff to those 10 genes resulted in 5 genes. Using FDR uncorrected data, gene ontology analysis of biological processes found 26 processes to be significantly changed by exposure including negative regulation of DNA repair (go:0045738), negative regulation of gene expression, epigenetic (go:0045814), activation of caspase activity (go:0006919), and response to chemical stimulus (go:0042221), among others.

SOW 2: Verification of expression by Q-PCR

We are in the process of designing primers for Q-PCR verification of genes that are changed due to the exposures.

SOW 3: Pathway analysis of genes that are altered by oil exposures.

We will input the data from the microarrays into PathwayStudio to determine the pathways that are affected by the exposures. This work will be accomplished in the next few weeks.

#### **SUMMARY:**

It is important to note that the changes seen in gene expression in the liver are similar to changes seen in white blood cells in the same animals. These animals were exposed to known concentrations of oil in a laboratory setting. These samples will serve as a comparison for the changes we see in wild Gulf sturgeon that have been exposed intermittently to oil. Data from microarrays suggests the exposure causes DNA damage and immune dysfunction.



### **COMPLETED PROJECTS of Florida Unit....**

1. Winter Feeding Ecology of Black Skimmers on the Florida Gulf Coast,  
PI: L.D. Harris; Personnel: B. Black; Completion Date: 1981
2. Sinter Food Habits and Factors Influencing the Winter Diet of River Otter in North Florida.  
PI: L. Cooley; Completion Date: December 1983
3. Feeding Ecology of the Common Moorhen (*Gallinula Chloropus*) and Purple Gallinule *Porphyryula Martinica*) on Orange Lake, Florida. PI: R. Mulholland; Completion Date: December 1983
4. Monitoring River Otter Population: Scent Stations vs Sign Indices. PI: M. Robson;  
Completion Date: December 1983
5. Aspects of the Thermal Biology and Ecological Considerations of the Blue Tilapia.  
PI: J.A. McCann; Personnel: A.V. Zale; Completion Date: December 1984
6. Winter Food Habits & Factors Management Influencing the Winter Diet of River Otter in North Florida.  
PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: L.S. Cooley
7. Habitat Preference of Early Life Stages of Fishes in Orange Lake, Florida With an Evaluation of Alligator Sampling Methods –Winter Ecology of Ring-Necked Ducks in North-Central FL.  
PI: H.F. Percival, J. Thul; Personnel: C.W. Jeske; Completion Date: August 1985
8. Reproductive Behavior & Florida Wild Turkey (*Meleagris Gallopavo Osceola*) Nesting.  
PI: L. Williams; Completion Date: December 1985
9. Evaluation of Alligator Hatchlings Removal From Wild Populations in Florida.  
PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: M.L. Jennings, Completion Date: March 1986
10. Nest Site Selection and Habitat Use by Largemouth Bass. PI: R.W. Gregory; Personnel:  
N.A. Bruno; Completion Date: December 1984
11. Research/ Management Plan For The Crystal River West Indian Manatee Population Levy & Citrus Counties, FL. PI: R.W. Gregory, H.F. Percival; Completion Date: December 1983
12. Site-Specific Reduction of Manatee Boat/Barge Mortalities in Florida. PI: H.F. Percival,  
R.W. Gregory; Personnel: M.F. Kinnaird; Completion Date: May 1984
13. Mitigation of Fish & Wildlife Values in Rock-mined Areas of S. Florida. PI: R.W. Gregory,  
H.F. Percival; Personnel: R.W. Repenning; Completion Date: August 1984
14. Wildlife Values of Southeastern Bottomland Forests. PI: L.D. Harris; Completion Date: September 1984
15. The State of Knowledge of Gray Fox Harvest. PI: R.F. Labisky, S.R. Humphrey, H.F. Percival; Personnel: J.A. Hovis;  
Completion Date: January 1984
16. Foraging Habitat Requirements of The Red-Cockaded Woodpecker in Pine Habitats of North Florida.  
PI: R.F. Labisky; Personnel: M.L. Porter; Completion Date: September 1984
17. Habitat Suitability Index Models for Gulf of Mexico Coastal. PI: R.W. Gregory, H.F. Percival;  
Personnel: R. Mulholland; Completion Date: November 1984

18. Effect of Nutrient Leaching on Fish Spawning & Nursery Habitat in Great Lakes Nearshore Water.  
PI: R.W. Gregory, H.F. Percival; Personnel: L.C. Brasel; Completion Date: November 1984
19. Development of Hybrid Grass Carp Production Techniques. PI: J.V. Shireman;  
Completion Date: September 1984
20. Conceptual Model of Salt Marsh Management on Merritt Island, Florida.  
PI: C.L. Montague, H.F. Percival; Personnel: A.V. Zale; Completion: December 1984
21. Studies of Grass Carp in Aquatic Weed Control. PI: J.V. Shireman; Completion Date:  
October 1984
22. Factors Affecting Reproductive Success of Sea Turtles on Cape Canaveral Air Force Base.  
PI: R.F. Labisky; Completion Date: September 1984
23. Ecology & Management of Impounded Coastal Wetlands of The Georgia Bight.  
PI: C.L. Montague, H.F. Percival; Personnel: A.V. Zale; Completion: June 1985
24. Status Survey of the Rosemary Wolf Spider in Florida. PI: J. Reiskind; Completion Date:  
April 1985
25. Determination of the Food Habits of Manatees. PI: G.B. Rathbun, H.F. Percival; Personnel:  
L.A. Hurst, Completion Date: August 1985
26. Evaluation of Captive Breeding & Reintroduction of the Florida Panther. PI: J.F. Eisenbert;  
Completion Date: June 1985
27. Biometrical support For GFC's Gainesville Research Laboratory. PI: H.F. Percival:  
Personnel: C.L. Abercrombie, T.O'Brien; Completion Date: June 1985
28. Black Bear Habitat Variables. PI: L.H. Harris, D. Maehr; Personnel: C.W. Jeske;  
Completion Date: July 1985
29. Status Survey of the Florida Grasshopper Sparrow. PI: M.L. Delany, H.F. Percival;  
Personnel: J. Cox; Completion Date: March 1985
30. Status Survey of the Schaus' Swallowtail in Florida. PI: T.C. Emmel; Completion Date:  
March 1985
31. Population Index & Mark/Recapture Methodology For the West Indian Manatee In Florida.  
PI: H.F. Percival, Completion Date: August 1985
32. Effects of Low Altitude Training Flights on Florida's Brown Pelican & Wading Bird Colonies.  
PI: M.W. Collopy, B.B. Black, P.G. Bohall; Completion Date: January 1985
33. Habitat Use & Management of Sherman's Fox Squirrel. PI: S.R. Humphrey; Personnel:  
A.T. Kantola; Completion Date: June 1986
34. Evaluation of Electro-fishing Systems for Quantitative Sampling of Blue Tilapia.  
PI: H. Schramm; Completion Date: May 1986
34. Pancreatic Necrosis Virus as a Pathogen of Striped Bass. PI: R.W. Gregory, W.M. Kitchens,  
J.V. Shireman; Personnel: S. Wechsler; Completion Date: May 1987
35. Production, Sterility, & Food Habits of Bighead Carp. PI: J.V. Shireman;

Completion Date: July 1987

36. Evaluation of Population Parameters of Black Duck. (RWO27) PI: H.F. Percival, M.J. Conroy, M. Haramis; Personnel: D.G. Kremenz, B.R. Charest; Completion Date: July 1987
37. Status of the Cape Sable Seaside Sparrow in East Everglades. PI: W.R. Marion; Personnel: T.O'Meara; Completion Date: September 1987
38. Evaluation & Control of Bird Damage to Rice. PI: M. Avery, H.F. Percival, P. Lefebvre; Personnel: D. Daneke; Completion Date: December 1987
39. The Ecology & Management of Impounded Coastal Wetlands of the Georgia Bight: Workshop (RWO33) PI: C.L. Montague, H.F. Percival; Personnel: A.V. Zale; Completion Date: September 1987
40. Movement & Survival of Captive-Reared Gharials in the Narayani River, Nepal. PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: T.M. Maskey; Completion Date: December 1988
41. Egg Viability From Four Wetlands in Florida. PI: H.F. Percival, A.R. Woodward; Personnel: M.L. Jennings; Completion Date: April 1988
42. The Ecology & Management of Hydric Hammocks (RWO24). PI: S.R. Humphrey; Personnel: S. Vince; Completion Date: July 1988
43. A Comparison of Passerine Feeding Habits in Two Tidal marsh Communities (RWO30). PI: G.W. Tanner, W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: L. Peterson; Completed: January 1989
44. Population Analysis & Roosting & Feeding Flock Behavior of Blackbirds Damaging Sprouting Rice in SW Louisiana. PI: R.R. Labisky, N.R. Holler; Completion: September 1989
45. Performance of the Female Habitat Use, Movements, Migration Patterns, & Survival Rates of Sub- Adult Bald Eagles in Florida. PI: M.W. Collopy; Personnel: P.B. Wood; Completion Date: December 1991
46. Effectiveness of Wildlife Crossing Structures on Alligator Alley (I-75) For Reducing Animal/Auto Collisions. PI: S.R. Humphrey; Personnel: M.L. Foster; Completion Date: December 1991
47. Impact Assessment of Grass Delivery Program on Wading Carp (RWO34). PI: J.V. Shireman, W.M. Kitchens; Completion Date: September 1989
48. Status Survey of Three Florida Lizards (RWO35). PI: P. Moler, H.F. Percival, R.F. Labisky; Personnel: K. Enge; Completion Date: October 1986
49. Vegetation Management for Key Deer (RWO36) PI: S.R. Humphrey G.W. Tanner: Personnel: J. Wood, P. Carlson; Completion Date: December 1989
50. Status Survey of Seven Florida Mammals: Micro Cottontail Rabbit, Micro Cotton Rat, SE Beach Mouse, Goff's Pocket Gopher, Anastasia Island Cotton Mouse and Beach Mouse (RWO37). PI: S.R. Humphrey, M. Bentzien; Completion Date: July 1987
51. Relative Abundance, Size Class, Composition, & Growth Patterns of Wild Green Turtles at the Culebra Archipelago, Puerto Rico (RWO38) PI: J.A. Collazo, H.F. Percival; Personnel: T. Tallevast; Completion Date: December 1989

52. Effects of Modified Water Bird Nesting Success & Foraging Dispersion in Water Conservation.  
PI: M.W. Collopy; Personnel: P.D. Frederick; Completion Date: April 1988
53. Effects of the Modified Water Delivery Program on Nest Site Selection & Nesting Success of Snail Kites in Water Conservation Area 3A (RWO40). PI: M.w. Collopy, s. Beissinger; Personnel: R. Bennett's; Completion Date: February 1988
54. Comparative Graminoid Community Composition & Structure Within the Northern Portion of Everglades Nat'l Park, NE Shark River Slough, Water Conservation Area 3A & 3B (RWO41)  
PI: G.W. Tanner; Personnel: J.M. Wood; Completion Date: November 1986
55. Human/Wildlife Interaction J.N. "DING" Darling Nat'l Wildlife Refuge (RWO42).  
PI: S.R. Humphrey, H.F. Percival; Personnel: M.V. Klein; Completion Date: June 1989
56. Status Survey of Two Florida Seaside Sparrows (RWO43). PI: K. McNab, V. MacDonald; Completion Date: October 1988
57. Soil/Plant Correlation Studies in Florida (RWO46). PI: G.R. Best, W.M. Kitchens; Completion Date: March 1987
58. Reproductive cycles in Striped Bass Maintained in Recirculation Silos: Histological Analysis.  
PI: L.J. Guillette, Jr.; Personnel: C.A. Goudie; Completion Date: October 1986
59. Aquatic Plant Management Technology Improvement (RWO47). PI: J.C. Joyce, W.T. Haller; Personnel: V. Ramey, T. Willard; Completion Date: April 1988
60. Effects of Ground Water Levels Upon Reproduction success of American Crocodiles In Everglades Nat'l Park (RWO50). PI: F.J. Mazzotti; Completion Date: April 1989
61. Factors Affecting Productivity & Habitat Use of Florida SandHill Cranes: An Evaluation of Three Areas in Central Florida as Potential Reintroduction Sites for a Mommigratory Population of Whooping Cranes.  
PI: M.W. Collopy; Personnel: M. Bishop; Completion: October 1988
62. Manatee Protection Project: Survey of Boat Usage Patterns. PI: J.W. Hutchinson, J.W. Alba; Completion Date: September 1988
63. An Evaluation of Manatee Distribution Patterns in Response to Public Use Activities, Crystal River, ) Florida. (RWO52) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Completion Date: December 1989
64. An Evaluation of Cumulative Impacts to the Habitat of The West Indian Manatee, Crystal River Nat'l Wildlife Refuge (RWO53) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: L.G. Pearlstine, C.Buckingham; Completion Date: December 1989
65. Status Survey of The Florida Saltmarsh Vole (RWO54) PI: C.A. Woods; Personnel: L. Hay-Smith; Completion Date: September 1988
66. Impact of Mosquito Control Pesticides on the Endangered Schaus Swallowtail & Related Insects in The Florida Keys (RWO56) PI: T.C. Emmel; Personnel: P. Eliazar; Completion Date: Jan 1989
67. Effects of Mosquito Control Pesticides on Non-Target Organisms in the Florida Keys (RWO57)  
PI: D.H. Habeck; Personnel: M. Hennessey; Completion Date: October 1989
68. Development of Guidance Manual For Monitoring Water Quality & Vegetative Changes on Nat'l Wildlife Refuges (RWO58) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Completion Date: December 1988

69. Applicability & Comparison of Satellite Image Data to Delineation of Cover type in The Lower Suwannee River Region (RWO60) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Completion Date: December 1988
70. Distribution & Population Structure of Sea Turtles Inhabiting The Cape Canaveral Entrance Channel (RWO62) PI: A.B. Bolten, K.A. Bjorndal; Completion Date: December 1991
71. Determination of the Causes of Low Response with the Water Fowl Hunter Questionnaire & Estimation of the Resultant Biases (RWO76) PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: R.J. Barker, P.H. Geissler; Completion Date: September 1990
72. The Ecology of Manatees in Georgia with Emphasis on Cumberland Sound (RWO65) PI: H.F. Percival, B.J. Zoodsma; Completion Date: December 1990
73. Scientific Review of Alligator Export Proposals to USFWS (RWO69) PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: P.N. Gray, F. Nunez-Garcia; Completed: July 1990
74. Fish Community Structure in Naturally Acid Florida Lakes (RWO73) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: C.A. Jennings, D.E. Canfield, Jr.; Completed: July 1990
75. Development & Application of A Habitat Succession Model For the Wetland Complex of the Savannah river Nat'l Wildlife Refuge (RWO30) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: L.G. Pearlstine, P. Latham, L. Peterson, G. Tanner; Completion Date: December 1990
76. Plant species Association Changes & Interactions Across a Gradient of Fresh, Oligohaline & Mesohaline Tidal Marsh of the Lower Savannah River (RWO30) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: P.J. Latham; Completion Date: December 1990
77. Biology of Florida's Mottled Duck. PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: P.N. Gray; Completed: May 1992
78. Modeling Waterfowl Harvest & The Effects of Questionnaire Non-response on Harvest Estimate. PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: R.J. Barker, J.D. Nichols; Completion Date: May 1992
79. Environmental Influences on Reproductive Potential & Clutch Viability of the American Alligator From Seven Study Sites in Florida. PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: G.R. Masson; Completion Date: July 1992
80. Nesting Biology of the American Alligator in Florida. PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: K.G. Rice; Completion Date: September 1992
81. Alligator Egg Viability & Population Trends on Lake Apopka, Florida. PI: H.F. Percival, L.J. Guillette, Jr.; Personnel: G.R. Masson, K.G. Rice, Completed: June 1993
82. Alligator Nest Production Estimation in Florida. PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: K.G. Rice, A.R. Woodward; Completion Date: August 1992
83. Habitat Use By Migratory Shorebirds at the Cabo Rojo Salt Flats, Puerto Rico (RWO78) PI: J.A. Collazo, H.F. Percival; Personnel: J.S. Gear; Completion Date: August 1992
84. Wading Bird Use of Wastewater Treatment Wetlands in Central Florida (RWO83) PI: P.C. Frederick; Completion Date: December 1992
85. Evaluating The Regional Effects of Citrus Development on The Ecological Integrity of South-West Florida. PI: F.J. Mazzotti, W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: L.A. Brandt, L.G. Pearlstine; Completion Date: May 1992
86. Workshop in Florida Manatee (*Trichechus Mantus*) Population Biology (RWO88)



PI: T.J. O'Shea, H.F. Percival; Personnel: B.B. Ackerman; Completed: October 1993

87. Issues & Options Related to Management of Silver Springs Rhesus Macaques.

PI: C.L. Montague, H.F. Percival; Personnel: J.F. Gottgens; Completed: December 1993

88. Sea Turtles Inhabiting The Kings Bay, St. Mary's Entrance Channel: Distribution & Population Structure  
(RWO72) PI: K.A. Bjorndal, A.B. Bolten; Completed: September 1983

89. Wading Bird Nesting Success Studies in The Everglades (RWO110) PI: P.C. Frederick,  
Completed: December 1993

90. Captive Propagation & Restoration Ecology of The Endangered Stock Island Tree Snail  
(RWO94) PI: T.C. Emmel; Completion Date: October 1993

91. Status Monitoring & Experimental Reintroduction of The Endangered Schaus Swallowtail  
(RWO84) PI: T.C. Emmel, P.J. Eliazar, M.C. Minno; Completed: September 1993

92. Conservation Status of The Freshwater Mussels of The Apalachicola River Basin (RWO86)  
PI: J.D. Williams; Personnel: J.C. Brim-Box; Completion Date: October 1993

93. Statistical Aspects of Line Transect Sampling (RWO68) PI: K.M. Portier, Completed: 1993

94. A Geographic Information System Model of Fire Damage & Vegetation Recovery in The  
Loxahatchee Nat'l Wildlife Refuge. PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: J.E. Silveira,  
J.R. Richardson; Completion Date: December 1993

95. Mercury Concentrations in Blood & Feathers of Nestling Bald Eagles (RWO108)  
PI: P.B. Wood; Personnel: J.H. White, A. Steffer, H.F. Percival; Completed: December 1994

96. Effects of Artificial Lighting on Nesting Adult & Hatchling Sea Turtles (RWO75)  
PI: K.A. Bjorndal, A.B. Bolton; Personnel: B.E. Witherington; Completed: September 1994

97. Summary Report of Air Quality Studies Done at Chassahowitzka Nat'l Wildlife Refuge (RWO102)  
PI: E.R. Allen; Completion Date: June 1994

98. Evaluations of The Efficacy of Exotics as Aquaculture & Management Species in Florida (RWO109)  
PI: J.V. Shireman; Personnel: J.E. Weaver, K. Opusbynski; Completed Date: February 1994

99. Assessing The Impact of Vehicular Traffic on Beach Habitat & Wildlife, Cape San Blas, FL  
PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: J.H. Cox, Jr., S.V. Colwell; Completion Date: June 1994

100. Early Life History & Relative Abundance of Sturgeon In The Suwannee River (RWO61)  
PI: J.V. Shireman, J.P. Clugston, A.M. Foster; Completion Date: October 1994

101. Distribution, Population Structure & Exploitation of Sea Turtles in The Bahamas (RWO67)  
PI: K.A. Bjorndal, A.B. Bolton; Completion Date: September 1994

102. Sea Turtle Populations in The Eastern Gulf of Mexico: Biology, Distribution & Population  
Structure (RWO77) PI: K.A. Bjorndal, A.B. Bolten; Personnel: J.R. Schmidt;  
Completion Date: September 1994

103. Distribution & Status of The Red-Cockaded Woodpecker on The Eglin Air Force Base, Florida.  
PI: H.F. Percival, R.J. Smith; Completion Date: March 1994

104. Factors Affecting Abundance of Spotted Sea trout & Year-Class Strength (RWO81)

PI: H.F. Percival, N.A. Funicelli, J.V. Shireman; Completion Date: June 1994

105. Re-establishment of the Anastasia Island Beach Mouse (*Peromyscus Polionotus Phasma*)

PI: S. Humphrey; Personnel: P.A. Frank; Completion Date: January 1994

106. Captive Propagation and Habitat Reintroduction for the Schaus Swallowtail Following Hurricane

Andrew. PI: T.C. Emmel; Personnel: J.C. Daniels A. Sourakov, P.J. Eliazar;

Completion Date: September 1994

107. Development Abnormalities of the Reproductive System of Alligators From Contaminated &

Control Lakes in Florida. PI: H.F. Percival; Completion Date: May 1994

108. Land Management Practices in the Mountain Region of Puerto Rico: Monitoring Bird

Reproductively in Carite State Forest PI: H.F. Percival; J.A. Collazo;

Personnel: F. Nunez-Garcia; Completion Date: December 1995

109. Methods For Determining change in Wetland Habitats in Florida (RWO95)

PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: J. Silveira, W. Bryant; Completed: September 1995

110. Population Ecology of Bartram's Ixia (RWO101)

PI: G.W. Tanner; Personnel: A. Miller; Completed: October 1995

111. Maintenance, Propagation, and Restoration of the Endangered Stock Island Tree Snail Following Hurricane Andrew

(RWO106). PI: T.C. Emmel; Personnel: K.A. Schwarz, R.A. Worth, N.D. Eliazar; Completion Date:: October 1995

112. Changes in Salinity & Vegetation Following Re-establishment of Natural Hydrology on the Lower Savannah River

(RWO117). PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: P.J. Latham, L.P. Peterson;

Completion Date: March 1995

113. Follow-Up of a 14 Year Old Crested Wetland/Upland Landscape on Phosphate-Mined Land in

Central Florida (RWO120) PI: G.R. Best, W.M. Kitchens; Completed: March 1995

114. Trends, Status & Aspects of Demography of The Red-Cockaded Woodpecker in The Sandhills of Florida's Panhandle

(RWO124). PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: J.L. Hardesty, R.J. Smith;

Completion Date: March 1995

115. Status & Distribution of The Florida Scrub Jay on Cape Canaveral, Florida (RWO127)

PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: J.L. Hardesty, D.B. McDonald; Completion Date: May 1995

116. Mercury Contamination in Great Egrets in Southern Florida (RWO132).

PI: P.G. Frederick; Personnel: M.G. Spaulding, M.S. Sepulveda: Completed: September 1995

117. The Acute Toxicity of Malathon to Glochidia & Freshwater Mussels (RWO133)

PI: E.J. Philips; Personnel: A.E. Keller; Completion Date: March 1995

118. The Role of Environmental Contaminants in The Prevalence of Fish Infected With A

Wading Bird Parasite (RWO134). PI: D.J. Forrester; M.G. Spaulding; Personnel: D. Morrison;

Completion Date: September 1995

119. Development of an Ecologically Stable Cost Efficient Biological Water Treatment system &

Technology Transfer System (RWO135) PI: J.V. Shireman; Personnel: N.A. Funicelli;

Completion Date: September 1995

120. Status & Distribution of the Florida Scrub Jay on Cape Canaveral, FL (RWO136)

PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: D.B. McDonald, J.L. Hardesty; Completed: October 1995

121. Disruption of Endocrine Function & Reproductive Potential By Environmental Contaminants on Lake Apopka's Alligators & Other Taxa (RWO137) PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: L.J. Guillette, T.S. Gross, K.G. Rice; Completed: October 1995
122. The Epidemiology of Upper Respiratory Tract Disease in Desert Tortoises at Three Sites in The California Deserts (RWO138) PI: M. Brown; Personnel: I.M. Schumacher, P.A. Klein; Completion Date: April 1995
123. The Relationships Between Host Plant & Habitat For The Distribution of Three Potentially Endangered S. Florida Butterfly Species (RWO145) PI: T.C. Emmel; Personnel: R.A. Worth; Completion Date: September 1995
124. Snail Kite Census PI: W.M. Kitchens; Completion Date: December 1995
125. Refinement of Population Estimation Techniques For Wild Turkeys YR 3. PI: G.W. Tanner; Completion Date: June 1995
126. Egg Viability, Sexual Development, Hatchling Viability & Growth in Alligators From Lake Apopka & Lake Beauclair. PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: C.L. Abercrombie, A.R. Woodward, K.G. Rice; Completion Date: July 1995
127. Mineral Interactions Between embryo, Eggshell & Substrate in Developing Sea Turtles (RWO92) PI: K.A. Bjorndal; Personnel: A.B. Bolten, R.R. Carthy; Completion Date: August 1996
128. Ecological Correlates of Red-cock Woodpecker Foraging Preference, Habitat Use, & Home Range Area on Eglin Air Force Base, Florida (RWO99) PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: R.J. Smith, J.L. Hardesty; Completion Date: March 1996
129. Understory Response to Longleaf Pine-Sandhill Restoration Techniques (RWO111) PI: G.W. Tanner; Personnel: J.L. Hardesty, Completion Date: March 1996
130. Habitat Associations, Reproduction, and Foraging Ecology of Audubon's Crested Caracara in South-Central Florida (RWO114). PI: S.R. Humphrey; Personnel: J.L. Morrison, S.M. McGehee; Completion Date: May 1996
131. Landscape Dynamics of Scrub Lizard on Avon Park Air Force Range (RWO122) PI: L.C. Branch; Personnel: D.G. Hokit, B.M. Stith; Completion Date: September 1996
132. Post Hurricane Density & Recovery Status of the Key Largo Woodrat and Cotton Mouse (RWO123) PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: K. Miller, B.W. Keith; Completion Date: August 1996
133. Evaluation of Sampling and Analytical Protocols for Manatee Capture-Recapture and Telemetry Data (RWO125) PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: L.W. Lefebvre; Completed: July 1996
134. Community Response to Restoration Techniques in Degraded Florida Sandhill Systems (RWO 128) PI: G.W. Tanner; Personnel: D.R. Gordon, H.F. Percival; Completion Date: March 1996
135. Marine Turtle Nesting Biology & Assessment of Anthropogenic Disturbances to Hatchling Orientation at Eglin Air Force Base (RWO129) PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel L.G. Pearlstine, Completion Date: April 1996
135. Necropsies of Ill and Dying Desert Tortoises From California and Elsewhere in The Southwestern

United States (RWO131) PI: B.L. Homer; Personnel: E.R. Jacobson, K.H. Berry;  
Completed: March 1996

137. Potential Effects of Endocrine Disrupting Contaminants (RWO140)

PI: T.S. Gross; Personnel: H.F. Percival, K.G. Rice, A.R. Woodward; Completed: June 1996

138. Interactions Among Cavity Dependent Species in Longleaf Pine Forests: The Roles of Snags and Red-Cockaded Woodpecker Cavities (RWO143) PI: J.D. Harris; Personnel: R. Costa, J.J.

Kappes, Jr.; Completion Date: August 1996

139. Habitat Assessment in a Landscape Context: Analysis of The Factors Affecting The Distribution & Abundance of Florida Scrub Lizard (RWO156) PI: L.C. Branch; Personnel: D.G. Hokit,

Completion Date: April 1996

140. Estimation & Environmental Correlates of Survival & Dispersal of Snail Kites in Florida.

PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: P.C. Darby; Completion Date: February 1996

141. Egg Viability & Population Trends of Lake Apopka Alligators: Relation Ships Among Populations & Biographical Parameters. PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: K.G. Rice; Completed: July 1996

142. Evaluation of S.R.46 Wildlife Crossing.

PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: J.C. Roof, J.B. Wooding; Completion Date: May 1996

143. An Ecosystem Approach To Public Education & Information at Eglin Air Force Base (RWO107)

PI: S.K. Jacobson; Personnel: S.B. Marynowski; Completion Date: September 1997

144. Genetic Analysis of Sea Turtle Populations in The Western Atlantic Ocean With Emphasis on The Southeast United States (RWO115) PI: B.W. Bowen, A.B. Bolten; Completion Date: June 1997

145. Cape San Blas Ecological Study (RWO126)

PI: W.M. Kitchens, H.F. Percival, R.R. Carthy; Completion Date: August 1997

146. Enhancement & Evaluation of a Designated Watchable Wildlife Site (RWO130)

PI: J.M. Schaefer, S.K. Jacobson; Completion Date: January 1997

147. Research Objectives to Support The S. Florida Ecosystem Initiative-Water Conservation Areas, Lake Okeechobee & The East-West Waterways (RWO139) PI: W.M. Kitchens;

Completed: September 1997

148. Trends, Status and Aspects of Demography of The Red-Cockaded Woodpecker in the Sandhills of Florida's Panhandle, PartII (RWO146) PI: H.F. Percival, J.L. Hardesty; Personnel: K.E. Gault,

L.F. Phillips; Completion Date: March 1997

149. Use of Unionid Mussels as Bioindicators of Water Quality in Escambia Conecuh River System

(RWO149) PI: E.Philps; Personnel: A. Keller; Completion Date: June 1997

150. Captive Propagation & Experimental Reintroduction of Florida's Schaus Swallowtail (RWO151)

PI: T.C. Emmel; Personnel: J.P. Hall, K.M. Wilmott, J.C. Daniels; Completed: December 1997

151. Testing & Implementation of Selected Aquatic ecosystem Indicators in The Mississippi River System, 1995: Potential Effects of Endocrine Disrupting Contaminants (RWO153)

PI: T.S. Gross; Completion Date: September 1997

152. Wading Bird Population Monitoring, Environmental Correlates of Adult Foraging Success & Measurement of Nesting Energetic Needs in The Everglades: Part I (RWO158)

PI: P.C. Frederick; Personnel: J.Surkick, J.Salantas; Completion Date: April 1997

153. Marine Turtle Conservation on The Caribbean Coast of Nicaragua (RWO171)  
PI: L.J. Guillette, Jr.; Personnel: C.L. Campbell; Completed: December 1997
154. Evaluating The Ecological Role of Alligator Holes In The Everglades Landscapes.  
PI: E.J. Mazzotti, H.F. Percival; Personnel: L.A. Brandt; Completion Date: December 1997
155. Two GIS & Land Use Analysis of Freshwater Mussels in The Apalachicola River Drainage  
(RWO164) PI: J. Mossa; Personnel: J. Howard; Completion Date: July 1997
156. Egg Viability & Population Trends of Lake Apopka Alligators. PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel:  
K.G. Rice; Completion Date: July 1997
157. Effect of Marine Pollution on Juvenile Pelagic Sea Turtles (RWO66) and Biology of and the Effects  
of Marine Debris (RWO118) PI: K.A. Bjorndal; A.B. Bolten; Completed: June 1998
158. Enhancement of Natural Dune building & Re-vegetation Processes on Santa Rosa Island (RWO159)  
PI: D.L. Miller, Mack Thetford; Completion Date: August 1998
159. Pathogenic, Molecular, and Immunological Properties of Herpesvirus Associated with Green Turtle  
Fibropapillomatosis: Phase I Virus Isolation & Transmission (RWO161) PI: P.A. Klein;  
Completion Date: June 1998
160. Migrations & Habitat Use of Sea Turtles in The Bahamas (RWO166). PI: K.A. Bjornal,  
A.A. Bolten: Completion Date: September 1998
161. Population Genetic Structure of Marine Turtles In The Southeastern United States and Adjacent Caribbean Region  
(RWO167) PI: B.W. Bowen, A.L. Bass; Completed: June 1998
162. Distribution and Abundance of Sensitive Wildlife at Avon Park Air Force Base Range (RWO169)  
PI: R. Franz; Completed: December 1998
163. Red-Cockaded Woodpecker Cavities & Snags in Longleaf Pine Forest: Cavity Nester Use & Nesting  
Success (RWO170) PI: K.E. Sieving; Completion Date: September 1998
164. Plant & Invertebrate Community Responses to Restoration Techniques In Degraded Florida  
Sandhills: YR3 Post-Treatment (RWO174) PI: G.W. Tanner, D.R. Gordon; Completed: July 1998
165. Demographics, Genetic Relationships & Impacts From Rd Imported Fire Ants on The Florida Grasshopper Sparrow  
(RWO175A) PI: H.F. Percival; Completion Date: March 1998
166. Red Imported Fire Ants on The Endangered Florida Grasshopper Sparrow (RWO175B)  
PI: H.F. Percival, Completion Date: June 1998
167. Wading Bird Population Monitoring, Environmental, Correlates of Adult Foraging Success & Measurements of Nestling  
Energetic Needs in The Everglades Phase II (RWO176)  
PI: P.C. Frederick; Completion Date: April 1998
168. Population characterization of Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtles in The Big Bend Area, Gulf of Mexico,  
Florida Monitor, Assess, and Predict Status of Impacts to Protected Species & Their Ecosystems  
(RWO177) PI: R.R. Carthy; Completion Date: September 1998
169. Breeding & Reintroduction of The Endangered Schaus Swallowtail (RWO179)  
PI: T.C. Emmel; Completion Date: July 1998



170. Estimating Survival & Movements in Snail Kite Population (RWO183)  
PI: W.M. Kitchens, R.E. Bennetts; Completion Date: July 1998
171. Tree Island Biological Inventory: Landscape Level Assess and Determination of Island Aream Shape & Vegetation Zones (RWO184) PI: W.M. Kitchens, L.A. Brandt; Completion Date: September 1998
172. Biological Diversity in Florida: And Evaluation of Potential Species in Relation to Habitat and Existing Reserves (RWO 98) PI: W.M. Kitchens, L.G. Pearlstine, S.E. Smith, J.L. Hardy;  
Completion Date: September 1998
173. Improving Survey Methods and Assessing Impoundment Effects on Waterfowl Ecology at the Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge (RWO 186) PI: R.R. Carthy; Completion Date: June 1999
174. Effects of Prescribed Fire on Soil Nutrients, Forage Quality and Plant Community Composition and on Breeding Bird Communities on the Florida Panther NWR (RWO 168) PI: M.B. Main;  
Completion Date: July 1999
175. Florida Gap Analysis (RWO 187) PI: L.G. Pearlstine, S.E. Smith; Completion Date: December 1999
176. Modeling and Simulation Support for ATLSS (RWO 154a) PI: P.A. Fishwick; Completion Date: December 1999
177. The Effect of Everglades Food Items (Prey) on Crocodilian Growth Development and Fertility (RWO 154b) PI: P.T. Cardielhac; Completion Date: December 1999
178. American Alligator Distribution, Thermoregulation and Biotic Potential Relative to Hydroperiod in the Everglades National Park (RWO 154c) PI: H.F. Percival, K.G. Rice;  
Completion Date: December 1999
179. Nesting, Growth and Survival of American Crocodiles in Northeastern Florida Bay, Everglades National Park- Phase I (RWO 178) PI: F.J. Mazzotti, L.A. Brandt; Completion Date: April 2000
180. Creation of Upland Cover Map of Florida PI: L.G. Pearlstine, W.M. Kitchens; Completion Date: August 1999
181. Orientation of Digital Aerial Images and Protocol Development PI: L.G. Pearlstine, S.E. Smith;  
Completion Date: April 1999
182. Produce a Manual of Sea Turtle Research and Conversation Techniques PI: K.A. Bjorndal, A.B. Bolten; Completion Date: July 1999
183. Wildlife Refuge Waterfowl Survey Database (RWO 202) PI: R.R. Carthy, E. McMichael, R. Subramaniya; Completion Date: December 2000
184. Movements, Spatial Use Patterns and Habitat Utilization of Radio-Tagged West Indian Manatees (*Trichechus Manatus*) Along the Atlantic Coast of Florida and Georgia (RWO 163)  
PI: H.F. Percival, B.J. Deutsch, L.W. Lefebvre; Completion Date: July 2000
185. Pathogenic, Molecular and Immunological Properties of a Virus Associated with Sea Turtle Fibropapillomatosis, Phase II: Viral Pathogenesis and Development of Diagnostic Assays (RWO 180) PI: P.A. Klein, E.R. Jacobson, D.R. Brown, S.S. Coberly, D. Bagley;  
Completion Date: June 2000
186. Dry Down Tolerance of Florida Apple Snail (*Pomacea Paludosa*): Effects of Age and Season

(RWO 182) PI: H.F. Percival, P.C. Darby, Z.C. Welch; Completion Date: August 2000

187. Effects of Coastal Erosion on Nesting sea Turtles Along the Florida Panhandle (RWO 185) PI: R.R. Carthy, M.M. Lamont; Completion Date: May 2000
188. A Comparison Between the Population of the Potential Tumor-Promoting Dinoflagellate, Prorocentrum SPP and the Incidence of Fibropapillomatosis in Green Turtles (*Chelonia Mydas*) in Florida and Hawaii PI: R.R. Carthy, Y.C. Anderson; Completion Date: December 1999
189. Incubation Temperatures and Sex Ratios of Loggerhead Sea Turtles (*Caretta Caretta*) Hatched on Northwest Florida Beaches (RWO 197a) PI: R.R. Carthy, M.L. Maglothlin; Completion Date: Aug. 2000
190. Biology of Nesting Sea Turtles Along the Florida Panhandle (RWO 197b) PI: R.R. Carthy, M.M. Lamont; Completion Date: August 2000
191. A Comparison Between Hawaii and Florida: The Potential Link Between the Tumor-Promoting Dinoflagellate, Prorocentrum SPP and the Prevalence of Fibropapillomatosis in Green Turtles (RWO 210) PI: R.R. Carthy, Y.C. Anderson; Completion Date: December 2000
192. Feeding Ecology and Habitat Affinities of Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtles in the Big Bend, Florida (RWO 189) PI: R.R. Carthy, J.S. Staiger; Completion Date: August 2001
193. Time Lapse Landscape Ecology: Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge (MINWR) (RWO 189) PI: R.R. Carthy, J.B. Wooding, W.J. Barichivich; Completion Date: December 2001
194. Application of the Species at Risk Conservation for the Florida Army National Guard at Camp Blanding Training Site, Clay County, Florida (RWO 201) PI: R.R. Carthy, C.J. Gregory, A.J. Gruschke, L.G. Pearlstine; Completion Date: August 2001
195. Hydrological Characterization of the White River Basin (RWO 203) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: M.A. Craig, M.R. Wise; Completion Date: September 2000
196. A Multimodel Implementation Supporting ATLSS: Across Trophic Level System Simulation (RWO 204) PI: P.A. Fishwick; Personnel: R.M. Cubert, L.K. Dance; Completion Date: December 2001
197. Relations of Environmental Contaminants, Algal Toxins and Diet with the Reproductive Success of American Alligators on Florida Lakes (RWO 193) PI: H.F. Percival, T.S. Gross; Personnel: B. Bradford; Completion Date: August 2001
198. Further Strategies for Evaluating the Etiological Role of a Tumor-Associated Herpesvirus in Marine Turtle Fibropapillomatosis (RWO 194) PI: E.R. Jacobson, P.A. Klein; Personnel: D.A. Bagley, S.S. Coberly, R. Hirschman; Completion Date: September 2001
199. Evaluation of Desert Tortoises in and Around Fort Irwin for Exposure to a Tortoise Herpesvirus (RWO 196) PI: E.R. Jacobson, P.A. Klein; Personnel: F.C. Oraggi, S. Tucker; Completion Date: April 2001
200. Response of Nesting Sea Turtles and Foraging Shorebirds to Barrier Island Dynamics (RWO 206) PI: P.C. Frederick; Personnel: J.D. Semones, R.A. Hylton, G.A. Babbitt, J.A. Heath; Completion Date: April 2002
201. Ecological Inventory of Moody Air Force Base and Surrounding Properties (Z 038) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: C.J. Gregory, M.M. Lamont; Completion Date: March 2003

202. Ecological Inventory of Moody Air Force Base and Surrounding Properties (Z 039) PI: R.R. Carthy;  
Personnel: C.J. Gregory; Completion Date: March 2003
203. Large Scale Habitat Monitoring for Migratory Birds: Digital Video Mosaics in Multi-Level Images  
(RWO 215) PI: B.D. Dewitt, L.G. Pearlstine; Personnel: G. Trull, S.R. Gonzales, G.P. Jones, IV; Completion Date:  
August 2003
204. Inventory and Monitoring of the Amphibians of Everglades National Park, Big Cypress National  
Preserve and Virgin Islands National Park (RWO 208) PI: H.F. Percival, K.G. Rice,  
R.R. Carthy, J.D. Nichols; Personnel: C.D. Bugbee, M.E. Crockett, A.D. Dove, B. Jeffrey,  
A.J. Maskell, J.H. Waddle; Completion Date: December 2003
205. American Alligator Distribution, Thermoregulation and Biotic Potential Relative to Hydroperiod in  
the Everglades (RWO 199) PI: H.F. Percival, K.G. Rice; Personnel: M.D. Chopp, A.G. Finger, P. George,  
B. Jeffrey, M.T. Tuten; Completion Date: December 2003
206. Sereopidemiological Studies of Herpesvirus-Associated Diseases of Marine Turtles:  
Fibropapillomatosis and Lung-Eye-Trachea Disease (RWO 213) PI: R.R. Carthy, P.A. Klein, E.R. Jacobson; Personnel:  
D.A. Bagley, S.S. Coberly (Curry), R. Hirschman; Completion Date: December 2003
207. An Estimate of Population Age Structure for Gulf of Mexico Sturgeon, *Acipenser O. Desotoi*, on the  
Yellow River (RWO 214) PI: M.S. Allen; Personnel: J. Berg; Completion Date: December 2003
208. Contaminant Screening to Investigate Wildlife Mortality on Lakes in Central Florida (RWO 196) PI:  
H.F. Percival, J.P. Ross; Personnel: Y. Tamsiripong; Completion Date: April 2003
209. Hibernation vs Migration Overwintering Strategies of Juvenile Sea Turtles in the Florida Panhandle  
(UF Project #00037385) PI: R.R. Carthy, E. McMichael; Personnel: R. Scarpino;  
Completion Date: August 2004
210. Estimation of Critical Demographic Parameters of the Florida Snail Kite During and After Drought  
Conditions (RWO 216) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: J. Martin, C. Cattau, C. Rich, D. Piotrowicz; Completion Date:  
December 2004
211. Demographic Movement and Habitat Studies of the Endangered Snail Kite in Response to  
Hydrological Changes (RWO 207) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: J. Martin, C. Cattau,  
A. Bowling, D. Huser, M. Conners; Completion Date: March 2005
212. Monitoring of Wading Birds Nesting Activity in WCAS I, II and II of the Everglades and Study of  
Wood Stork Survival and Movements (RWO 218) PI: P.C. Frederick; Personnel: R. Hylton,  
J.D. Sermones, M. Bokach, J. Heath, J. Simon, K. Williams; Completion Date: March 2005
213. Evaluation of Sea Turtle Hatchling Disorientation and Assessment of Techniques for Minimizing  
Lighting Impacts at Tyndall AFB, Bay County Florida (RWO 217) PI: R.R. Carthy;  
Personnel: R. Scarpino; Completion Date: March 2005
214. Partnership in Case Studies for Training and Outreach (UF Project #00050944) PI: H.F.  
Percival, M. Monroe; Personnel: K. Bender; Completion Date: August 2005
215. Continued Vegetation Monitoring of the Savannah River Tidally Influenced Marshes PI: W.M.  
Kitchens; Personnel: K. Lindgren, Z. Welch; Completion Date: December 2005
216. Geomorphic Assessment of Channel Changes along a Modified Floodplain Pascagoula Basin,  
Mississippi PI: J. Mossa; Personnel: D. Coley, J. Rasmussen, R. Godfrey, A. Villegas;  
Completion Date: December 2005

217. Geomorphic Assessment of Channel Changes along a Modified Floodplain Pascagoula Basin, Mississippi PI: J. Mossa; Personnel: J. Williams; Completion Date: June 2006
218. Factors Affecting Population Density and Harvest of Northern Bobwhite (*Colinus Virginianus*) in Babcock/ Webb Wildlife Management Area, Charlotte County, Florida PI: H.F. Percival, R. Dimmick, M. Oli; Personnel: S. Dimmick, S. Brinkley, J. Hostetler, G. Coker, A. Brinkley, C. Jones; Completion Date: June 2006
219. Cost and Accuracy of Analysis of Gopher Tortoise Population Estimation Techniques PI: R.R. Carthy, M. Oli; Personnel: E. Langan, J. Wooding, S. Nomani, E. Cantwell, K. Miller, M. Voight; Completion Date: July 2006
220. Surveys of Snail Kite Breeding and Habitat Use in the Upper St. John's River Basin PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: J. Martin, C. Cattau, A. Bowling, S. Stocco, B. Reichert; Completion Date: February 2006
221. Qualitative Analysis Supporting Reptile and Amphibian Research in Florida's Everglades PI: H.F. Percival, F. Mazzotti; Personnel: M. Miller; Completion Date: August 2006
222. Sea Turtle Habitat Use and Interactions with Humans in the Coastal Zone PI: R.R. Carthy; Personnel: R. Scarpino; Completion Date: August 2006
223. Southeastern Adaptive Management Group (SEAMG) PI: H.F. Percival, R. Dorazio, F. Johnson; Completion Date: June 2006
224. Development of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles for Assessment Wildlife Populations and Habitats Phase 2 PI: H.F. Percival, B. Dewitt, P. Ifju, L. Pearlstine; Personnel: J. Duberstein, D. Grant; Completion Date: December 2006
225. Toho V-A Proposal to Document Floral and Faunal Succession Following Alternative Habitat in a Large Central Florida Lake PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: J. Brush, M. Desa, C. Enloe, J. Reyes; Completion Date: June 2006
226. Population Structure of a Loggerhead Turtle (*Caretta Caretta*) Nesting Colony in Northwestern Florida as Determined Through Mitochondrial DNA Analysis PI: R.R. Carthy; Personnel: R. Scarpino; Completion Date: April 2006
227. Conservation, Ecology and Propagation of Florida *Orchidacea Eulophia Alta* (Linnaeus) FA WCWRR and RENDLE PI: M. Kane; Completion Date: December 2006
228. Rapid Delineation of Provenance for Florida Sea Oats Used for Beach and Dune Stabilization PI: M. Kane; Personnel: N. Philman, P. Sleszynski, S. Stewart, D. Dutra; Completion Date: September 2006
229. Radio Telemetry and Mark Recapture Studies of Demographic, Movement and Population Dynamics of Endangered Snail Kites (RWO 221) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Completion Date: March 2006
230. Wading Bird Colony Local, Sizing, Timing, & Wood Stork Nesting Success Cost & Accuracy PI: P. Frederick; Completion Date: October 2006
231. Development of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles for Assessment of Wildlife Population and Habitat Phase 2 PI: H.F. Percival; Personnel: A. Watts, S. Bowman; Completion Date: December 2006
232. Assessing Belowground Consequences of Forest Dieback and Climate Change in Coastal Cypress

Swamps PI: H.F. Percival; Completion Date: July 2006

233. Vegetative Habitat Responses to Hydrological Regimes in Everglades Water Conservation Area 3A

PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: C. Zweig, E. Powers, T. Hotaling, S. Fitz-William;  
Completion Date: September 2006

234. Gopher Tortoise Population Estimation Techniques PI: R.R. Carthy; Personnel: E. Langan, J.

Wooding, S. Nomani; Completion Date: May 2006

235. Floral and Faunal Succession Following Alternative Habitat Restoration Techniques in a Large

Central Florida Lake (PJ50773) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: Melissa Desa, C. Enloe, B. Shoger,  
A. Schwarzer; Completed: June 2007

236. American Alligator Distribution, size, and Hole Occupancy and American Crocodile Juvenile

Growth and Survival (RWO225) PI: H.F. Percival, Frank Mazzotti; Personnel: M Cherkiss;  
Completion Date: April 2007

237 Radio Telemetry & Mark Recapture studies of Demography, Movement & Population Dynamics of

The Endangered Snail Kite (53729) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: C.Cattau, A.Bowling: Completed December  
2006

238. Continued Snail Kite Monitoring Studies: Population Growth, Extinction, and Movement Patterns.

(RWO231) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Completion Date: November 2007

239. Status, Ecology, Propagation Science & Recovery of Imperiled FL Orchidaceous: Habenaria Distans.

PI: M. Kane: Completed Date: November 2007

240. Update Marsh Succession Model & Provide Technical Assistance Savannah Harbor Expansion

(60411) PI: W.M. Kitchens; Completion Date: April 2006

241. St. George Island Lighting Project. PI: R.R. Carthy; Completion Date: July 2006.

242. Vegetation Habitat Responses to Hydrologic Regimes In Everglades Water Conservation Area 3A

PI: W.M. Kitchens, C. Zweig; Personnel: T. Hotaling, P. Wetzell, S. Fitz-Williams  
Completion Date: March 2008 (53972)

243. American Alligator Distribution, Size, and Hole Occupancy & American Crocodile Juvenile

Growth and Survival. PI: H.F. Percival, F.J. Mazzotti; Completion Date: June 2007 (50174)

244. Conservation, Ecology & Propagation of Florida Orchidaceae-Eulophia alta and Cyrtopodium punctatum.

PI: M. Kane; Personnel: T. Johnson, D. Dutra Completion Date: December 2007

245. Snail Kite Population Studies: Demography, Population Trends, and Dispersal Relative to Environmental

correlates, and Habitat Studies PI: W.M. Kitchens. Completion Date: February 2008

246. Lake Apopka North Shore Restoration Area Alligator Monitoring Study. PI: H. Franklin Percival.

Co-PI: R. Carthy. Personnel: R. Throm, E. Lamivee. Completion Date: February 2008.

247. Lake Apopka North Shore Restoration Area Amphibian Monitoring Study. PI: Raymond R.Carthy

Co-PI:H.F. Percival. Personnel: R. Throm, E. Lamivee. Completion Date: February 2008.



248. Continued Snail Kite Monitoring Studies: Demographic, Population Growth, Extinction and Movement Parameters. PI: Wiley M. Kitchens. Personnel: B. Reichert, C. Cattau, A. Bowling. Completion Date: March 2008.
249. Status, Ecology, and Conseration of Rare and Endangered Florida Orchidaceae-Bletia purpurea. PI: M. Kane. Personnel: S. Stewart, T. Johnson, d. Dutra, P. Kauth. Completion Date: June 2008.
250. Radio Telemetry and Mark-Recapture Studies of Demographic, Movement and Population Dynamics of the Endangered Snail Kit. PI: W.M. Kitchens. Personnel: Br. Reichert, C. Cattau, A. Bowling. Completion Date: June 2008
251. Technical Assistance for Continuing Development of Content for Focal Species Website and Bird Conservation Node Website. PI: H. F. Percival. Personnel: E. Martin, A. Schwarzer. Completion Date: July 2008.
252. Evaluating Endocrine Disruption in Fish Exposed to Waters at Turkey Creek. PI: N. Denslow; Co-PI: N. Sazbo. Personnel: R. Weil, I. Knoebl. Completion Date: September 2008.
253. Assessment of Beach Compaction and Associated Effects on Loggerhead Sea Turtles (Caretta caretta) Nesting on Natural and Nourished Beaches in Northwest Florida. PI R. Carthy; Co-PI: M. Lamont; Personnel: Lori Brinn, J. Solis. Completion Date: September, 2008.
254. Effects of Environmental Mercury Exposure on Development and Reproduction in White Ibises. PI: P. Frederick; Personnel: N. Jayasena. E. Adams, L. Straub, B.J. Sampson. Completion Date: September 2008
255. ERDC Participation in 2008 USACE UAS Program. PI: H.F. Percival. Co-PI: P. Ifju, B. Dewitt, S. Smith. Personnel: A. Watts, J. Perry, W. Bowman, M. Morton. Completion Date: September 2008.
256. An Assessment of the Use of Unmanned Aerial Systems for Surveys of Wading Birds in the Everglades. PI: P. Frederick. Personnel: A. Watts, A Abd-Elrahman, A. Mohamed, B. Wilkinson, J. Perry, K. Lee, Y. Kaddoura. Completion Date: September 2008.
257. St. Joseph Peninsula Beach Restoration Project. PI: R. Carthy, Co-PI: M. Lamont. Personnel: F. Solis, J. Solis, M. Weisel, C. Warner. Completion Date: October 2008.
258. To Document Floral and Faunal Succession Following Alternative Habitat Restoration Techniques in a Large Central Florida Lake Tohopekaliga. PI: W.M. Kitchens; Personnel: Melissa DeSa, Zach Welch Carolyn Enloe, Brad Shoger, Amy Schwarzer. Completion Date: December 2008.
259. Adaptive Habitat Management for Florida Scrub-Jays at Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge. PI: H. F. Percival; Co-PI: F. Johnson. Completion Date: December 2008.
260. Assessing the Effects of Coastline Alteration on Sea Turtle Nesting and Faunal Assemblages at Cape San Blas, Florida. PI: R. Carthy, Co-PI: M. Lamont, Personnel: R. Scarpino, C. Warner, J. Solis, F. Solis Michelle Weisel, L. Brinn. Completion date: March, 2009.
261. Development of a Sea Turtle Education Program for Gulf County, FL. PI: R. Carthy. Co-PI: M. Lamont. Completion Date: March 2009.
262. Regional Distribution of Soil Nutrients – hierarchical Soil Nutrient Mapping for Improved Ecosystem Change Detection. PI: T. Osborne. Co-PI: M. Cohen. Personnel: S. Lamsal, B. White. Completion Date: March 2009.
263. Monitoring of Wading bird Reproduction in WCAS 1, 2, and 3 of the Everglades – UAV. PI: H. F. Percival.

Personnel: A. Watts, J. Perry, M. Burgess, S. Ingley. Completion Date: March 2009.

264. Science Fellowship for Assessment of Coastal Habitats and Listed Species. PI: Raymond R. Carthy  
Co-PI: M. Lamont. Completion Date: April 2009.
265. Historic Pond Restoration in the Florida Panther National Wildlife Refuge. PI: C. Reinhardt-Adams.  
Co-PI: M. Kane. Personnel: S. Stewart, D. Watts, N. Steigerwalt, C. Wiese, S. McCauley. Completion  
Date: May 2009.
266. Rapid Delineation of Provenance for Florida Sea Oats Used for Beach and Dune Stabiliation.  
PI: M. Kane. Personnel: N. Philman, P. Sleszynski, S. Stewart, D. Dutra. Completion Date: June 2009.
267. Ecology and Conservation of Snowy Plovers In the Florida Panhandle. PI: Steven Johnson.  
Completion Date: June 2009
268. Wildlife Usage and Habitat Development on Spoil Islands in Lake Tohopekaliga, Florida. PI: W. M. Kitchens  
Personnel: Melissa DeSa, Carolyn Enloe, Brad Shoger, Amy Schwarzer, Jonathan Chandler. Completion  
Date: August 2009.
269. Techniques for Field Establishment and Reintroduction of Calopogon tuberosus var. tuberosus. PI: M. Kane.  
Co-PI: P. Kauth. Completion Date: August 2009.
270. Conservation of South Florida's Orchids—Developing Reintroduction Methods for Eight Native Species  
Including the State Endangered Ghost Orchid (Dendrophylax lindenii). PI: M. Kane. Personnel: D. Dutra,  
P. Kauth, T. Johnson, N. Philman. Completeion Date: August 2009.
271. Wading Bird Colony Location, Size, Timing and Wood Stork Nesting Success. PI: P. Frederick.  
Personnel: J. Simon, K. Williams. Completion Date: September 2009.
272. Development of Unmanned Aerial vehicles for Assessment of Wildlife Populations and Habitats: Phase 3.  
PI: H.F. Percival; Co-PI: P. Ifju; Personnel: M. Burgess. Completion Date: December, 2009.
273. Experimental Evaluation of a Habitat Enhancement Project for Fish and Wildlife at Gant Lake, Florida.  
PI: W.M. Kitchens: Co-PIs: M. Allen, H.F. Percival. Completion Date: December, 2009.
274. Structured Decision Making, Ecological Thresholds and the Establishment of Management Trigger Points.  
PI: W.M. Kitchens. Research Staff: J. Martin. Completion Date: December 2009.
275. An Assessment of Gulf Sturgeon Population Status in the Gulf of Mexico. PI: W. Pine. Research Staff:  
H. Jared Flowers. Completion Date: December 2009.
276. Spectral and response Assessment of Turtle-Friendly Lighting Study. PI: R. Carthy. Co-PI: M. Lamont.  
Research Staff: F. Solis, J. Solis. Completion Date: April 2010.
277. Supplement to "Directing Succession Through Adaptive Management in National Wildlife Refuges: Reed  
Canary. PI: C. Reinhart-Adams. Research Staff: L. Cobb, D. Haskell. Completion Date: July 2010.
278. Factors Affecting Population Density & Harvest of Northern Bobwhite. PI: M. Clark. Co-PI: T. Osborne.  
Graduate Student: D. Watts. Research Staff: T. Oh, J. Vogel. Completion Date: September 2010.
279. Ridge-Slough Mosaic in Response to Climate Change and Water Management. PI: M. Clark. Co-PI: T.  
Osborne. Graduate Student: D. Watts. Research Staff: T. Oh, J. Vogel. Completion Date: September 2010.
280. Adaptive Management of Gulf Coast Salt Marshes Considering the Sea Level Rise and Recovery of the  
Endangered Florida Salt Marsh. PI: F. Percival. Research Staff: M. Burgess. Completion Date: September 2010.

281. Surveys of Snail Kite Breeding and Habitat Use in the Upper St. Johns River Basin. PI: W. Kitchens. Graduate Students: J. Olbert, K. Pias. Completion Date: December 2010.
282. Monitoring of Wading Bird Reproduction In WCAs 1,2,3 of the Everglades. PI: P. Frederick. Research Staff: J. Simon, C. Winchester, L. Venne. Completion Date: December 2010.
283. Gopher Tortoise Population Survey for St. Marks NWR- Line Transect Distance Sampling. PI: R. Carthy. Co-PI: M. Lamont. Completion Date: August 2011.
284. Population Genetic Analysis and Assessment of Hybridization between Calopogon tuberosus var. tuberosus and var. Simsonii. PI: M. Kane. Co-PI: P. Kauth. Completion Date: August 2011.
285. Interplanting of Grass Species Among Native Vegetation to Reduce or Eliminate Aircraft Bird Strike Incidence by Dove at Hurlburt Field. PI: Bill Pine. Completion Date: September 2011.
286. Strategic Habitat Conservation for the Florida Scrub-Jay at Merritt Island National Park. PI: Franklin Percival. Research Staff: M. Walters, F. Johnson. Completion Date: September 2011.
287. Assessing Natal Sources of Juvenile Native Fish in Grand Canyon: A Test with Flannelmouth Suckers and Other Native Fish. PI: Bill Pine. Completion Date: September 2011.
288. St. Joe Beach Restoration. PI: R. Carthy. Research Staff: S. Farris, C. Hackett, M. Lamont, J. McKenzie, B. Stephens. Completion Date: January 2012.
289. Effects of Climate Change on Barrier Island Habitat and Nesting Sea Turtles. PI: R. Cathy. Co-PI: M. Lamont. Research Staff: A. Daniels, J. Gross, J. Hill, J. Kime, E. Nordberg, H. Ronco, N. Williams, B. Stephens, S. Farris. Completion Date: May 2012.
290. Directing Succession Through Adaptive Management in National Wildlife Refuges: Reed Canary Grass Control and Transition to Wetland Forests and Meadows. PI: C. Reinhart-Adams. Co-PI: S. Gatowitsch, E. Lonsdorf, F. Percival. Completion Date: July 2012
291. Foraging & Nesting Habitat Characteristics, Exotic snail utilization and nest failures of the Everglade Snail kite on the Kissimmee Chain of Lakes. PI: W. Kitchens. Research Staff: K. Pias, J. Olbert. Completion date: December 2012.
292. Nesting, Recruiting, and Foraging Ecology of the Florida Snail Kite in Lake Tohopekaliga. PI: W. Kitchens. Research Staff: K. Pias, J. Olbert. Completion Date: March 2013.
293. The Effects of Shoreline Armoring Structures on Nesting Loggerhead turtles. PI: R. Carthy. Completion Date: March 2013.
294. Incubation temperatures of loggerhead turtle (caretta caretta) nests on NW Florida Beaches. PI: R. Carthy. Research Staff: M. Lamont, B. Stephens. Completion Date: March 2013.
295. A Land of Flowers on a Latitude of Deserts: Aiding Conservation Management of Florida's Biodiversity by Using Predictions from Down-Scaled AOGCM Climate Scenario in Combination with Ecological Modeling. PI: F. Percival. Research Staff: C. Zweig. Completion Date: May 2013
296. Alligator Capture Database. PI: Franklin Percival. Research Staff: A. Subramaniam Ravi. Completion Date: June 2013.
297. SE Adaptive Management Group (SEAMG). PI: Franklin Percival. Completion Date: June 2013
298. Collection of Digital Aerial Imagery in Support of Aquatic Invasive Species Program and CERP. PI: F. Percival. Research Staff: M. Burgess. Completion Date: June 2013.

299. Socio-Cultural Constructions of Values and Attitudes Toward Wildlife and Nature: Attracting Underrepresented Groups to Wildlife Professions. PI: S. Jacobson. Research Staff: N. Haynes. Completion Date: June 2013.
300. Management of Functionally Connected Dune Habitat for Endangered Beach Mice on Fragmented Landscapes. PI: L. Branch. Co-PI: D. Miller, M. Stoddard. Completion Date: July 2013.
301. Reassessing the status of the endangered Florida salt marsh vole, Phase 1 & 2. PI: R. McCleery.  
Completion Date: September 2013
302. Coastal ecosystems and Climate Change: Effects on Habitat and Species. PI: R. Carthy. Completion Date: December 2013.
303. Translocation of Marsh Rabbits to Everglades National Park. PI: R. McCleery. Completion Date: December 2013.
304. Remote sensing of nesting sea turtle tracks PI: R. Carthy. Completion Date: April 2014.
305. Loggerhead Nest Content Collection to Determine Impacts from the Deepwater Horizon Spill PI: R. Carthy. Completion Date: July 2014
306. Using an Unmanned Aircraft systems payload to evaluate fine-scale remote sensing data for emergent and slough automated vegetation mapping PI: F. Percival. Completion Date: September 2014.
307. Effects of Coastal Dynamics & Climate on Loggerhead Turtle Nest Success & Management PI: R. Carthy. Completion Date: September 2014.

#### **Publications 2014**

- Cattau, C.E., P.C. Darby, R.J. Fletcher, Jr., and W.M. Kitchens. 2014. Reproductive responses of the endangered snail kite to variation in prey density. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 78(4): 620-631.
- Cherkiss, M.S., F.J. Mazzotti, L. Hord, and M. Aldecoa. Accepted. Remarkable Movements of an American Crocodile (*Crocodylus acutus*) in Florida. *Southeastern Naturalist*.
- Fujisaki, I., K.M. Hart, F.J. Mazzotti, M.S. Cherkiss, A.R. Sartain, B.M. Jeffery, J.S. Beauchamp and M. Denton. 2014. Home range and movements of American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*) in an estuary habitat. *Animal Biotelemetry* 2:1-8.
- Kobziar, L.N., Kaplan, D., Freeman, J. Fire and water shape vegetation communities on two barrier islands of Georgia.
- Rudd, M, R Ahrens, WE Pine, III, SK Bolden. 2014. Empirical spatially explicit natural mortality and movement rate estimates for the threatened Gulf sturgeon *Acipenser oxyrinchus desotoi*. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 71:1407:1417.
- Zweig, C.L. and W.M. Kitchens. 2014. Reconstructing historical habitat data with predictive models. *Ecological Applications* 24: 196–203.

Williams, N.C., Bjorndal, K. A., Lamont, M.M., Carthy, R.R. 2014. Winter diets of immature green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) on a northern feeding ground: integrating stomach contents and stable isotope analyses. *Estuaries* 37: 986-994.

#### **Presentations 2014**

Beauchamp, J, K.M. Hart, F.J. Mazzotti, M.S. Cherkiss, B.M. Jeffery, R.Crespo and R. Fletcher. 2014. Spatial ecology of the American Crocodile (*Crocodylus acutus*) in Everglades National Park, FL. Presented at the 23rd Working meeting of the Crocodile Specialist Group. Lake Charles, LA.

Carthy, R.R., M.A. Burgess, P.G. Ifju, B.E. Wilkinson, and H.F. Percival. 2014. Development and application of small unmanned aircraft systems (sUAS) for natural resources: logistics, legalities, and lessons learned. Slide presentation. 68th Annual Conference.

Carthy, R.R., H.F. Percival, M.Burgess, P.Ifju. 2013. Letting research questions fly: the evolution and current status of the UF UAS program. Webinar presentation to U.S. Department of the Interior Unmanned Aerial Systems Users Group. (INVITED) Presented

Beauchamp, J, K.M. Hart, F.J. Mazzotti, M.S. Cherkiss, B.M. Jeffery, R.Crespo and R. Fletcher. 2014. Spatial ecology of the American Crocodile (*Crocodylus acutus*) in Everglades National Park, FL. Presented at the 23rd Working meeting of the Crocodile Specialist Group. Lake Charles, LA.


Mazzotti, F.J., M.S. Cherkiss, K.M. Hart, R. Crespo, S. Farris, J. Beauchamp, L. A. Brandt, and B.M. Jeffery. 2014. The role of the American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*) and American Crocodile (*Crocodylus acutus*) as Indicators of Ecological Change in Everglades Ecosystems. Presented at the 23rd Working meeting of the Crocodile Specialist Group. Lake Charles, LA.

McCleery, R. A., K. Hart, A. Sovie. Preliminary assessment of Mammals in A.R.M. Loxahatchee NWR and the Greater Everglades. 2014. Loxahatchee Science Workshop

Pine, W.E. III. 2014. An update on Gulf sturgeon stock assessment activities. 2014 Gulf Sturgeon Working Group Meeting. Live Oak, Florida. November 11-13, 2014.

Smith, Brian J. (Presenter). "Betrayal: Judas Burmese Pythons Give Away Locations of Conspecifics in Everglades National Park." The 21st Annual Wildlife Society Conference. Pittsburgh, PA. October 2014.



An aerial photograph of a wetland area. A large, dark, irregularly shaped pond occupies the right half of the image. The left half is a bright green, marshy area with patches of brown grass. Numerous alligators are visible, scattered across the green marsh and along the edges of the pond. Some are in the water, while others are on the land. The alligators appear as dark, elongated shapes against the lighter green background.

Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife  
Research Unit  
University of Florida – Bldg. 810  
1728 McCarty Drive  
PO Box 110485, Gainesville, FL 32611  
Phone: (352) 846-0543  
Fax: (352) 846-0841  
Website: <http://www.wec.ufl.edu/coop/>